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INTRODUCTION.

The Book of Mormon claims to be a divinely inspired record, written by a succession of prophets who inhabited Ancient America. It professes to be revealed to the present generation for the salvation of all who will receive it, and for the overthrow and damnation of all nations who reject it.

This book must be either true or false. If true, it is one of the most important messages ever sent from God to man, affecting both the temporal and eternal interests of every people under heaven to the same extent and in the same degree that the message of Noah affected the inhabitants of the old world. If false, it is one of the most cunning, wicked, bold, deep-laid impostions ever palmed upon the world, calculated to deceive and ruin millions who will sincerely receive it as the word of God, and will suppose themselves securely built upon the rock of truth until they are plunged with their families into hopeless despair.

The nature of the message in the Book of Mormon is such, that if true, no one can possibly be saved and reject it; if false, no one can possibly be saved and receive it. Therefore, every soul in all the world is equally interested in ascertaining its truth or falsity. In a matter of such infinite importance no person should rest satisfied with the conjectures or opinions of others: he should use every exertion himself to become acquainted with the nature of the message: he should carefully examine the evidences on which it is offered to the world: he should, with all patience and perseverance, seek to acquire a certain knowledge as to whether it be of God or not. Without such an investigation in the most careful, candid, and impartial manner, he cannot safely judge without greatly hazarding his future and eternal welfare.

If, after a rigid examination, it be found an imposition, it should be extensively published to the world as such; the evidences and arguments on which the imposture was detected, should be clearly and logically stated, that those who have been sincerely yet unfortunately deceived, may perceive the nature of the deception, and be reclaimed, and that those who continue to publish the delusion, may be exposed and silenced, not by physical force, neither by persecutions, bare assertions, nor ridicule, but by strong and powerful arguments—by evidences adduced from scripture and reason. Such, and such only, should be the weapons employed to detect and overthrow false doctrines—to reclaim mankind from their errors—to expose religious enthusiasm—and to put to silence base and wicked impostors.

But on the other hand, if investigation should prove the Book of Mormon true and of divine origin, then the importance of the message is so great, and the consequences of receiving or rejecting it so overwhelming, that the American and English nations—to whom it is now sent, and in whose language it is now published, (being th
first in these latter times who have been so highly favored as to receive a preparatory message for the second advent of the Son of God,—should speedily repent of all their sins, and renounce all the wicked traditions of their fathers, as they are imperatively commanded to do in the message: they should utterly reject both the Popish and Protestant ministry, together with all the churches which have been built up by them or that have sprung from them, as being entirely destitute of authority: they should turn away from all the priestcrafts and abominations practised by these apostate churches, (falsely called Christian,) and bring forth fruits meet for repentance in all things: they should be immersed in water by one having authority, and receive a remission of their sins, and be filled with the Holy Spirit. After thus being baptized into the kingdom of God, they should seek to translate the Book of Mormon into every written language of the earth, and to send it forth by millions of copies to every nation, and not cease their exertions until all people have heard the glad tidings. Every synagogue, church, and place of public worship should be thrown open to the servants of God. Presidents, governors, and rulers,—kings, lords, and nobles, and all in authority, should set the example before the mass of the people, by receiving with all meekness and humility this great revelation of modern times. Every periodical throughout their dominions should devote its columns to disseminating; far and near, among all classes, the evidences, arguments, and reasons, which establish the divine authenticity of so great and important a work. These are some of the present duties of both the United States and Great Britain, if this message be true.

The great majority of the world, however, reject the Book of Mormon without the least examination as to its claims. They have heard there was such a book, but they know nothing of its contents, only that it claims to be a divine revelation. They at once reject it as an imposture. Is this method of judging justifiable? Has God ever authorized his creatures to judge, without investigation, a matter that professes to involve their eternal salvation? Has he ever informed the world that they have enough revelation, or that he will never give them any more? All who have read the Bible know that he has given no intimations of the kind. He has given no grounds whatsoever for supposing that there is to be no more revelation. Why, then, should the world be so presumptuous as to reject a professed revelation as false without investigation? This method of judging is not only unjustifiable, but fearful in its consequences. As long as there is a possibility that man may receive more, he is in danger of losing his salvation, by rejecting indiscriminately all that comes. By this rash and unjustifiable method of judging, he is not only in danger, but he is sure to lose his salvation if God should condescend to give more.

The conduct of millions in relation to the Book of Mormon goes to show that they would reject all true revelation as well as false ones: they are determined to reject, at all hazards, without the least inquiry, every thing under the name of new revelation. They seem to be absolutely certain, as their conduct abundantly indicates, that God will never favor man with another communication of his will concerning them.

To expose this popular, though fatal error, invented by priestcraft in the early ages of the apostacy, and transferred to succeeding generations, will be the object of the present series of pamphlets. In the first, it will be shown that to expect more revelation is neither unscriptural nor unreasonable, and in those which follow, it will be further shown, that the doctrine of continued revelation in the church of God, is one that rests upon the most infallible testimony, being necessary for the salvation of man, connected with which, the divine authenticity of the Book of Mormon will be demonstrated.

TO EXPECT MORE REVELATION IS NOT UNSCRIPTURAL.

1.—If it could be proven from scripture that God had revealed to man all that he ever intended to reveal, then a professed revelation would not require investigation; for it would be known at once, that every thing of the kind was an imposition. It would be folly in the extreme to inquire whether a professed new revelation were true or false; for if God had declared in his word that no more was to be given, all writings or books purporting to be a new revelation could not be otherwise than false.
2.—If the books in the English translations of the Old and New Testaments be the only ones which are to be received as divine revelation, then why do we not find some intimations in those books to that effect? If God saw that man had enough, why did he not tell him so? His mind would then have been relieved from all dubiety on the subject. Then, all nations and generations would have known that the canon of scripture was complete and full; then, there would not have been the least possible chance of palming upon the world any more; then, it would have been known that all possible communications between God and man were, from henceforth, cut off—that the heavens were to be sealed up, and the mouth of the Deity to be closed in a deep, profound, and perpetual silence throughout all future generations.

3.—If God never intended to speak to man after the first century of the Christian era, it certainly would have been a great blessing to the human family, and saved many millions of them from delusion to have told them of so important a matter. But as God has failed to give any such notice, learned divines have concluded to give the notice themselves: hence they have invented "Articles of Faith," in which their followers are required to reject, under the penalty of excommunication, all books professing to be of divine origin, except those named in their "Articles," or those few which human wisdom has selected and compiled into a Bible. This is as much as to say, that the Bible contains all that God ever has given or ever will give unto man, and you must not receive any more; and thus the whole Protestant world are circumscribed and limited, and bound down by their "Articles of Faith,"—their "Creeds,"—and their "Disciplines." It matters not how important a message may be sent, nor how great its accompanying evidences, they are positively forbidden to receive it, because it does not happen to be bound up with the rest of the books of the Bible.

4.—The learned and popular false teachers of modern times who have so presumptuously rejected all revelation except the few books of the Bible named in their "Articles," have endeavoured to make their deluded followers believe that it was contrary to scripture for any more books to be added to the Bible, or for God to give any additional revelation to man. As their strongest proof upon the subject they quote the following text, spoken to John on the Isle of Patmos, when in the act of finishing his manuscript. "For I testify unto every man that heareth the words of the prophecy of this book, if any man shall add unto these things, God shall add unto him the plagues that are written in this book; and if any man shall take away from the words of the book of this prophecy, God shall take away his part out of the book of life, and out of the holy city, and from the things which are written in this book." (Rev. xxii. 18, 19.) Here, it is supposed, is proof, that the Bible is for ever closed, and that the addition of any other revelation is forbidden under the penalty of great plagues. But every man who has read this text, knows that there is not the least intimation given in it about the Bible's being closed. Such a book as the Bible did not then exist in its compiled state. The gathering together of the few scattered manuscripts which compose what is now termed the Bible, was the work of uninspired man which took place centuries after John finished his manuscript. Among the vast number of professedly inspired manuscripts, scattered through the world, man, poor, weak, ignorant man, assumed the authority to select a few, which, according to his frail judgment, he believed or conjectured were of God, but the balance not agreeing, perhaps, with his peculiar notions of divine inspiration, were rejected as spurious. The few, selected from the abundance, were finally arranged into one volume, divided into chapter and verse, and named the Bible. Afterwards a set of cunning wicked impostors, under the name of Protestant ministers, make their appearance, who finding themselves entirely destitute of the spirit of prophecy, of visions, of revelations, and of every other power and gift which always characterised the ministers of Christ, have endeavoured to invent some cunning, crafty arguments, to hide from the people their powerlessness, apostate condition, and make their deluded followers think that they are really genuine ministers of Christ. The best scheme to carry out their corrupt purposes and deceive the people, is, in their estimation, to tell them that God did not intend to reveal anything more—that the Bible contains all—that the caution not to add to the words of John's prophecy, means not to add to the Bible. Thus the consciences of the common people become quieted, and they sincerely begin to think that
the Bible contains all the sacred books ever given to man, and they at length became willing to subscribe to a set of cunningly devised "Articles of Faith," requiring them to renounce all others as spurious.

5.—How do the Protestant world know that the compilers of the Bible, in hunting up the sacred manuscripts which were widely scattered over the world, one in one place and another in another, found all that were of divine origin? How do they know that the compilers of the Bible found even the one hundredth part of the manuscripts that were sacred? And as the compilers rejected many that they did find how do they know but what some of the rejected books were equally sacred with those received into the collection? Would not the prophecy of Enoch with which the Apostle Jude was familiar, and from which he makes a quotation relative to the second coming of Christ,—be as sacred as any other prophecy of the Bible? Would not the book of Iddeo the seer,—the book of Nathan the prophet,—together with some twelve or fifteen other books and epistles, written by inspired prophets, seers, and apostles, and referred to in scripture, be as worthy of a place in the Bible as any that human wisdom have already compiled? Would it have been any more a violation of the caution not to add to the words of John's prophecy, for the compilers to have added the book of Gad the seer, with the collection called the Bible, than it was for them to add to the volume the book of Ezekiel,—the book of Solomon's Songs,—the book of Matthew,—the book of James, or any other book of the collection? If the book of John's prophecy mean the Bible, as these false teachers assert, and if the Bible mean a collection of all the sacred books written by inspired men, and if the adding and diminishing to the words of John's prophecy mean adding and diminishing to the Bible, then the whole Protestant world are under the curse for diminishing many sacred books from the Bible which are certainly referred to as being written by inspired men, but which they in their "Articles of Faith" absolutely exclude and diminish from the Bible by prohibiting their deluded followers from receiving only such as happens to be compiled. Should any of these sacred manuscripts hereafter be found, the "Articles" and "Creeds" of men prohibit their reception. If they had happened to have been found by the compilers of the Bible, they would have been sacred, but to be found afterwards renders them false. For men a few centuries ago to hunt up a few scattered manuscripts, and compile them into a Bible, was considered a very laudable undertaking, but for any man to find a sacred book since that time is considered the highest blasphemy.

6.—If the caution about adding and diminishing means that there is to be no more revelation after the caution is given, then all books purporting to be a revelation, and given after such caution, must be false. Now such caution was given as early as the days of Moses. "Ye shall not add unto the word which I command you, neither shall ye diminish ought from it." (Deut. iv. 2.) The caution in John's book must mean the same thing as the caution in the book of Moses; if the one means that there is to be no more revelation, the other means the same. Therefore, according to the arguments of modern divines, all the Old and New Testaments which have been added since Moses gave the caution must be false, and consequently, they and all their followers must be under the curse for believing in and advocating sixty-two other books as divine revelation, which they know were all given after the caution by Moses. Thus it will be seen, that if their application of these texts be correct, they are under a double curse; first, they are cursed in John's revelations for diminishing some fifteen or twenty books from the compilation of the Bible; and, secondly, they are cursed in Deuteronomy for receiving sixty-two books which were added after the caution was given by Moses. If modern divines, rather than subject themselves to a double curse, should be willing to give up their perverted applications of these texts, then what becomes of their scriptural arguments against receiving more revelation? There is certainly no other application of these passages that forbids additional revelation.

7.—To add to the words of the book of John's prophecy, means nothing more nor less than to add words or sentences of our own to his book, so as to alter the meaning, and to publish such additions as the words of John. For Isaiah to have added to the words of the books of Moses, so as to alter their meaning, and to have represented Moses as the author of these altered writings, would have subjected him to a curse. But to receive, as he did, a separate and independent revelation was no more adding
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te the words of Moses, than a deed conveying an estate in America would be adding to the laws of England. If ten thousand new revelations were to be given, it would be no more adding to the words of John's book than a message of the president of the United States would be adding to the words of a proclamation by Queen Victoria. No revelations given from God need any alterations, additions, or diminutions, by the wisdom of man. If they need altering, God alone has the right to alter them, or to add to them, as he did in the case of a revelation which he gave to Jeremiah, which was burned by the king of Judah, but afterwards Jeremiah was commanded to write all the words again, and there were added besides unto them many like words (Jer. xxxvi. 32). God has never prohibited himself from given revelation as often as he pleases, neither has he prohibited himself from adding or diminishing words in case he sees it necessary. But woe unto that man who pretends to give a revelation, and is a deceiver, who adds, or diminishes, or alters a revelation which God has given; such cannot escape the threatened judgments of the Almighty.

8.—We have now shown by the most conclusive arguments that the passages concerning, adding, and diminishing, so often referred to by the new-revelation denier, does not contain the most distant intimation that the day of revelation is gone by. They never would have resorted to such a perverted application of these passages if they had any better evidence in the scriptures to sustain themselves. The very fact that they so often pervert these passages from their evident meaning, shows most conclusively the weakness of their position. No other passages are susceptible of being so grossly misapplied. It is under this shallow covering that they endeavour to hide their apostacy and deceive mankind.

9.—In their zeal to oppose every thing under the name of new revelation, some of the more ignorant have assumed that when Christ was lifted upon the cross, and cried, "it is finished," it put an end to all further revelation. If this assumption be correct, then all the books of the New Testament, written years after, must be false. If Christ finished the work of revelation, when he exclaimed, "it is finished," then the apostles must have been base impostors for pretending to receive revelation scores of years after this exclamation. All, therefore, who reject new revelation upon these grounds, are required by their own application of this saying, to reject all the writings of the New Testament; thus, in their heated zeal to oppose new revelation, they not unfrequently destroy the very books which they profess to believe.

10.—A saying of Paul to Timothy is sometimes referred to by the enemies of new revelation, and applied in the most deceptive manner, in order to strengthen the world in the fatal delusion, that God will no more speak with man: it reads as follows—"From a child thou hast known the holy scriptures, which are able to make thee wise unto salvation." (2 Timothy iii. 15.) The objector to new revelation argues, from this passage, that the scriptures with which Timothy was acquainted in his childhood, were abundantly sufficient to make him wise unto salvation, and consequently there was no need of any more. If this conclusion be correct, it would do away with all the scriptures of the New Testament; for Timothy when a child was only acquainted with the scriptures of the Old Testament, the scriptures of the New Testament not being yet written. Thus, again, the enemy of new revelation in his fanatical zeal to close up the volume of inspiration, has done away the very scriptures which he pretends so firmly to believe.

11.—Modern false teachers, in order to sustain their impositions, sometimes quote the following:—"All scripture is given by inspiration of God, and is profitable for doctrine, for reproof, for correction, for instruction in righteousness, that the man of God may be perfect, thoroughly furnished unto all good works." (2 Tim. iii., 16, 17.) They assert that this passage means that "enough" scripture has been given to perfect the man of God—that "enough" has been given to thoroughly furnish him unto all good works; but the word ENOUGH is not found in the passage: it reads, "ALL SCRIPTURE is given, &c." The righteous man has no authority from this passage to assume that he has enough, but he should continue to seek for "line upon line, precept upon precept, here a little, and there a little," and if he gives heed unto "all scripture" which God may condescend to reveal, it will perfect him, and thoroughly furnish him unto all good works. This passage, therefore, leaves the man of God to be perfected by "all scripture" which God has given by inspiration,
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in early ages, or which he may give in latter times. He is not limited to any particular number of books which uninspired man has happened to find and compile into a Bible. Indeed, if the assertions of these false teachers be true, then there are several books of the New Testament which must be rejected; for if the man of God had enough scripture at the time Paul wrote his epistle to Timothy, then the book of Revelations given on Patmos some years after, together with the book of John's Gospel, and several of the epistles, must be excluded from the Bible.

12.—Well educated and learned divines have been so utterly at a loss to find any scripture to sustain them in denying immediate revelation, that they have not hesitated to pervert, in the most glaring manner, not only the foregoing passages, but some few others of a similar nature which they have culled from the Bible, and which they, and all persons with the least reflection, know have not the most distant bearing upon the subject. They tell their flocks that no more revelation is to be expected, because St. Paul, in addressing the elders of the church at Ephesus, says, "I kept back nothing that was profitable unto you. I have not shunned to declare unto you all the counsel of God." (Acts xx., 20, 27.) "All the counsel of God" having been imparted by St. Paul to the Ephesians, it is presumed that all further revelation was unnecessary. If this presumption be correct, it would, like the former presumptions, not only cut off from the Bible several of the epistles, but the book of John's Gospel, and the great revelation given on Patmos, all of which were certainly written years after Paul declared "all the counsel of God" to the elders of Ephesus. Paul, no doubt, had previously declared all the counsels which God had manifested to him in relation to their welfare, but this did not prohibit the Lord from revealing afterwards other counsels as the future circumstances of the Ephesians might require. Indeed, notwithstanding this saying of Paul, the Lord did, a long time after, give further revelations and counsels to this same church, through His servant John, on Patmos. (See Rev. ii., 1—8.)

13.—It has been furthermore presumed that revelation would cease when the "seventy weeks" mentioned in Daniel had passed away. The Angel Gabriel said to Daniel, "Understand the matter, and consider the vision. Seventy weeks are determined upon thy people and upon the Holy City, to finish the transgression, and to make an end of sins, and to make reconciliation for iniquity, and to bring in everlasting righteousness, and to seal up the vision and prophecy, and to anoint the Most Holy." (Daniel ix., 23, 24.) Here the enemies of new revelation assert, that as soon as the Messiah came, and was anointed, and the seventy weeks had elapsed, "the vision and prophecy were sealed up." But we ask, what vision and prophecy were sealed up? They reply, that all new revelation by vision and prophecy was then to come to an end. If this wild conjecture be correct, then all the visions, and prophecies, and revelations, and books of the New Testament, given from fifty to a hundred years after the seventy weeks had ended, must be false. The vision and prophecy which God had given to Daniel, and which the angel commanded him to consider, no doubt were the ones which were to be sealed up, or to have their fulfilment at the time therein specified. But to suppose that God was to give no more visions and prophecies after that time is contradicted by the fact that abundance of heavenly manifestations were given during the whole of the first century of the Christian era, all of which new-revelation deniers must exclude from the Bible, or give up their perverted application of this text.

14.—Another passage is often quoted by objectors to new revelation,—namely, the declaration of Paul in relation to the cessation of some of the spiritual gifts. He says, "Charity never faileth; but whether there be prophecies, they shall fail; whether there be tongues, they shall cease; whether there be knowledge, it shall vanish away." (1 Corinthians, xiii., 8.) Modern ministers will read to their followers this passage, and very gravely tell them that the time when prophecies were to fail arrived upwards of seventeen centuries ago; but they are very careful not to read the two following verses, lest their hearers should find out the true meaning of the passage, and learn the very time when this event should happen. Paul, as if fearful that false teachers would take the advantage of his saying, and undertake to do away prophecies and tongues from the church, says, in the next sentence, "For we know in part, and we prophesy in part; but when that which is perfect
is come, then that which is in part shall be done away." These gifts, then, which were only given in part, were to cease and to be done away as unnecessary, not seventeen centuries ago, as false teachers assert, but "when that which is perfect is come." In the 12th verse he describes the condition of the church, when that time shall come. He says, "Now, we see through a glass darkly; but then, face to face; now, I know in part; but then shall I know even as also I am known." Here we learn that the time when these gifts are to cease is not to be here in this world, but in the next state of existence, where the church shall no longer "see through a glass darkly, but see the Lord face to face," and "know as they are known:" then "that which is perfect" will have come; then "tongues will cease;" then "prophecy in part," and "knowledge in part" will be done away; till then, all these gifts are necessary. Therefore these sayings of the apostle, instead of favouring the groundless deceptions of new-revelation deniers, are evidences of the most positive kind in favour of continued revelation.

15.—The church in its militant and imperfect state, compared with its triumphant, immortal, and perfect state, is, in the 11th verse, represented by the two very different states of childhood and manhood. "When," says St. Paul, "I was a child, I spake as a child; I understood as a child; I thought as a child; but when I became a man, I put away childish things." In the various stages of education from childhood to manhood, certain indispensable rules, and diagrams, and scientific instruments are employed for the use and benefit of the pupil, that he may acquire a correct knowledge of the sciences, and be perfected in his studies. When the principles have been once acquired, and the student has been perfected in every branch of education, he can dispense with many of his maps, charts, globes, books, diagrams, &c., as being, like childish things, no longer necessary; they were useful before his education was perfected in imparting the desired knowledge, but, having fulfilled their purposes, he no longer needs their assistance. For instance, the chemist, before sufficient experiments have been made, cannot predict in full the result of the union of several different elements. It is true, that from former imperfect experiments he may know in part, and prophesy in part, what will be the nature and properties of the resulting compound. But when he has, through the medium of a good chemical apparatus, determined, by a perfect experiment, all the results, laws, and proportions of the combination of the elements under consideration, knowledge in part, in relation to the results, is done away, and he knows in full; he no longer prophesies in part how these elements will act, and what will be the nature and properties of the compound, for his knowledge is perfect concerning it; he no longer needs to give an imperfect prediction concerning that which he has fully seen, and known, and comprehended; he no longer looks through a glass darkly, as he formerly did, but he sees the principle as he is seen, having learned it through an experiment; he can now do away the apparatus, and still retain the knowledge that he formerly gained by it. So it is with the church in relation to spiritual gifts. While in this state of existence it is represented as a child; prophecy, revelations, tongues, and other spiritual gifts, are the instruments of education. The child or church can no more be perfected in its education without the aid of these gifts as instruments, than the chemist could in his researches if he were deprived of the necessary apparatus for experiments. As the chemist needs his laboratory for experiments, as long as there remains any undiscovered truths in relation to the elements and compounds of our globes; so does the church need the great laboratory of spiritual knowledge—namely, revelation and prophecy, as long as it knows only in part. Without this heavenly treasure, the child can never progress to perfection—can never become "a perfect man in Christ Jesus"—can never "see as it is seen," and "know as it is known"—can never attain "to the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ"—can never dwell in that perfect state of society where they see the Lord face to face—where fulness of knowledge, glory, and happiness pervades every soul. As a human being, when a child, speaks as a child, understands as a child, and thinks as a child; so does the church in this state of existence know only in part: but as the child, when it becomes a man, puts away childish things; so will the church put away such childish things as "prophecy in part," "knowledge in part," and seeing in part, when it grows up, through the aid of these things, to a perfect man in Christ.
Jesus: that which is in part will be done away or immersed into the greater fulness of knowledge which there reigns. Perfection will then swallow up imperfection; the healing power will then be done away, for no sickness will be there; tongues and interpretations will then cease, for one pure language alone will be spoken; the casting out of devils and power against deadly poisons will not then be needed, for in Heaven circumstances will render them unnecessary.

16. But charity, which is the pure love of God, never faileth; it will sit enthroned in the midst of the glorified throne, clothed in all the glory and splendour of its native heaven. As charity, then, never fails, we can say, with the Apostle Paul, "Follow after charity, and desire spiritual gifts, but rather that you may prophesy," for all these things, with faith and hope, should be the companions of charity in this world, though circumstances will require some of them to part, "when that which is perfect is come;" but while travelling in this world of imperfection, let them be friends. And as God has joined them together in happy wedlock during this state of existence, let no man put them asunder. That habituation that will not admit them all as occupants, cannot retain either singly. Faith, Hope, and Charity, will not abide where their dear friend Immediate Revelation is rejected. Though Christendom may pass bills of divorcement, and try to separate them, yet they will not be separated. Wherever they are unitedly received, they impart salvation and eternal life; wherever either is rejected, death—eternal death—is sure to be the result.

17. New-revelation deniers, to sustain their false position, sometimes refer to the saying of our Saviour, "For all the prophets and the law prophesied until John." (Matthew xi., 13.) From this they draw the conclusion that John was to be the last prophet of the human race with which our world were to be favoured; and to strengthen this conclusion they connect this saying with the following prediction of Zechariah: "And it shall come to pass in that day, saith the Lord of Hosts, that I will cut off the names of the idols out of the land, and they shall no more be remembered; and also I will cause the prophets and the unclean spirit to pass out of the land. And it shall come to pass, that when any shall yet prophesy, then his father and his mother that begat him shall say unto him: Thou shalt not live; for thou speakest lies in the name of the Lord; and his father and his mother that begat him shall thrust him through when he prophesieth. And it shall come to pass in that day, that the prophets shall be ashamed every one of his vision when he hath prophesied; neither shall they wear a rough garment to deceive." (Zechariah xiii., 2, 3, 4.) It is said that the prophets were until John, after which the Lord caused the prophets to pass out of the land, as no longer necessary. If this conclusion be correct, then the "book of John's prophecy," revealed some sixty-five years after John the Baptist's death, must be false. If there were to be no more prophets after John, then Paul must have been entirely mistaken when he says to the Ephesians, that God, "by revelation, made known unto me the mystery which in other ages was not made known unto the sons of men, as it is now revealed unto his holy apostles and PROPHETS." (Eph. iii., 3, 5.) If Paul's word be credited, instead of the words of the false teachers of latter times, then there must have been prophets connected with the apostles after the days of John, and prophets, too, who received greater mysteries by revelation than the prophets of other ages. This agrees with another saying of Paul, that "God hath set some in the church,—first, apostles; secondarily, PROPHETS; thirdly, teachers," &c. (1 Corinthians, xii., 28.) In accordance with this, we read of certain prophets in the Christian church at Antioch, to whom the Holy Ghost spake and gave directions concerning the calling and missions of Paul and Barnabas. (See Acts xiii.) After the days of John the Baptist, we read of Agabus the prophet, who prophesied of a great famine which came to pass in the days of Claudius Cesar; and also the four daughters of Philip the Evangelist, who prophesied of the persecutions which awaited Paul at Jerusalem. (See Acts.) To reject prophets from the Christian church would be one of the greatest perversions of God's word.

18. The prediction of Zechariah to which we have referred has not yet had its fulfilment; for "the idols" and the "unclean spirit" there spoken of have not yet passed away out of the land; they are not yet "no more remembered," as is said in this prediction. That the prophets which the Lord should cause to pass away were to be false prophets, and not true ones, is evident from their being connected with
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the idols and unclean spirit which were all to pass away together. These prophets are, no doubt, the same characters which are spoken of in another place of his prophecy: "For, lo, I will raise up a shepherd in the land, which shall not visit those that be cut off; neither shall seek the young one, nor heal that is broken, nor feed that that standeth still; but he shall eat the flesh of the fat, and tear their claws in pieces. Woe to the idol shepherd that leaveth the flock!—the sword shall be upon his arm, and upon his right eye: his arm shall be clean dried up, and his right eye shall be utterly darkened." (Zechariah xi., 16, 17.) When the Lord cuts off the the names of the idols out of the land, he will then cause the sword to be upon "the arm" and upon "the right eye" of the "idol shepherd;" or, in other words, the prophets and unclean spirit, who tear, and devour, and destroy the flock, and eat the fat thereof, he will, in very deed, cause them "to pass away out of the land." This destruction of idol shepherds, false prophets, &c., will take place at the time, or a little after, the Saviour's second coming. "In that day," says Zechariah, "the Lord shall be king over all the earth," and "there shall be one Lord and his name one," the names of the idols having passed away, being no more remembered. This will be after he comes with all his saints and stands upon the Mount of Olives, as is predicted in this same connection. Therefore these passages have not the most distant allusion to the doing away of prophets from the Christian church, as many reverend false teachers assert. None but the most ignorant and unreflecting could ever be deceived by such barefaced and glaring perversions of those passages by modern divines. Were it not to cover up their apostacy, ministers of modern Christendom never would have resorted to such wilful and awfully wicked perversions of God's word—perversions, too, which, if admitted, would destroy many of the very books of the Bible which they pretend to believe.

18.—As the foregoing are the only passages referred to by those who reject new revelation, we conclude that there are no others that have, in their estimation, any bearing upon the subject; and as we have clearly shown that these passages contain not the slightest intimation that God has revealed all that he ever intended to give to man. Therefore the proposition containing the subject matter of these paragraphs is fully established, and it can be asserted, with the greatest assurance, and without fear of contradiction, that it is not unscriptural to expect more revelation.

TO EXPECT MORE REVELATION IS NOT UNREASONABLE.

1.—In the foregoing we have shown that in so far as the enemies of new revelation have undertaken to prove their position by scripture, they have utterly failed. We shall now proceed to examine the reasons offered by the world for rejecting new revelation. If it can be demonstrated that the giving of more revelation would be unreasonable, then all professed revelation should be rejected at once without investigation, for it could not be otherwise than false.

2.—It is said that God revealed enough to save man in ancient days, and it is concluded that the revelations which saved the ancients, will save men in all future generations, and, therefore, it is argued that it is unreasonable to expect any more. Now we must freely admit that God revealed enough to save man in ancient times, but that these were sufficient for future generations, we deny. No one will for a moment dispute but that the revelations given to Abel were sufficient to save him; but to argue that Abel's revelations were sufficient for all future generations, would be the very height of absurdity. The revealed will of God to Abel, though sufficient to save him, was altogether insufficient to guide Noah and his family; nothing short of a new revelation could unfold to him the awful judgment that awaited the world by a universal deluge: nothing short of a new revelation could point out to him a way of escape. But new revelation was as unpopular to the antediluvians as it is now to the apostate churches of the nineteenth century. They, without doubt, considered Noah an impostor for offering to them a new revelation, when Abel and Enoch had enough to save them. In vain did Noah urge upon them the necessity of believing in his message; in vain did he portray the awful consequences of rejecting it; they considered the revelations of their forefathers all-sufficient without any additional ones; and thus the whole world, except eight persons, were carried away with the fatal delusion that new revelation
was unnecessary, and the whole mass of deluded fanatics perished together as a fearful warning to all the enemies of new revelation who should live after them.

3.—Lot, though a righteous man, could not have been saved from the shower of fire and brimstone about to be poured upon the cities of the plain, had he not believed in new revelation, pointing out to him his only course of safety. In vain did he plead with his kindred to believe in new revelation, and depart out of Sodom to escape the threatened judgment; he seemed to them as one that mocked. They doubtless thought, like modern divines, that the old revelations that saved their fathers would also save them; they persisted in their strong delusions until overwhelmed by a shower of fire; and as it was with these cities of the plain, so shall it also be with the multitude of all nations who are enemies to new revelation in the days of the coming of the Son of man: they shall become as stubble in the midst of the devouring flame, and shall, like Sodom and Gomorrah, be punished with the vengeance of eternal fire.

4.—When Jesus offered to the Jews a new revelation they immediately appealed to the old ones, saying, "We have Moses and the prophets, but as for this man Christ Jesus, we know not whence he is," The devil had put it into their hearts to suppose that the revelations of their forefathers were sufficient, and for any person to offer them a new one was considered an imposition; they continued to reject every thing of the kind, until they brought upon themselves and their beloved city swift destruction.

5.—The apostate Gentile churches of the present century are following in the same dangerous path. The cunning arch impostors of modern times, under the name of Popish and Protestant ministers, have persuaded millions of their deluded votaries to reject every thing under the name of new revelation, and to receive only such ancient books as they have named in their "Articles of Religion." If this wicked imposition had only deceived here and there a few, there would be some hopes of mankind; but alas! the delusion is as popular as it was in the days of Noah. Learned and unlearned—rulers and ruled—philosophers and the ignorant—the great and the small—the high and the low, and in fine, all nations and people, have fallen into this whirlpool of delusion—this vortex of destruction, that has swallowed up nations and generations of ancient times, and left a sad but fearful warning to those who should live in after ages.

6.—Nothing can be more erroneous than to suppose that the revelations given to one individual, people, or generation, are sufficient to fully develope the duties of another individual, people, or generation. That there are many duties which are common to all mankind in every generation, is a truth that no one can dispute. It is equally clear that there are many duties which are limited in their nature, and only required of such as God may name or designate under existing circumstances. Those general laws which are universal in their application, though revealed ever so often, are always the same; they are as unchangeable as the great Law Giver in whom they originated; while those individual or circumstantial laws which are limited to the individuals for whom they are given, are changeable in their nature. New circumstances require new laws which must continue to change in order to suit the condition of the people. No man, either in ancient or modern times, has ever yet learned his whole duty from the general laws which God has revealed. Without new revelation adapted to the peculiar condition of himself as an individual, and varied at sundry times, according to the change of circumstances, he will for ever remain ignorant of a part of his duty.

7.—As the present generation are so universally in error, in supposing that the ancient revelations are sufficient for all present purposes, we shall point out still further the absurdity of this supposition, by shewing the distinction between general and circumstantial laws, as revealed to govern the actions of men, and by pointing out the absolute necessity of continued revelation, growing out of the nature of the varied circumstances in which man is placed. General laws, given to regulate the actions of all men, are those which prohibit man from doing that which in its very nature is evil; and which enjoin upon them to do that which in its very nature is good. Circumstantial laws are those which prohibit man from doing that which in its nature is not evil, but which, if done, circumstances would render evil; and which enjoin upon him to do that which in its nature is neither good nor evil, but which, if done, circumstances would render good.
8.—The first class of laws are termed general because of their universal adaptation to the conditions of all men in all generations and ages, and under every dispensation of God to man. There are many things which are naturally evil, and no change of circumstances can render them otherwise than evil: they are recognised as evil by all men, whether in a civilised or savage state: there is but one law of conscience in regard to them, independent of all revealed law. That which tends to unjustly injure another in his person or character is naturally an evil: the law of conscience tells all men that it is evil: the revealed law of God coincides with that of conscience, and proclaims it an evil, and forbids mankind under a heavy penalty to unjustly injure one another. To bear false witness against a neighbour is an evil in its very nature. It is not the revealed law of God which makes it an evil, but it is clearly perceived to be an evil where the revealed law is unknown. To take the advantage of a good man and cheat him out of his property—to rob, or steal, or wantonly waste, or destroy it—is an evil, recognised by the consciences of all men: it is not necessary for the revealed law to proclaim these things as evil in order that man may perceive them as such; for the savage, as well as the sage, readily perceives, by the aid of his conscience alone, that the inherent nature of these things is vicious. To murder or shed innocent blood is distinguished by all men to be a great evil: there is something in the nature of the act that proclaims loudly that it is one of the greatest of evils. If God had never revealed it an evil in written words, yet mankind would be none the less assured of its evil nature. The object of the revealed law is, not merely to show that these acts are evil and vicious, but to show the penalty and consequences of such acts:—to show that judgment and misery must necessarily result from a vicious course of life. We have now given a few items of evil that are in their nature evil, and against which God has enacted general laws to govern men in all ages.

9.—We shall next point out some things which in their very nature are good, and which the consciences of all men, at once perceive to be good. To show pity to the poor—to feed the hungry and clothe the naked—to administer relief to the sick and afflicted—to do unto our neighbours that which we, in like circumstances, would consider they ought to do for us—and in fine, to love them, and seek to benefit them, and make them happy, are things which are inherently good: it is not a command to do these things which renders them good: they were good before any revealed law enjoined mankind to do them; they were good independent of all revealed law; they were good from the beginning in their very nature; and man is so constituted that he cannot look upon them otherwise than as being inherently good. These are the virtuous acts which the revealed law has enjoined upon men to perform. It is not the object of the revealed law, merely to point out that these acts are good and virtuous, for this was already understood, but the object was to enjoin upon man the importance of doing good,—to make known to him the reward which should be received for every virtuous act, and the happy results which should follow a virtuous course of life. We have now given a few items of good that are in and of themselves naturally good, concerning which God has enacted general laws to govern man in every age and dispensation.

10.—These items of good and evil, together with all others of like nature, are the principal items embodied in a code of laws which are intended to be general in their application. Those who violate them, though they are not acquainted with the revealed law concerning them, yet they will be judged by the law of their consciences so far as they were able to perceive the nature of right and wrong, but not being acquainted with the penalty annexed to these laws, they will only be punished with a few stripes; while those who have, not only the law of conscience, but also the revealed law, and shall violate its sacred commands, will be beaten with many stripes.

11.—There are many things which are not naturally evil, but which become evil circumstantially; for instance, God having finished this creation in six days, rested on the seventh, and from this circumstance, he ordained the sabbath as a day of rest, and commanded that man should not work on that day. Now a man unaccustomed with this revealed law, would be as likely to labour on the sabbath as on any other day: there would be nothing in the nature of this act, nor in the nature of anything connected with it, that would indicate to him that he was doing an evil. Those things which are naturally evil are the only ones which are perceptible to the conscience as
such, without the light of revelation; and consequently, God will neither judge, condemn, nor punish a man who has ignorantly transgressed and done an evil which his conscience could not possibly detect as such, and unto whom he has never sent the revealed law. To labour on the sabbath day, therefore, is only an evil, because it is forbidden; there is nothing in the nature of it that is evil: not so with stealing, bearing false witness, committing adultery, murdering, and such like crimes; they are all evils by nature, though they were not forbidden; for the conscience of the savage, as well as the civilised man, regards them as such.

12.—Incorporated in the code of general laws, concerning good and evil, are many other laws of a circumstantial nature which are also binding upon all people to whom they are sent with proper authority; such, for instance, as the law of baptism—the laying on of hands in confirmation, in ordination, and in healing the sick—the anointing of the sick with oil in the name of the Lord with prayer—the Lord’s supper, and the keeping of the sabbath day holy. These are duties revealed in ancient times to be perpetuated among all people to whom they should be sent with divine authority. But these general laws of good and evil, including all the annexed ordinances and institutions, intended to be perpetuated, unfolded but a very small portion of the individual duties of man, arising from the circumstances with which he is surrounded. Indeed, no code of laws which were intended to be generally applicable could, from their nature, possibly unfold the vast variety of constantly changing duties required even of one man. Much more impossible would it be for such a code to make manifest the multifarious duties of some fifteen thousand millions of the human race who have lived since the days of the apostles.

13.—We shall now point out a few specimens of revelation which were not intended to be perpetuated, being confined to a very limited period of time, and only intended for the benefit of those for whom they were given; these may be termed peculiar or circumstantial revelations, and are as necessary to fulfil the purposes of God for the well being of man, as those of a higher order or of a more general nature. Circumstances required a peculiar revelation to be given to Noah in relation to building an ark. The peculiarity of this revelation will be seen from the fact, that Noah was required to do a work altogether different from what had been required of any man anterior to his day. If the objector should say, that this revelation to Noah, having reference to temporal salvation, was of minor importance, compared with these great revelations on moral subjects, and should conclude that it was not a matter of much consequence whether such a revelation was given or not. We reply, that the all-wise Creator who knows what is for the good of man, does not give revelation upon subjects of no importance: but every thing connected with revelation, is of great importance, and intended not only for the temporal, but for the eternal good of man. For man to reject a command of God in relation to temporal things, or temporal salvation, would have a serious bearing upon his future state, and deprive him of future salvation. Therefore all things which God commands a person to do, however unimportant they may appear to finite creatures, are nevertheless of infinite importance, and will most assuredly influence his eternal destiny.

14.—Peculiar revelations were given to Abraham: he was commanded to depart out of Chaldea, his native country, and go to a land wherein he was a stranger. This command was not general, but individual, in its application. Abraham and his household seem to be the only persons required to obey it. Here, then, was a duty which they never could have learned from any general laws: no revelation alone could make it manifest. If we read the short history of Abraham’s life, we find a great variety of duties made known to him which he must for ever have remained in ignorance of, had it not been for new revelation. At one time he was commanded to circumcise all the males of his household; at another, to walk through the land of Canaan, in the length of it and in the breadth of it; at another, to lift up his eyes eastward, westward, northward, and southward, with a promise that all the land over which he travelled, and which his eyes beheld, should be given to him and his posterity for an everlasting possession; at another time he was commanded to offer as a sacrifice different kinds of animals and fowls; at another, to offer his only son Isaac as a burnt offering upon a mountain; at another, to stay his hand, and not destroy the child. Now, all these were duties which could not be learned from
ancient revelation, from the fact that no other people had been previously commanded to do these things. They were duties that could not be incorporated in a system of laws that were intended to be general in their application, and for this very reason Abraham considered new revelation indispensably necessary; it was the only possible way to learn the whole of his duty. O! how different were the feelings and views of this good old patriarch from those entertained by modern enemies to new revelation! The one saw the impossibility of learning the whole will of God from previous revelation; the others consider that a few ancient books called the Bible reveal the whole will of God to all nations and generations for the last seventeen centuries. O! the impenetrable darkness of apostate Christianity! It is heart-sickening to every man of God! Who among the saints of ancient times could have supposed that a race of people would arise professing to believe in the revelations of old time, but considering that all new ones were entirely unnecessary? The worshippers of Baal were far more consistent than apostate Christendom; for they had a faint hope that Baal would hear and answer them; but modern divines have no expectation that their God will say anything to them or to their followers. Baal’s followers cried from morning until evening for him to give unto them a miraculous manifestation, in the presence of Elijah; but to even expect a supernatural manifestation or revelation now is considered, by modern religionists, as the greatest absurdity. Baal’s worshippers, therefore, with all their absurdities, approached nearer the religion of heaven, in some of their expectations, than those who falsely call themselves Christians.

15.—The history of the people of God, from the earliest ages, shows that continued revelation was the only way that they could possibly learn all their duties, or God’s will concerning themselves. They never once thought that the revelations given to previous generations were sufficient to guide them into every duty. A doctrine which rejects new revelation is a new doctrine, invented by the devil and his agents during the second century after Christ; it is a doctrine in direct opposition to the one believed in and enjoyed by the saints in all ages. Now, to subvert and do away a doctrine four thousand years old, and introduce a new one in its stead, can only be done by divine authority. But have the propagators of this new doctrine, at any period since its invention, established its authority either by scripture, reason, miracles, or any other way? If not, how dare they to break in upon the long-established order of God, and invent a new doctrine, excluding all further revelation? How dare they to promulgate a doctrine so entirely different from what the ancient saints ever believed or thought of? How dare they assume and teach that God will no more speak with man, when he never had failed, in any instance, to converse with his saints in every previous generation? How dare they call themselves the people of God, and yet reject the great, fundamental, and infinitely important doctrine of continued revelation, which always distinguished the people of God from every other people? None but the most blind and determined enemies to new revelation could for a moment believe the Bible, and at the same time believe that the ancient saints and the apostate churches of Christendom were both the people of God: the one class believed in a doctrine of continued revelation, established not only by several thousand years’ experience, but by a continued series of miracles during that long period of time; while the other class have entirely excluded this heavenly doctrine from their midst; and, as a substitute, have invented, through the aid of uninspired men, “Articles of Religion,” “Creeds,” “Disciplines,” “Commentaries,” &c. Who, then, with a knowledge of these two systems of religion, so widely different and opposed to each other, would have the hardihood or wicked presumption to call the latter Christians or the Church of God?

16.—As the doctrine, then, of continued revelation is one that was always believed by the saints, it ought not to be required of any man to prove the necessity of the continuation of such a doctrine. If it were a new doctrine never before introduced into the world, it would become necessary to establish its divine origin; but, inasmuch as it is only a continuation of an old doctrine, established thousands of years ago, and which has never ceased to be believed and enjoyed by the saints, it would be the greatest presumption to call it in question at this late period; and hence it would seem almost superfluous to undertake to prove the necessity of its continuance.
Instead of being required to do this, all people have the right to call upon all the new-revelation deniers of the last seventeen centuries to bring forward their strong reasonings and testimonies for breaking in upon the long-established order of heaven, and introducing a new doctrine so entirely different from the old. If they wish their new doctrine to be believed, let them demonstrate it to be of divine origin, or else all people will be justified in rejecting it, and in still cleaving to the old. When Jesus came and did away the old law of Moses, and introduced a new system of religion, he established the divine origin of the new by the most incontrovertible testimony; the most splendid miracles were wrought both by himself and his followers. Now, if the new-revelation deniers will bring as much testimony as Jesus and his followers did to establish their new doctrine, then they may, with some little propriety, call upon mankind to believe in it; but as yet they have given the world no evidence whatever only their own conjectures. We are called upon to reject a doctrine much older than the law of Moses, and of far greater importance, and to receive in its stead the doctrines of uninspired men, excluding all new communications from heaven; and, as yet not one testimony has been offered the world in confirmation of this newly-invented religion. How strange that any one should ever have been deceived with such absurdities! How incomprehensibly more strange that millions should still cling to the awful delusion!

17.—When a doctrine has been originated by divine authority, and has been believed and enjoyed by the people of God, without an exception, in all ages, it is not unreasonable to expect the continuance of such doctrine among the saints in all future ages, unless some cause can be shown for its discontinuance: for instance, the doctrine of Faith, Repentance, and Remission of Sins, was originated and taught by divine authority immediately after the fall, and, like the doctrine of continual revelation, was embraced and enjoyed by every people of God until the apostles fell asleep. Now, if a people had arisen in the second century of the Christian era who excluded from their religion any of these principles, would not such a newly-invented religion have been considered as a gross imposition, and all its originators as the basest of impostors? At least, would not the inventors of such a religion have been required to show some authority or cause for thus discontinuing a doctrine which even they themselves continued to admit was necessary in all previous ages? If Faith, Repentance, Remission of Sins, and Continued Revelation, were necessary for four thousand years, what reason can be shown that any one of these heavenly principles should ever afterwards become unnecessary? If the second century were chosen as the memorable period for the discontinuance of an essential and long-established principle of religion, and for the introduction of a new religion diverse from what the people of God ever before enjoyed, then, indeed, it must be a period of great importance in the history of man. But the great and infinitely important question is, how shall mankind know that this sudden and unexpected change in the religion of heaven was produced by divine authority? Have its propagators ever established its divine authenticity in any way? If not, then they must be the vilest and most dangerous impostors that ever disgraced our earth, deceiving, not a few only, but their thousands of millions, and corrupting all nations with their abominable and soul-destroying apostacy.

18.—A doctrine or principle established by divine authority will require divine authority to do it away. That which is established by a Superior Power cannot be abolished by an inferior power. This may be beautifully illustrated by the kingdoms, governments, and powers of the earth. Each has its law-making department: this power is sometimes invested in a legislative body, and sometimes in the king, queen, or emperor. Whenever any of these departments enacts laws for the welfare of the people, they are considered to be in force and binding upon all citizens until the law-making department shall repeal them, and notify the people of such repeal. Private citizens or inferior councils could never repeal that which was enacted and ordained by higher powers. If the king ordained the law, then none but the king can repeal it. If the people should undertake to abrogate or do away the law, it would be considered an act of rebellion against the government. So if the king should ordain certain rights or privileges to be enjoyed by his subjects, no inferior power would have a right to disannul such legal grants—none would have a right to say that the privi-
leges, ordained by the king in behalf of his subjects, were done away. The power that ordains rights and privileges, can alone disannul them. The subjects have no right to suppose that any law or privilege is done away, unless the law-making department has notified the people to that effect. So it is with the kingdom of God. God is the King; he is the legal Law-Giver to all the children of the kingdom; he has ordained certain rights and privileges to be enjoyed by them all; he has given to them all the right of petition, with a sure and certain promise that he will hear and answer. These rights and privileges were enjoyed for about four thousand years by all the subjects of his government; they petitioned the King, to show them by revelation many great and glorious things, which he, according to his promise, granted. Among the promised rights and blessings, granted by the great and unchangeable Law-Giver, may be enumerated, the privilege of conversing with him and with his angels, and to receive knowledge by visions, by dreams, by the revelations of the Holy Spirit, and by prophecy. After having enjoyed those chartered rights for many thousand years, the people all at once assumed the authority to disannul them, and thus came out in open rebellion against the government of the Almighty. Oh, what a fearful responsibility rests upon those who have thus dared to repeal and disannul that which God had established!

19.—What would be the consequences, if a portion of the inhabitants of Great Britain were to rise up against some of the dearest and most precious rights which had been granted by the law-making department, and which had been enjoyed by the subjects for many generations? Would they not be considered in a state of rebellion? Would they not be taken and tried before the proper tribunals, and condemned and punished, as guilty of treason? How much sorer punishment, then, must the world of Christendom receive! For their crime is of much greater magnitude. They have not rebelled against the governments of the earth, but against the government of Heaven; they have repealed, disannulled, and rebelled against some of the most sacred rights granted by the King of kings. If such a rebellion against the laws of earthly governments will subject the person to death, what must be the punishment of those who rebel against heavenly governments! Oh, Christendom! what hast thou done? Thou hast closed the door of Heaven upon thyself, and upon the nations of the earth! Thou hast made the windows of Heaven as brass that cannot easily be penetrated! Thou hast rejected the key of revelation, and thus cut off all communications from the heavenly worlds! Thou hast repealed and made void the chartered privileges, and most sacred rights, ordained of God, for the comforting, teaching, and perfecting of his Saints! Thou hast veiled the heavens in darkness, and shrouded the earth with the black mantle of error! Oh, Christendom, what wilt thou do! And whither wilt thou hide thyself in the day of thy visitation—in the day of the fierce anger of the Almighty! The mountains and rocks will not cover thy shame, nor hide thy guilt from the eye of Him who searcheth all things! Repent, then, of thy great wickedness, oh, thou destroyer of souls! no longer lift thy voice against the glorious gift of revelation; no longer deny the chartered rights of the people of God; no longer rebel against the ministry of angels, and the enjoyments of the gifts of vision and prophecy; no longer seek to repeal that which Heaven has ordained, and which the children of God enjoyed for four thousand years. Remember that divine gifts and divine laws can only be repealed by divine authority.

20.—We are told by the ministers of Christendom, that God has repealed the gift of revelation, as no longer necessary. But they have utterly failed up to this day to point out the revelation that contains this repeal. The Old Testament does not contain it—the New Testament does not contain it. As the repeal act is not found in the Bible, where shall it be found? This is a question of great importance! If there be such an Act of Repeal, it must be somewhere, or how could these ministers have known it? We call upon Christendom to bring forward out of their sacred archives the REPEAL LAW. Let us search it;—let us see what God has said about the world's having revelation enough. Let us see what time the Repeal was passed, when it came in force,—how long it is to continue in force,—and whether there is any probability of a restoration of the former privileges! None can consider this call for the repeal law unreasonable. If God has ordained such a law it is reasonable that we should know it. The ministers say they know it. Why not let the people see the law that they
may know it also? Why keep them in the dark—if such a law exist, bring it forward.
You cannot say that it is a law of not much importance; for surely, if God has passed
a law repealing the gift of revelation—the gift of prophecy—the gift of visions and
dreams by the spirit—the ministry of angels—and all other miraculous gifts, which had
been enjoyed by every people of God among all nations, and in all generations for four
thousand years—if he has swept away all these long-established and most glorious
privileges from the church by a repeal law, then it must be one of the most important
laws that have ever been communicated to man; it is a law that every one should be
familiar with; and none should be prohibited from reading or perusing it.

21.—When God repealed the law of Moses he did not keep it to himself, but he
told the people plainly, not only of the repeal act, but also of the new acts which were
introduced in its stead. The law of Moses required a man to give a writing of di-
vorcement if he wished to put away his wife; but Jesus repealed that law, and gave
a new one in its stead. The law of Moses required the people to “perform unto the
Lord their oaths;” but Jesus repealed this law, and commanded the people to “swear
not at all.” The law of Moses required “an eye for an eye, a tooth for a tooth;”
but Jesus repealed this law also, and commanded the people “not to resist evil.”
Here, then, we have the repeal law, abolishing that of Moses, and the new law intro-
duced in its stead; both are revealed in perfect plainness: there is no dubiety or un-
certainty as to what is repealed or as to what takes it place. If it be considered
necessary to reveal to mankind that certain privileges, granted by the law of Moses,
were repealed; how much more necessary is it, that mankind should know of the
repeal of blessings and privileges far greater and vastly superior to those of the law
of Moses! Would God take such particular care to notify man of the repeal of Mo-
ses’ law, and yet leave him in entire ignorance with regard to the repeal of the gift
of revelation, visions, prophecy, &c.? The law of Moses “was added because of trans-
gression,” and given “because of the hardness of their hearts;” Paul calls it a “law
of carnal commandments;” therefore mankind could, with propriety, look for its re-
peal. But no one for a moment could have supposed that the Lord would repeal and
do away such great and glorious gifts as ministers now declare to be unnecessary.
But what seems still more strange, is, that he should repeal privileges, granted, not
only in the Mosaic dispensation, and in the ages preceding it, but also in the Gospel
dispensation, even down to the close of the first century, and yet give us no informa-
tion of such repeal.

22.—But the ministers of apostate Christendom assert that God has repealed those
precious gifts, and we now call upon them to tell us how they know it. Has God
revealed it to them? No, say they; God reveals nothing in this age. Did you learn
it from ancient revelations? If so, we call upon you in the name of the Lord, as you
value your own soul’s salvation, and that of others, to show us the revelation, that we
may know it also. If you do not do this, it will be considered that you do not know
any such thing, but that you have come to the people, like the prophets of Ahab, with
a lie in your mouths to deceive, devour, and destroy. O ye ministers of modern
Christendom—you enemies of new revelation! how can ye escape the damnation of
hell! How many millions of good honest-hearted people you have deceived by your
cunning craftiness, and lying hypocracies! How many millions would have called
upon God, in faith, for revelations, prophecies, visions, and the ministry of angels,
and received these precious blessings, had it not been for the wicked, most abominable,
soul-destroying lies, which you have instilled into their ears by telling them that these
things were repealed and done away! Repent, therefore, of this great wickedness,
and be baptized for the remission of your sins, and you shall receive the Holy Ghost,
which shall give you visions and revelations, and shall show you things to come; and
except you do this, the wrath and indignation of that Being against whom you have
lied, shall speedily overtake you, and you shall perish out of the earth. Repent,
therefore, quickly, that you may find mercy.

15, Wilton Street, Liverpool, October 15th, 1850.

LIVERPOOL: PRINTED BY B. JAMES, 39, SOUTH CASTLE STREET.
MORE REVELATION IS INDISPENSABLY NECESSARY.

1.—In the former number it has been shewn, that to expect more revelation is neither unscriptural nor unreasonable; hence, there is as great a probability that more will be given in our day, as in any former age. The object of this number is to shew that more revelation is indispensably necessary,

FIRST, FOR THE CALLING OF OFFICERS IN THE CHURCH.

2.—Whenever God has called and authorized men to perform a work in any age or dispensation, it has been done by revelations, and not by mere impressions, or some undefinable internal feelings, which leave the mind in uncertainty and doubt. Noah was called by the word of the Lord to be a preacher of righteousness; and to build an ark. Abraham, Lot, Isaac, Jacob, and Joseph, were called by revelation to perform a great variety of duties. Moses and Aaron were called to the priest's office by the word of the Great Jehovah. Seventy elders of Israel were called by revelation to assist Moses. Joshua was appointed by the word of the Lord through Moses to be his successor in leading Israel. The successors of Aaron were appointed to the priesthood by revelation. The Judges of Israel were called by visions, by angels, and by the inspiration of the Spirit. Samuel was called by the voice of the Lord. And finally, all their officers, wise men, and prophets, down to the days of Malachi, were called by new revelation.

3.—The calling of officers under the christian dispensation continued the same. John, the forerunner of Christ, was called by the spirit of prophecy, as manifested through the angel Gabriel and his father Zacharias. Jesus was called by his Father, and appointed a priest for ever after the order of Melchisedec; He is termed by St. Paul, "the Apostle and High Priest of our profession." Jesus, being an apostle, called others to the same office, and said unto them, "ye have not chosen me but I have chosen you, and ordained you, that ye should go and bring forth fruit." (John xv.) When Judas fell through transgression, the apostles did not appoint another to take his bishoprick through a mere impression, but they called upon the Lord to show whom he had chosen; and "the lot fell upon Matthias." (Acts i. 15-26.) The seventy disciples were called by the word of the Lord. Paul and Barnabas were both apostles, (see Acts xiv. 14.) and were set apart to the work of the ministry by new revelation through the inspired prophets and teachers, which were in the church at Antioch. (Acts xiii. 1-4.) That the elders of the church at Ephesus were called by revelation, is evident by the following language of Paul to them, "Take heed there-
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fore unto yourselves, and to all the flock over the which the Holy Ghost hath made you overseers, to feed the church of God which he hath purchased with his own blood." (Acts xx. 28.) Timothy, the first bishop of Ephesus, was appointed by prophecy and by the laying on of hands. (1 Tim. iv. 14.) Titus, the first bishop of the Cretians, was appointed by the Apostle Paul "to ordain elders in every city;" these elders were to be ordained after the manner, and in the way that Paul had appointed Titus. (Titus i. 5.) And we have already seen that a spirit of prophecy was necessary to ordain a bishop; and as Bishop Titus was to ordain elders in every city after the pattern that he himself had been ordained, he must, to have carried out his instructions, have enjoyed the spirit of prophecy. If the elders of Ephesus were made overseers of the church by the revelation of the Holy Ghost, it is reasonable to infer, that revelation was necessary to the appointment of elders in all other cities. Paul says, "As God hath distributed to every man, as the Lord hath called every one, so let him walk, and so ordain I in all churches." (1 Cor. vii. 17.) Paul did not presume to ordain in all churches, only such as were called of the Lord, and he ordained them according to that calling; and such callings could only be made known by revelation.

4.—When mankind through their apostacy lost the spirit of prophecy and revelation, they also lost the other supernatural gifts of the spirit, such as healing, miracles, tongues, interpretations of tongues, &c. These gifts ceased, not all at once, but by degrees, until the spirit had entirely withdrawn, leaving only a powerless form. The necessity of revelations, visions, prophesying, ministry of angels, and miraculous gifts, was never denied until mankind found themselves destitute of these promised blessings; when it was pretended that they were only designed for the first christians; the people began by degrees to believe this wicked pretension, until, at length, they boldly denied the necessity of every miraculous power. Millions in every succeeding generation have continued to walk in the footsteps of the early apostates, fulfilling in every respect the prediction of Paul, that "in the last days perilous times should come; for men should be lovers of their own selves, covetous, &c.;" "having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof." (2 Tim. iii. 1—5.) Notwithstanding the universality of this apostacy, yet the numerous religious bodies which enter into its composition, have had the daring presumption to still call themselves christians, or the church of Christ. But if they were the church of Christ, then the miraculous powers and gifts of Christ would be shown forth among them, and their ministers, as formerly, would be called by revelation. The church of Christ cannot exist on the earth without an authorized ministry. This ministry cannot be called and authorized without new revelation. "No man taketh this honor unto himself," (that is the honor of the ministry) "but he that is called of God as was Aaron." (Heb. v. iv.)

5.—Without new revelation every office in the church would necessarily become vacant. It is true, that those who held office at the time revelation ceased, would still, during their natural life, continue to retain it, unless through transgression they should be legally deprived of it. If revelation ceased at the close of the first century, it is not at all likely that any of the officers, then holding authority, would be alive a century afterwards; and as they would have no authority to ordain others without new revelation, when they died, the authority upon the earth would necessarily become extinct. How overwhelming the thought! Yet there is no conclusion more certain. If all offices became vacant there could be no additions to the church by baptism; for it would be a great sin for private members to assume the authority to baptize; hence, as soon as those who had been baptized by authority were dead, the world would be entirely destitute of both the officers and private members of the church of Christ. But when officers and members both cease, what is left? nothing at all. Hence, without continued revelation, the church could no more continue its existence on the earth, than a body could live without the spirit. Therefore, if revelation ceased with the Apostles, as the "Articles" and "Creeds" of men declare, every vestige of authority, as well as the church itself, must have become extinct from the earth, as early at least as the third century; since which time the earth has been cursed with priestcraft and apostacy, and with every species of wickedness.

6.—Since the church with its authority and power has been caught away from the earth, the great "mother of harlots" with all her descendants has blasphemously as-
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They have blasphemed the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost, by using it without authority in their ministrations. They have disdained the name of Christ, by calling their powerless, apostate, filthy, and most abominable churches, the church of Christ. The whole Romish, Greek, and Protestant ministry, from the Pope down through every grade of office, are as destitute of authority from God, as the devil and his angels. The Almighty abhors all their wicked pretensions, as he does the very gates of hell.

7.—The great apostasy of the Christian church commenced in the first century, while there were yet inspired apostles and prophets in their midst; hence Paul, just previously to his martyrdom, enumerates a great number who had "made shipwreck of their faith," and "turned aside unto vain jangling;" teaching "that the resurrection was already past," giving "heed to fables and endless genealogies;" "doting about questions and strifes of words, whereby came envyings, railings, evil surmisings, perverse disputings of men of corrupt minds, and destitute of the truth, supposing that gain is godliness." This apostacy had become so general that Paul declares to Timothy, "that all they which are in Asia be turned away from me;" and again, he says, "At my first answer, no man stood with me, but all men forsook me;" he further states, that "there are many unruly and vain talkers and deceivers," "teaching things which they ought not, for filthy lucre's sake." These apostates, no doubt, pretended to be very righteous; for, says the Apostle, "they profess that they know God; but in works they deny him, being abominable and disobedient, and unto every good work reprobate." Near the close of the first century, the apostacy had become so universal that only seven churches throughout all Asia, Africa, and Europe, were considered worthy of being either reproved or blessed by the voice of revelation: and even these seven were so corrupted by the doctrine of the Nicolaitanes, and of Balaam, by the fornications and adulteries of Jezebel, and by losing their "first love," and becoming "neither cold nor hot," that the Almighty considered them, with a very few exceptions, as "dead," and threatened to "spue them out of his mouth"—to cast them "into great tribulation," and "kill their children with death"—to "fight against them with the sword of his mouth"—and to "remove the candlestick" or church "out of its place."

8.—That this apostacy, which had become so formidable, while yet inspired apostles were in their midst, was to greatly increase, instead of decreasing, is evident from the predictions of scripture. Paul prophesies that "the day of Christ shall not come, except there come a falling away first;" that "evil men and seducers shall wax worse and worse, deceiving and being deceived." As a reason for the strict charge which he gave to Timothy, he predicts, that "the time will come when they will not endure sound doctrine; but after their own lusts they shall heap to themselves teachers, having itching ears; and they shall turn away their ears from the truth, and shall be turned unto fables." The predictions concerning the apostacy were not expressed in a vague uncertain form of language, but in the clearest and most forcible terms. "Now the Spirit speaketh expressly," says Paul, "that in the latter times some shall depart from the faith, giving heed to seducing spirits and doctrines of devils, speaking lies in hypocrisy, having their conscience seared with a hot iron." Peter prophesies that "there shall be false teachers among you, who privily shall bring in damnable heresies, even denying the Lord that bought them, and bring upon themselves swift destruction; and many shall follow their pernicious ways; by reason of whom the way of truth shall be evil spoken of; and through covetousness shall they with feigned words make merchandise of you." (2 Peter ii. 1, 2, 3.) These "fables," "doctrines of devils," and "damnable heresies" which false teachers should introduce into the world, were to constitute the religion of future ages, rendering the state of society fearful in the extreme. Paul gives a prophetic description of the religion of latter times as follows:—"This know also, that in the last days perilous times shall come; for men shall be lovers of their own selves, covetous, boasters, proud, blasphemers, disobedient to parents, unthankful, unholy, without natural affection, truce breakers, false accusers, incontinent, fierce, despisers of those that are good, traitors, heady, high-minded, lovers of pleasure more than lovers of God; having a form of godliness, but denying the power thereof, from such turn away. For of this sort are they which creep into
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houses, and lead captive silly women laden with sins, led away with divers lusts; every learning, and never able to come to the knowledge of the truth. Now as Jannes and Jambres withstood Moses, so do these also resist the truth: men of corrupt minds, reprobate concerning the faith. But they shall proceed no further; for their folly shall be made manifest unto all men, as their’s also was.” (2 Tim. iii. 1—9.)

9.—It seems from the foregoing predictions, that the religion of the latter-days was to be most awfully corrupt; that its teachers, instead of being sent by revelation from God, were to be heaped together by the people. These man-made teachers were to turn away the ears of the people from the truth, or from sound doctrine, and in its stead were to teach “fables,” “doctrines of devils, “damnable heresies,” “speaking lies in hypocrisy;” they were to come “with feigned words, or “with great swelling words of vanity,” to “make merchandise of the people;” they were to have “a form of Godliness,” but “the power” they were to deny: they were to meet with great success in deceiving mankind; for “many were to follow their pernicious ways.” The people were to delight in these powerless, devilish, hypocritical, lying, damnable heresies, while the way of truth was to be evil spoken of; and “because they received not the love of the truth,” God was to “send them strong delusions, that they should believe a lie, that they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness.” Such was to be the religion of the latter ages, as prophetically described by the ancient apostles; and such is the religion of the Papal, Greek, and Protestant churches of the nineteenth century. The predictions were uttered eighteen centuries ago, and modern christendom exhibits a most perfect fulfilment. Instead of having apostles, prophets, and other inspired men in the church now, receiving visions, dreams, revelations, ministry of angels, and prophecies for the calling of officers, and for the government of the church,—they have a wicked, corrupt, uninspired pope, or uninspired archbishops, bishops, clergymen, &c., who have a great variety of corrupt forms of Godliness, but utterly deny the gift of revelation, and every other miraculous power which always characterised Christ’s church. These man-made, powerless, hypocritical, false teachers, “make merchandise of the people,” by preaching for large salaries, amounting in many instances to tens of thousands of pounds sterling annually. They and their deluded followers are reprobate concerning the faith once delivered to the Saints. The faith which once quenched the violence of fire, stopped the mouths of lions, divided waters, and controlled the powers of nature, is discarded as unnecessary. The faith that inspired men with the gift of revelation—that opened the heavens and laid hold on mysteries that were not lawful to be uttered—that unfolded the visions of the past and future—and that called down the angels of heaven to eat and drink with men on earth—is denied as being attainable in this age. The sound doctrine taught by the apostles which put mankind in the possession of these glorious gifts and powers cannot now be endured. The doctrines, commands, fables, traditions, and creeds, of uninspired men, are now substituted in the place of direct inspiration from God. “They are ever learning, but are never able to come to the knowledge of the truth.”

Guess work, conjecture, opinion, and, perhaps, in some instances, a belief in regard to the truth, are all that they attain to, while a knowledge they do not obtain, because they deny new revelation the only means of obtaining it. This great multitude of false teachers who have found their way into all nations, deceiving millions, “resist the truth,” contend against the miraculous powers of the gospel, and reject inspired men, as “Jannes and Jambres”—the magicians, did Moses; but “their folly shall be made manifest unto all men, as their’s also was;” yea, all nations shall see the righteous judgments which shall speedily be executed upon them, for they shall, like Pharaoh’s host, perish quickly from the earth.

10.—If the revelations contained in the sixty-six books of the Old and New Testaments, are the only ones to be received, it would be impossible for any man since the apostacy, to prove from those books that he was called of God. The Bible contains the record of the callings and commissions of many who lived during the first four thousand years; but it says not one word about the callings and commissions of those who have lived during the last seventeen centuries. Some who have seen the dilemma in which they are placed by rejecting new revelation, have endeavoured to extricate themselves from it, by pretending that the old commissions given to the apostles are
sufficient for all present purposes. But this places them in another dilemma equally as great; for how can any man learn whether the commission given to the apostles is applicable to himself or not? Without new revelation he could never know. Surely the apostolic commission does not authorise all mankind who should live in future ages; and if it authorise a part only, then it certainly would require new revelation to specify which part. Therefore, if we were to admit so absurd an idea, there still would be an equal necessity for new revelation. But commissions or callings given to one man never did, nor never can, authorise another. Mankind have no more authority to preach, baptize, and administer the ordinances of the gospel, by virtue of the apostolic commission, than they have to ascend the throne of Great Britain by virtue of the commission given to king David.

11.—As the Church of England and other protestants do not profess to have received any new commission by revelation, but on the contrary, require their followers to reject everything of the kind, it may be asked, how did they get their authority? It will be replied, that they received it from Wickliffe, Cranmer, Luther, Calvin, and various other dissenters from the Papal church. But where did those dissenters get their’s from? They answer, from the Roman Catholics. But the Catholics excommunicated them as heretics; and surely if they had power to impart authority, they had power to take it away. Therefore, if the Roman church had any authority, the protestants, being excommunicated, can hold none from that source. But if the Catholics hold authority, they must be the true church, and consequently the protestants must be apostates; but on the other hand, if the Catholics are not the true church, they can have no authority themselves, and therefore could not impart any to others.

12.—Now the Church of England states in one of her homilies, “that laity and clergy, learned and unlearned, men and women, and children of all ages, sects, and degrees, of whole Christendom, have been at once buried in the most abominable idolatry, (a most dreadful thing to think,) and that for the space of eight hundred years or more.”* Wesley in his 94th sermon states the same in substance; he says, “The real cause why the extraordinary gifts of the Holy Ghost were no longer to be found in the christian church, was, because the christians were turned heathens again, and had only a dead form left.” If, then, the “whole of christendom,” without one exception, have been “buried in the most abominable idolatry for upwards of eight hundred years,” as the Church of England declares, and if they, because they are destitute of the gifts, are not even now christians, but heathens as Wesley asserts, we ask where the authority was during this eight hundred years, and where is it now? Surely God would not recognise “the most abominable idolaters,” as holding authority; if so, the authority of the worshippers of Juggernaut must be as valid as that of idolatrous christendom. But the idolatry of the “whole of christendom” must have been more corrupt, according to the Church of England, than that of other idolaters; for they call it “the most abominable idolatry,” and most positively declare that there was no exception of either clergy or laity—of either man, woman, or child—all were buried in it. This being the case, (and we feel no disposition to dispute it), there could have been no possible channel on the whole earth through which authority could have been transferred from the apostles to our day. Therefore, as Wesley says, all christendom are, sure enough, “heathens,” having no more authority nor power than the idolatrous pagans. If, then, the “whole of christendom” have been without authority and power “for eight hundred years and upwards,” we ask, when was the authority restored? how was it restored? and to what man or people was it restored? It could not have been restored to the Papal churches, for they do not profess that any such restoration has been made to them; it could not have been restored to the Church of England and other protestants, for they do not admit of any later revelation than the New Testament; consequently their own admissions prove most clearly that the whole of christendom are without an authorised ministry; therefore it is indispensably necessary that more revelation should be given to restore the authority to the earth and call men to the ministry again, as in ancient days.

* Homily on the Perils of Idolatry.
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13.—More revelation is not only necessary to restore an authorised ministry, that the church may again have place on the earth, but it is indispensably necessary,

SECONnLY, TO POINT OUT THE DUTIES OF THE OFFICERS OF THE CHURCH.

To call men to the ministry—would be of very little use, unless the persons called could have a perfect knowledge of the duties of their calling. Noah was called to preach repentance and righteousness to the antediluvians, but without further revelations, he never could have learned the will of God relative to the preservation of himself and family, and the different kinds of beasts, fowls, and creeping things, both clean and unclean, of all flesh; he never could have learned what amount of food of different kinds, and fresh water would be sufficient to sustain such a congregated host of living beings for the space of one hundred and fifty days, during which time the flood was to prevail. Jacob, though called of God, without further revelation, never could have learned what should befall the posterity of his sons in the last days, so as to have delivered a prophetic blessing upon the head of each according to the mind of God. Moses, though called by the voice of Jehovah, without further revelation, never could have delivered Israel from bondage, and led them forty years in the wilderness. Aaron, though called of God to the priestly office, and in possession of the written law, never could have sat upon the judgment-seat, and decided between man and man, according to the mind of God, without the "breastplate of judgment," containing the "Urim and Thummim," through which he could inquire of God and receive correct information relative to every case which should come before him. All the servants of God, down to the days of Malachi, were not only called by the Almighty, but directed in all their multifarious duties to the end of their days by immediate revelation.

14.—In the christian dispensation it was the same. Every officer, after having been called, was instructed and guided by continued revelation in the various duties of his calling. John, the forerunner of Christ, was first called, and then was enabled through the inspiration of the Spirit, to tell the Seribes, Pharisees, Sadducees, soldiers, and all the people, what they should do, as they came enquiring of him. Even Jesus himself, though he was sent by his Father, and came forth from God, did not presume to teach of himself, or perform anything pertaining to the work of the ministry, without first obtaining a revelation from the Father to direct him. He says, "I have not spoken of myself; but the Father which sent me, he gave me a commandment what I should say, and what I should speak." "Whateover I speak therefore, even as the Father said unto me, so I speak." (John xii. 49, 50). Again, he says, "The words that I speak unto you, I speak not of myself, but the Father that dwelleth in me, he doeth the work," "and as the Father gave me commandment, even so, I do." (Johnxiv. 10, 31). He further states, "I can of my own self do nothing: as I hear I judge, and my judgment is just, because I speak not mine own will, but the will of the Father which hath sent me." (John v. 30). Now if the great and glorious Redeemer of the world could not do anything of himself pertaining to the ministry, but was dependant altogether upon the Father to give him revelation and commandment what to do, and what to speak, how much more necessary is it for poor, weak, and fallible man, after having been called of God, to be directed in all things pertaining to the duties of his calling by continued revelation. And yet, strange to say, the whole of Christendom have been without this essential qualification for centuries, and have still dared to act as ministers in the name of the Lord. Oh, the wickedness of apostate Christendom! Truly did the apostle behold her "full of names of blasphemy," making all nations drunk with her wickedness.

15.—The example that Jesus set, not to act nor speak in the duties of his calling, unless by new revelation, was followed by the apostles. All the teachings which they had heard from the mouth of the Saviour while he was present with them, were not sufficient to qualify them for their duties in his absence. As soon as he left them, he began to give them commandments and revelations through the Holy Ghost. (See Acts i. 2). And without continued revelations, they, like their Lord and Master, could do nothing. It mattered not how much human wisdom or learning they might have acquired, nor how many revelations had previously been given; such
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things would in no wise qualify them for the ministry; it required constant revelation. St. Paul says, "The things of God knoweth no man, but the Spirit of God; now we have received not the spirit of the world, but the Spirit which is of God, that we might know the things that are freely given to us of God; which things also we speak, not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth, but which the Holy Ghost teacheth." (1 Cor. ii. 11, 12, 13). From these passages we learn, first, that no man can know the things of God only by revelation, and secondly, that no man can teach them acceptably only in the words "which the Holy Ghost teacheth." Revelation, then, is necessary to call and authorise the ministry, to reveal their duties, to manifest the things of God to them, and to give them utterance, "not in the words which man's wisdom teacheth," but in the words inspired and taught by the Holy Ghost.

16.—If human wisdom and learning could qualify any one for the ministry, Paul certainly could have claimed a higher qualification than the rest of the apostles; he was learned; he was eloquent; he was eminently prepared so far as the wisdom of man was available to move in the higher sphere of life, and to speak with honor and dignity in the presence of vast assemblies; he could, through human wisdom alone, have pathetically portrayed the death and sufferings of Christ, reasoned upon the benefits to be derived from the atonement, urged the importance of obeying the requirements of the gospel, and with all the thunders of his eloquence described the misery and wretchedness of the disobedient—yet he informs us, that he did not declare the things of God in the "words which man's wisdom teacheth." The words of man's wisdom are foolishness in the sight of God;—they are inadequate to convey properly the things revealed by the Spirit. The Spirit not only gives the ideas, but in a measure clothes them in suitable and proper words. This is the spirit of revelation, so abundantly enjoyed by the Saints of all ages, that so enriched their minds with heavenly knowledge, and qualified them to speak as the oracles of God, uttering words taught by the Holy Ghost. This is the spirit of revelation, rejected and done away in the "Articles" and "Creeds" of modern Christendom, and in its place are substituted "the words which man's wisdom teacheth." This is the spirit of revelation, so necessary to unfold to the minister of Christ, those duties which he never could learn from ancient revelation, nor from the wisdom and writings of uninspired men.

17.—Without this spirit of revelation Joshua never could have known the mind of the Lord in relation to taking the city of Jericho; he never could have known that it was the will of God that all Israel should march around its walls for seven days, blowing upon rams' horns. If Israel had been left to their own wisdom, it never would have entered their hearts to subdue a city by such simple expedients. Indeed, it was only necessary on that one occasion; and that one occasion required a new revelation to manifest the mind and will of God. In subduing another city or nation, the Lord might have altogether a different plan, so that the rule followed in one instance, might never be applicable in another; hence the necessity of continued revelation; for no servant of God or leader in Israel could possibly learn the mind of God without it. "God's ways are not as our ways, nor his thoughts as our thoughts." Therefore, the wisdom of man, unaided by immediate revelation, cannot perform acceptably before God any work, either in directing the movements of an army, or in preaching the gospel of peace, or in ruling his own household, or in managing the affairs of a nation. When Israel went to battle, they first inquired of God, and then proceeded according to his direction: when they anointed kings to sit upon the throne, they did it by revelation: when a city was to be warned of approaching judgment, a Lot, or a Jonah, or some inspired man was sent by revelation to do it: when Israel turned aside from the law of God, multitude of prophets were, not only sent by revelation to reprove them, but the message which they were to deliver, was given by revelation also: when the Lord saw that Philip had warned the people of Samaria sufficiently, he did not leave him to the vague conjectures of his own mind where he should go next, but sent an angel who spake unto him, saying, "Arise, and go towards the south unto the way that goeth down from Jerusalem unto Gaza, which is desert." (Acts viii. 26.) If Philip had been left to his own wisdom, he never could have learned whether his mission was done in Samaria or not; and even if he had learned this, the desert country off to the south, would have been the last place that human wisdom would have guided him. But after Philip had reached this lonely solitary desert, he still needed
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revelation to direct him in regard to further duties. The next thing which we find revealed to him was by the Spirit, which said unto him, "Go near, and join thyself to this chariot." He obeyed, and succeeded in convincing a man of great authority—a eunuch, of the truths of the gospel; and after having baptized him, "the Spirit of the Lord caught away Philip, that the eunuch saw him no more."

18.—In Damascus, there was another servant of God, called Ananias; he was not a protestant clergyman, for he believed in visions and revelations; "and to him said the Lord in a vision, Ananias. And he said, behold, I am here, Lord. And the Lord said unto him, Arise, and go into the street which is called Straight, and enquire in the house of Judas for one called Saul, of Tarsus: for, behold, he prayeth, and hath seen in a vision a man named Ananias coming in, and putting his hand on him, that he might receive his sight." (Acts ix. 10, 11, 12.) Here are two visionary characters, Ananias and Saul; if they had lived in our day, they would have been considered, by new revelation deniers, as appropriate subjects for the insane hospital. It is so natural for mankind to think that their own judgment is sufficient to guide them in the way of duty, that even Ananias himself was rather inclined to question the propriety of the revelation, and follow his own wisdom; for he answered "Lord, I have heard by many of this man, how much evil he hath done to thy saints at Jerusalem; and here he hath authority from the chief priests to bind all that call upon thy name." (Verses 13, 14.) But the Lord not being disposed to be governed by the weak judgment of Ananias, commanded him again, saying, "Go thy way; for he is a chosen vessel unto me, to bear my name before the Gentiles, and kings, and the children of Israel: for I will show him how great things he must suffer for my name's sake." (Verses, 15, 16.)

19.—Peter was another of these visionary characters so much despised by modern religionists. One of the first revelations he obtained, was about Jesus being the Christ. It seems that there was a great diversity of opinions among those who did not seek for new revelations, relative to who Jesus was; some thought he was one of the old prophets; some thought he was John the baptist, having risen from the dead; the wisdom of man had imagined a variety of opinions respecting him. Peter, not being satisfied with the conjectures of men about it, was just simple enough to ask the Father who Jesus was. The Father told him, that Jesus was "the Christ, the Son of the living God." Here then was certainty—conjecture and opinion had fled away. When the Saviour enquired of his disciples what their views were in relation to him, Peter could answer the question without any doubt or hesitation; and because of this knowledge, Jesus blessed him, and said unto him, "flesh and blood hath not revealed it unto thee, but my Father which is in heaven." (Matthew xvi. 17.) The great mass of the Jews were destitute of this knowledge; they did not seek of the Father a revelation on the subject, but depended, like this generation, on former revelation and their own wisdom, and therefore not knowing by new revelation the Saviour, they crucified him. Peter, having had faith sufficient to get one revelation, the Saviour, counted him worthy to receive more, therefore he took him up into a mountain with James and John, "and was transfigured before them; and his face did shine as the sun, and his raiment was white as the light; and behold there appeared unto them Moses and Elias talking with Him." (Matthew xvii. 1, 2, 3.) These visionary persons could now testify to the nations what they had seen, and heard, and known, about Jesus, about God, and about angels. But such testimonies to be given by any persons in these days, would be counted the highest blasphemy. But we ask, what do modern Christendom know about God? they have not heard his voice, nor received a revelation from him. What do they know about Jesus? nothing, only what they have read of the knowledge of others. What do they know about angels? they have never beheld them, nor heard their voice. What do they know about visions? nothing at all, for they despise all those that profess to have seen visions since the apostles' days. And finally, what do they know about the Holy Ghost? It has never spoken to them nor to any one else, in their estimation, for the last seventeen centuries. They have not heard, seen, handled, nor known anything for themselves by revelation: consequently, they are entirely unqualified to be witnesses of any spiritual or heavenly knowledge: they know nothing, only what they know naturally "as brute beasts, made to be taken and destroyed." (See Jude 10.) Without new
revelation, they are entirely unqualified to judge of the things of God: they would be as apt to call good evil and evil good, and put light for darkness and darkness for light, as ancient revelation deniers were. Their preaching would not justify nor con-
demn any one, because they know nothing, only what others have written, and there-
fore cannot testify. This is the sad, and awful, and most wretched condition of modern christendom.

20.—Peter had another vision while he was praying upon a house top: he saw heaven opened, and all manner of beasts and fowls let down, and drawn up thrice; and “While Peter thought on the vision, the spirit said unto him, Behold three men seek thee, Arise, therefore, and get thee down, and go with them, doubting nothing: for I have sent them.” (Acts x. 19, 20.) Peter, through this vision, and the sayings of the spirit, learned duties pertaining to his calling that never could have been learned naturally. Peter had had a vast amount of knowledge previously revealed to him, but that would not manifest to him his present duties. Present duties required present revelation; and without it, no servant of God ever did, or ever can do the work of God. Without it, he does not know where to go, nor what to preach. But, says the objector, it does not matter where he preaches, for he cannot go amiss; all must have the privilege of hearing. We reply, that though all must hear, yet the Lord designed some to hear before others, as is evident from the fact that Paul and Timothy “were forbidden of the Holy Ghost to preach the word in Asia;” and again, “after they were come to Mysia, they assayed to go into Bithynia; but the Spirit suffered them not.” (Acts xvi. 6, 7.) Hence we learn, that the Lord has a choice where his servants shall go; and that in some places where their own judgments would dictate them to go, the Lord, desires they should not go, and actually forbids them to go. Now, how, without new revelation, is the servant of God to know the mind of the Lord as to where he shall or shall not go? Would any former revelation communicate the desired intelligence unto him? Certainly not; former revelation contains the history of the revealed will of God to others, but it does not specify in all things the revealed will of God to us. To read of others learning the will of God in relation to their callings and mission, and enjoying manifestations of the Spirit by visions, dreams, angels, &c., would be of no more advantage to us, than to read of the history of a good dinner when we were hungry. It is the present enjoyment of blessings which we want, and not merely the history of others’ blessings.

21.—How could Paul have known the mind of the Lord about going to Macedo-
nia without being told? Therefore, “a vision appeared to Paul in the night: There stood a man of Macedonia, and prayed him, saying, Come over into Macedonia and help us.” (Acts, xvi. 9.) And again, How did Paul know that it was his duty to tarry in Corinth about a year and a half? He found it out by a vision. “Then spake the Lord to Paul in the night by a vision, Be not afraid, but speak, and hold not thy peace: For I am with thee, and no man shall set on thee, to hurt thee: for I have much people in this city.” (Acts xviii. 9, 10.) How did Paul learn that it was necessary for him to depart quickly out of Jerusalem, and go to other nations? He learned it by a vision in the temple: He says, “And it came to pass that when I was come again to Jerusalem, even while I prayed in the temple, I was in a trance; and saw Him saying unto me, Make haste, and get the quickly out of Jerusalem; for they will not receive thy testimony concerning me.” (Acts xxii. 17, 18.) And when Paul reasoned with the Lord upon the subject, as if he thought that from their acquaintance with his former course of life, they would receive his testimony, the Lord again commanded him, saying, “Depart: for I will send thee far hence unto the Gentiles.” Thus we see how impossible it is for a minister of the gospel to learn what to do, or where to go, or what to say, unless he is taught by new revelation. Without this heavenly principle, his own judgment would constantly lead him astray.

22.—New revelation is not only highly necessary to call men to the ministry, and afterwards instruct them in the various duties of their calling, but it is indispensably necessary,

THIRLDLY, TO COMFORT, REPROVE, AND TEACH THE CHURCH.

Jesus, before his ascension, promised the Holy Ghost to his disciples, which he calls
"the Comforter." They had previously been comforted by the words of Jesus: he had unfolded to them his parables; he had instructed them for three years and a half; he had given them a vast amount of information upon a great variety of subjects; and now, as he was about to be taken from them in person, he promised to send unto them another comforter. He says, "If ye love me, keep my commandments; and I will pray the Father, and he shall give you another Comforter, that he may abide with you for ever; even the spirit of truth, whom the world cannot receive, because it seeth him not, neither knoweth him; but ye know him; for he dwelleth with you, and shall be in you." (John xiv. 15, 16, 17.) The reason why the Holy Ghost is called the Comforter is, because of the office which he was to perform; he was to comfort the disciples, by revealing to them still further knowledge of the things of God. The mind of man is too weak to receive at once, all the knowledge which God is willing that he should know: hence Jesus says, "I have yet many things to say unto you, but ye cannot bear them now. Howbeit, when he, the Spirit of truth is come, he will guide you into all truth: for he shall not speak of himself, but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak: and he will shew you things to come. He shall glorify me; for he shall receive of mine, and shall shew it unto you. All things that the Father hath, are mine: therefore, said I, that he shall take of mine, and shall shew it unto you." (John xvi. 12, 13, 14, 15.) Here we find how the disciples, after Jesus ceased speaking unto them in person, were to be continually guided by revelation. Many things which they could not then bear on account of the weakness of their minds, were afterwards to be revealed to them through the Comforter: he was to guide them into all truth. But even the Holy Ghost was not to teach without first getting a revelation as to what he should teach, for, says Jesus, "he shall not speak of himself, but whatsoever he shall hear, that shall he speak." It is strange, indeed, that the ministers of modern christendom dare speak of themselves, without getting any new revelation, when even Jesus and the Holy Ghost would neither of them presume to do such a thing. The Comforter was to be the guide of the disciples, not only in this short life, but for ever. Again, Jesus says, "the Comforter, which is the Holy Ghost, whom the Father will send in my name, he shall teach you all things, and bring all things to your remembrance, whatsoever I have said unto you." (John xiv. 26.)

23.—This same Comforter which was to be a constant revealer to the disciples, was promised on the day of pentecost, to all who would obey the gospel. After he had descended upon one hundred and twenty, on the morning of that day, revealing to them, not only other tongues, but also "the wonderful works of God, it excited the attention of thousands, who, after learning that it was the Holy Ghost that operated so powerfully, felt extremely anxious to obtain the same gift, and they were told the conditions on which they all might receive it. "Repent," says Peter, "and be baptized, every one of you, in the name of Jesus Christ, for the remission of sins, and ye shall receive the gift of the Holy Ghost." (Acts ii. 38.) Thus, we perceive, that thousands had the promise made to them on certain conditions, even the promise of the Holy Ghost, which "promise" says Peter, "is unto you, and to your children, and to all that are afar off, even as many as the Lord our God shall call." (Verse 39.) Consequently, all persons, in all generations and ages, who will perform these requirements, have the promise of the Holy Ghost; the same Holy Spirit that Jesus promised, and the same that was given on the day of pentecost—all could receive the spirit of revelation, and be guided into all truth. To show still further, that the Holy Ghost was to be a revealer to the church, as well as to the apostles, we will quote the words of John, written to the church generally:—"Ye have an anunciation from the Holy One, and ye know all things." And again, "the anointing which ye have received of him, abideth in you, and ye need not that any man teach you: but as the same anointing teacheth you of all things, and is truth, and is no lie, and even as it hath taught you, ye shall abide in him." (1 John, ii. 20—27.) Nothing is more certain than that the church, as well as the apostles, were to receive the promised Comforter, and that he was to teach all things to the church, as well as to its officers; therefore, the revelations of the Holy Ghost, are indispensably necessary to comfort and teach the church.

24.—When certain men began to teach heresy, and introduce false doctrines into the church, commanding the Gentiles to be circumcised, the Holy Ghost immediately gave a revelation upon the subject, and corrected the error; thus preventing endless
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controversies and strifes. (See Acts xv.) If this great guide and revelator of the church be rejected as unnecessary, how quickly the body falls to ruin! New revelation is the only principle which will preserve the unity of the church. The wisdom of man, taken individually, or the wisdom of councils, taken collectively, is fallible, unless directed by immediate revelation, and therefore liable to err; hence all doctrines, or principles, or matters of controversy which are not clearly revealed in ancient revelation, will be continually the subjects of dispute; and if any man or council without the aid of immediate revelation, shall undertake to decide upon such subjects, and prescribe "Articles of Faith," or "Creeds," to govern the belief, or views of others, there will be thousands of well-meaning people who will not have confidence in the productions of these fallible men, and therefore, will frame creeds of their own, which they suppose, are more consistent. In this way, contentions arise, divisions multiply, sects are formed, the church becomes rent into ten thousand fragments, and the whole world becomes a Babylon of confusion. As an effectual preventative against all false doctrine, against all strifes and divisions, against all contentions and controversies in the church, God has placed within it a great and infallible teacher or revelator, called the Comforter, who cannot err; whose decision is an end of controversy, whose counsel is perfect, and whose judgment upon all points of doctrine cannot be otherwise than correct. Differences of opinion cannot exist in the church for any length of time; for the Holy Ghost will decide all matters of controversy, and thus preserve the unity of the church; while all who rebel against his decisions, will be excommunicated as heretics or apostates, and will form no part of the church of Christ, any more than the Chinese form a part of the English government. Hence, in the church of Christ, there is a unity of faith—a oneness of spirit, such as characterises no other people.

In the church of Christ, there can be no differences of opinion, in regard to baptism, or any other ordinance; for the Holy Ghost will guide into all truth, and teach the church all things pertaining to doctrine or ordinances, things present, or things to come. The great variety of opinions which have torn asunder modern Christendom, and bewildered the minds of millions, can have no existence in the church of Christ; for there, all matters of importance are decided by revelation, and not by creeds invented by human wisdom; there, the deep and hidden things of God are revealed by the Spirit of truth; there, rich treasures of wisdom and knowledge are brought to light; there, they have no need of uninspired councils to invent "Articles of Religion" to fetter the mind of man; there, the Holy Ghost takes the things of the Father, and shows them by revelation unto the church, and there, infallibility is indubitably and unchangeably stamped upon every doctrine, principle, ordinance, and law of the church. With such a revelator, certainty and knowledge abound in every heart; parables, mysteries, and intricate subjects are unravelled; guess work, conjectures, and opinions, flee away. With such a guide, there is no danger of being deceived. The elect, cannot be deceived; for they have an infallible detector of all delusions, however cunningly devised: they have a test by which they can try all things, prove all things, judge all things, and overcome all things not ordained of God; they can soar aloft to the third heavens, and gaze upon the mansions of the blessed, where the highest order of intelligence reigns; or they can descend in the visions of the spirit, and behold the kingdoms, dominions, principalities, and powers, in worlds of an inferior order, in the great scale of universal existence.

25.—When the church falls into sin, or turns aside from her duties, new revelation is necessary to reprove and chasten her, that she may repent and be forgiven. We have frequent examples of the people of God being reproved by the revelations of the Holy Ghost. The Corinthians were severely reproved by the Spirit of inspiration, because they had suffered contentions and divisions to get in among them, and disturb the harmony and peace of the church. Although the divisions which existed there were not in relation to doctrine, but only in relation to the talents or abilities of Paul, Apollos, and Cephas, yet even for this they were called carnal. (See 1 Corinthians, iii. 1—7.) If the Corinthians were worthy of reproof because they were divided in relation to the talents or qualifications of the ministers of Christ, how much more worthy of censure would they have been if they had been divided in relation to doctrine, like modern Christendom? All divisions in the church, of every kind, are utterly condemned by the apostle, and he pleads with them to banish all such things
from their midst, and cultivate a perfect unity in all things. He says, "Now I beseech you, brethren, by the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, that ye all speak the same thing, and that there be no divisions among you, but that ye be perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment." (1 Corinthians, i. 10.) Are modern religionists "perfectly joined together in the same mind and in the same judgment?" Do they "all speak the same thing?" No; they are far from it: they declare doctrines diametrically opposite to one another; yet they have the presumption to acknowledge each other as Christians, enjoying the Holy Spirit. But it is an insult to the Holy Spirit to say that two churches, who are divided in doctrine, both enjoy his teachings. Where the Holy Spirit is he guides into all truth; and where two churches believe in doctrines directly opposite, one, if not both, must be destitute of the Spirit. The Holy Ghost must be a very uncertain guide, if he would teach one church to sprinkle infants, teach another to immerse none but adults, give the privilege to another to immerse, pour, or sprinkle the candidates just as they choose; tell a fourth to baptize for the remission of sins, and forbid a fifth to baptize any until they gave evidence that their sins were remitted; and teach a sixth that it is not necessary for them to be baptized at all. If all these churches, who teach and practise doctrines so very different, are in possession of the Holy Spirit, then the Holy Spirit must be divided against himself, and must come to nought. But the Holy Spirit is the Spirit of truth, and teaches the same doctrine in all places; and wherever we find differences of opinion in doctrine, we may know most assuredly that the Spirit-guide is not there; at least, all churches must be destitute of the Spirit but one, and even that one does not enjoy it unless she receive immediate revelation for her instruction and edification.

26.—Some have supposed these divisions to be unavoidable, being the necessary results of the fallible judgments of men. That the judgments of men are fallible, and that division is the necessary result of fallibility, we by no means deny; but to say that they are unavoidable throws contempt and insult upon the Holy Ghost, and represents him as unable to guide the church into all truth. While division is the result of fallibility, union is the result of infallibility. The Saviour prayed for the most perfect union to be in his church. He uses the following language—"Neither pray I for these alone, (meaning the apostles,) but for them also which shall believe on me through their word, (meaning the whole church,) that they all may be one; as thou, Father, art in me, and I in thee, that they also may be one in us: that the world may believe that thou hast sent me. And the glory which thou gavest me I have given them; that they may be one even as we are one: I in them, and thou in me, that they may be made perfect in one, and that the world may know that thou hast sent me, and hast loved them, as thou hast loved me." (John xvii. 20, 21, 22, 23.) The oneness here prayed for was to be of the most perfect kind: there were to be no more jars—no more differences in sentiment than there is between the Father and the Son. Now there is no possible way to bring about this perfect oneness and union in a church composed of imperfect beings, only through the medium of immediate revelation. This, and this alone, can accomplish the work, and perfect the Saints in knowledge, wisdom, and power. All other substitutions will be found totally inadequate to the task; for unless truth is revealed, and known, too, after it is revealed, the frail judgments of men will clash together; discordant notes will be sounded, and disunion will make its appearance. And herein is the religion of heaven distinguished from all other religions. Continued revelation always was, and is now, its motto, and Union, perfect Union, the necessary result; while all other religions are destitute of this binding uniting principle, and will ere long vanish away and perish with all who follow them.

27.—Some of the Corinthians had fallen into another heinous sin, namely, that of fornication in its most aggravated form,—"such fornication," says Paul, "as is not so much as named among the Gentiles, that one should have his father's wife." (1 Corinthians, v. 1.) Without further revelation the church would have been ignorant how to proceed in relation to such a case. Some might have supposed that the individual committing this great crime could, if he made confession, be forgiven, and be retained in the church. But the apostle, knowing the great magnitude of the crime, decided by the spirit of inspiration quite otherwise; therefore he commanded.
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them, saying, "In the name of our Lord Jesus Christ, when ye are gathered together, and my spirit, with the power of our Lord Jesus Christ, to deliver such an one unto Satan for the destruction of the flesh, that the spirit may be saved in the day of the Lord Jesus." (Verses 4, 5.) Here, then, we perceive the penalty to be inflicted for this particular transgression: first, a deliverance unto Satan; second, a destruction of the flesh; and third, no salvation for the spirit until the day of the Lord Jesus. The wisdom of man would have been entirely at a loss how to have rendered a correct judgment concerning this matter, therefore it required the wisdom of God by revelation. The Corinthians themselves seem to have been ignorant of their duty on this subject; for Paul says to them, "Ye are puffed up, and have not rather mourned, that he that hath done this deed might be taken away from among you." (Verse 2.) Oh! how different are the decisions of the Spirit from the decisions of fallible man!

28.—It was necessary that the Corinthians should be reproved by the voice of inspiration for going to law before unbelievers. They were informed that both men and angels were to be judged by the Saints, and therefore they ought to judge among themselves the smaller matters of the church pertaining to this life. It was necessary that they should be reproved for partaking of the Lord's Supper unworthily, some using the wine to excess and becoming intoxicated, "not discerning the Lord's body." Sickness and death prevailed among many of that church, the cause of which was revealed to them by the apostle: he informs them that they had not properly examined themselves previous to receiving this solemn ordinance, and "for this cause," says Paul, "many are weak and sickly among you, and many asleep." (1 Corinthians xi.) If sickness and death prevail to a great extent among modern religiousists, instead of getting a revelation to know the cause, they fancy up a great variety of causes, one imagines one thing and another supposes another, and the imaginary causes are nearly as numerous as the individuals. Oh! what a blessing it would be to modern christendom had they an inspired man among them who, like Paul, could point out to them the very causes of the cholera and such like plagues with which they are so frequently visited! Knowing the cause, they could, by a thorough reformation, have the judgment removed from them; but so long as they are ignorant of the cause, and depend upon their own conjectures about it, they will not be likely to repent acceptably before God so as to have these judgments removed.

29.—The seven churches of Asia were reproved by revelation for their sins, threatened with various judgments if they did not repent, and promised on certain conditions great and inestimable blessings. These threatenings and promises were not the same to all churches, but each had its peculiar promises and threatenings according to its works. But for centuries past the Lord has not had a church on the whole earth whom he considered worthy of being reproved by revelation, or of receiving any promises. None know anything about God, or heaven, or the future state, only what others have told them in the sacred scriptures. Eternal life does not abide in them; for, says Jesus, "This is life eternal, that they might know thee the only true God, and Jesus Christ whom thou hast sent." (John xviii. 3.) The only possible way to know God is by new revelation; for Jesus says again, "No man knoweth the Son, but the Father; neither knoweth any man the Father, save the Son, and he whomsoever the Son will reveal him." (Matthew xi. 27.) Here is the most positive testimony that no man can know God without he obtains a revelation, and that no one can have eternal life without such revealed knowledge. Think of these sayings of our Saviour, all you enemies of new revelation, and tremble at your awful, benighted, and sinful condition. Remember that the only way to obtain eternal life is to know God, and the only way to know him is by New Revelation.

30.—Further revelation is indispensably necessary,

FOURTHLY, TO UNFOLD TO THE CHURCH THE FUTURE.

In every age of the world God has considered a knowledge of the future of the utmost importance to his people. To impart this knowledge he has invariably appointed a certain office among his people, called the prophetic office. Persons holding this office were filled with the Holy Ghost who taught them, not only of doctrine and principles, but of the future. One of the earliest prophets, of whom scripture gives
an account, was Abel. The Saviour ranks Abel among the prophets, when he says to the wicked Jews, that the blood of all the prophets, from that of righteous Abel to that of Zacharias, who was slain between the porch and the altar, should be required of that generation. Enoch, the seventh from Adam, predicted things which have not yet come to pass. (See Jude iv.) Noah predicted events of the utmost importance to the generation in which he lived. All the patriarchs called of God, from Noah to Moses, were endowed with the spirit of prophecy. Moses was peculiarly blessed with a knowledge of future events. The Lord did not confine the spirit of prophecy to Moses alone, but poured out his spirit upon the seventy elders of Israel, and they all prophesied. Eldad and Medad, two that remained in the camp, prophesied, as well as those who were assembled together; and Joshua, hearing of this, and feeling somewhat contracted in his views of this glorious gift, exclaimed, "My lord Moses, forbid them. And Moses said unto him, enviest thou for my sake? would God that all the Lord's people were prophets, and that the Lord would pour his spirit upon them." (Num. xi. 28, 29.) We have no account of the predictions uttered by these seventy prophets being written, and therefore it is not likely that they could be of any benefit to after generations: but it is very probable that the things predicted were intended to benefit more particularly that generation of Israel. Moses had hitherto the whole burden upon himself, but now it was divided among others, and in order to be qualified to take part in the teaching and leading of Israel, it was necessary that they should understand future events, that all might be prepared to act in relation to such events; and thus, by foreseeing things, escape thousands of difficulties. All prophets do not enjoy an equal degree or measure of fore-knowledge, for the Lord said to Israel, "Hear now my words: If there be a prophet among you, I the Lord will make myself known unto him in a vision, and will speak unto him in a dream. My servant Moses is not so, who is faithful in all mine house. With him will I speak mouth to mouth, even apparently, and not in dark speeches; and the similitude of the Lord shall he behold." (Num. xii. 6, 7, 8.) To some prophets the Lord speaks in visions, dreams, and dark speeches; to others, he reveals in great plainness. Some prophesies are written; others only uttered verbally.

31.—Great companies of prophets existed among Israel at different times. When Saul after his first interview with Samuel the Seer, met one of these companies, "the Spirit of God came upon him and he prophesied among them. (1 Sam. x. 10.) When he afterwards sent messengers to take David that he might slay him, they met one of these companies of prophets with Samuel over them, and the Spirit of God came upon the messengers and they prophesied also: Saul then sent a second company, and they all turned prophets; and he sent a third, and the same thing happened to them; and despairing of success by his messengers, he concluded to go himself; and while on his way "the Spirit of God was upon him also, and he went on, and prophesied, until he came to Naioth in Ramah. And he stripped off his clothes also and prophesied before Samuel in like manner, and lay down naked all that day and all that night. Wherefore they say, is Saul also among the prophets?" (1 Sam. xix. 20—24.) In the days of Elijah and Elisha, there was an abundance of prophets: these prophets seemed to have a knowledge of almost every thing before it came to pass: when Elijah was about to be translated, he could not keep it a secret, though he sought diligently to do so; Elisha was too much of a prophet to be ignorant of what was about to happen, therefore he followed Elijah wherever he went; and also fifty other "prophets went and stood to view afar off." (2 Kings ii. 7.) These prophets lived at various cities, and generally had masters or chief prophets over them. One company dwelt at Ramah, over whom Samuel was appointed to preside, as just mentioned; another company dwelt at Bethel; and another at Jericho. (See 2 Kings ii. 3—5.) When Jezebel cut off the prophets of the Lord, there were a hundred that Obadiah managed to save alive by hiding them in caves. (See 1 Kings xviii. 13.) Hence prophets, at times, were very numerous in Israel. And, no doubt, if we had all of their prophecies, we should have many volumes much larger than the Bible; but their prophecies were not all written, and from this fact, we have reason to believe that their gift was intended more for the benefit of themselves, and others in their day, than for future ages.

32.—Some have supposed that after Christ came, the Christian church would not
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be blessed with prophets any more, but this is a mistaken notion; for Jesus says himself to the Jews, "Behold, I send unto you prophets, and wise men, and scribes; and some of them ye shall kill and crucify; and some of them shall ye scourge in your synagogues, and persecute them from city to city." (Matt. xxiii. 34.) Paul also informs the Ephesians, that when Christ "ascended up on high, he led captivity captive, and gave gifts unto men." And he further states, that "he gave some, apostles; and some, prophets; and some, evangelists; and some, pastors and teachers." (Eph. iv. 8—11.) Prophets, then, were among the gifts which were given unto men after the ascension of our Saviour: this accords with another saying of Paul, that "God hath set some in the church, first apostles, secondly prophets, thirdly teachers," &c.; (1 Cor. xii. 28.) and also when speaking of the diversity of gifts imparted to the church by the Spirit, he says, to one is given wisdom; to another, knowledge; to another, faith; to another, prophecy, &c. From these passages we learn that prophets were just as much intended for the Christian church as teachers, pastors, wisdom, knowledge, faith, or any other gift. And yet, those who profess to have the Christian religion exclude prophets from their churches; with the same propriety, they might exclude the gifts of wisdom, knowledge, faith, teachers, pastors, and every other gift promised in the gospel.

33.—We shall now show that prophets and all other officers, or gifts, are indispensably necessary, as expressed by Paul "For the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, for the edifying of the body of Christ, till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ: that we henceforth be no more children, tossed to and fro, and carried about with every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive; but speaking the truth in love, may grow up into him in all things, which is the head, even Christ; from whom the whole body fitly joined together, and compacted by that which every joint supplieth, according to the effectual working in the measure of every part, maketh increase of the body unto the edifying of itself in love." (Eph. iv. 12—16.) The object, then, of these officers and gifts, is first, "for the perfecting of the Saints;" secondly, "for the work of the ministry;" and thirdly, "for the edifying of the body of Christ." We now ask, all Christendom who profess to be saints, whether they are perfect or imperfect? The general answer is, "we are imperfect." How do you expect to become perfect, if you do away out of your churches inspired apostles, prophets, and other officers? These are the only gifts and officers by which the saints can be perfected. Have you got them in your midst? Millions answer "no: we do not believe in prophets in our day." But do you believe in "pastors and teachers?" O yes, they are necessary. Who told you to reject the most important gifts of the church and to retain the rest? No one has told us to do this, but our ministers, and they must be good men, and they say that apostles and prophets are no longer necessary, but that evangelists, pastors, and teachers are. And then you think your ministers are good men when they do away the plan established for the perfecting of the saints, and substitute the plans of men in its stead? Does not Paul declare that any man or angel shall be cursed who preaches a different gospel from the one he preached? And did he not include in his gospel all these gifts for the perfecting of the saints? Most certainly he did; and if your ministers teach you differently they are cursed, and all that follow their teachings will be cursed. Know assuredly that there never was any other plan adopted in the gospel to perfect the saints than through apostles, prophets, and other gifts. Do you need "the work of the ministry?" All answer, yes. Remember, then, that "for the work of the ministry," apostles, and prophets, are declared to be as necessary as pastors and teachers. If one is unnecessary, all are unnecessary, and the work of the ministry must cease. On the other hand, if one is necessary, all are necessary, that the work of the ministry may continue. If God has authorized teachers among the churches of Christendom, he must, likewise, have authorized apostles and prophets; if he has not the latter, he has not the former; and if he has neither, he has no church on the earth. Again, does the church need to be edified in these days? Most certainly. What is God's plan to edify the church? Paul says, that he gave, not only pastors and teachers, to edify them, but also apostles and prophets. Where these officers have no existence there can be no
MORE REVELATION IS INDISPENSABLY NECESSARY.

Edification of the Church. Any other plan of edification, however great and magnificent, will not do. God's plan is, not only superior to all others, but it is the only plan ordained "for the edifying of the body of Christ." Thus we see, that without inspired apostles, prophets, &c., there can be no work of the ministry—no edification of the body of Christ—no perfecting of the saints—and consequently no church.

34.—But Paul did not leave us ignorant with regard to further duties of these inspired officers. He says that they are necessary to prevent the church from being "tossed to and fro" like children, and to keep them from being "carried about by every wind of doctrine, by the sleight of men, and cunning craftiness, whereby they lie in wait to deceive." The great reason why the millions are carried away and tossed about with the false and soul-destroying doctrines of the Papists and Protestants is, because they have not inspired apostles and prophets among them, and, therefore, without this great preventative, the cunning craftiness of men overpowers them, and they follow the corrupt impositions of modern religionists.

35.—These gifts were never intended to be done away from the church in this state of existence, as we have already proved in the first number of this series. And Paul corroborates this when he says, they were given "for the perfecting of the saints, for the work of the ministry, and for the edifying of the body of Christ; till we all come in the unity of the faith, and of the knowledge of the Son of God, unto a perfect man, unto the measure of the stature of the fulness of Christ." In what state will all the Saints come in the unity of the faith? When will they all come in the unity of the knowledge of the Son of God? When will they all be perfect men? When will they all come unto the measure of the fulness of Christ? The answer to all these questions is given by Paul when he says, that when that which is perfect is come, the Saints are to see the Lord face to face, and know as they are known, and see as they are seen. Consequently, it will be in the next state of existence, and not in this. Therefore, in this state of existence, as the Church is imperfect and needs edifying, apostles, prophets, teachers, and all other gifts which Jesus has given or promised, are indispensably necessary to accomplish that great and important work which cannot possibly be accomplished in any other way.

36.—In the foregoing, it will be seen, that new revelation is the very life and soul of the religion of a heaven—that it is indispensably necessary for the calling of all officers in the church—that without it, the officers can never be instructed in the various duties of their callings—that where the spirit of revelation does not exist, the church cannot be comforted and taught in all wisdom and knowledge—cannot be properly reproved and chastened according to the mind of God—cannot obtain promises for themselves, but are dependant upon the promises made through the ancients. Without new revelation the people are like a blind man groping his way in total darkness, not knowing the dangers that beset his path. Without prophets and revelators, darkness hangs over the future—no city, people, or nation, understand what awaits them. Without new revelation, no people know of the approaching earthquake—of the deadly plague—of the terrible war—of the withering famine—and of the fearful judgments of the Almighty which hang over their devoted heads. When the voice of living prophets and apostles are no longer heard in the land—there is an end of perfecting and edifying the Saints—there is a speedy end to the "work of the ministry"—there is an end to the obtaining of that knowledge so necessary to eternal life—there is an end to all that is great, and grand, and glorious, pertaining to the religion of heaven—there is an end to the very existence of the church of Christ on the earth—there is an end to salvation in the celestial kingdom. Awake then, oh ye slumbering nations—awake from the slumber of death, and Christ shall give you light by the revelations of the Holy Ghost!

15, Wilson Street, Liverpool, November 1st, 1859.
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THE BIBLE AND TRADITION, WITHOUT FURTHER REVELATION, AN INSUFFICIENT GUIDE.

1.—In the former numbers of this series it has been proved that more revelation is neither unscriptural nor unreasonable, but indispensably necessary to the very existence of the church of God on the earth. In this number it will be shewn that without further revelation the Bible is an insufficient guide. That part of the Bible called the New Testament was written many years after the establishment of the Christian church. How was the church founded and governed before the New Testament was written? Answer: by the revelations of Christ and of the Holy Spirit, speaking through the apostles and prophets. These revelations were verbal, and not written: were delivered by word of mouth, and not with pen and ink. Large and numerous churches were established in Palestine, in Asia, in Europe, and among the various nations of the eastern hemisphere, which were abundantly blessed with revelations, with prophecies, with dreams and visions, with the ministry of angels, and with the miraculous powers and manifestations of the Holy Spirit; and yet they had not the writings of the New Testament.

2.—If the church could be founded, and grow, and flourish, and be perfected without the New Testament writings: if she could, through verbal revelation, learn every principle of doctrine, and be taught in every duty, during the most of the first century, the same gift of revelation and prophecy could have instructed her in all succeeding generations, even though the New Testament had never been written. If inspired apostles, prophets, and other officers could perfect the Saints in the first century, surely the same kind of officers could perfect them in all future ages. Written revelations were never intended to supersede verbal and continued revelation through the living ministry. If the church of Christ had continued on the earth, successive apostles and prophets would have continued with her, endowed with all the powers and gifts of the first; and the revelations in each successive generation would have been equally sacred with those given at the first; and there would have been no such thing thought of as the canon of scripture being full and complete.

3.—There are many things practised by both Roman and Protestant churches which the scriptures do not clearly reveal, therefore they must both of them consider that the scriptures are not a sufficient guide. We are informed in scripture that marriage is ordained of God, but we are not informed in scripture who has the right to officiate in this ceremony. Who can tell from the New Testament anything about the order to be observed in relation to this subject? We read that "what God hath joined together let no man put asunder;" but through what particular office does God join together the sexes in matrimony? Can laymen officiate? Can these be ordained of the church officiate? Can a woman officiate? Can the parties join themselves together in matrimony, in the name of the Lord? Who can answer these questions from the Bible alone? No one. The Bible does not guide the church in this important ordinance.
4.—Who can tell from the Bible whether teachers and deacons have authority to baptize, or not? Baptism is an important ordinance, and should be administered by proper authority; but can any one in the church administer it? Can private members baptize? Can women baptize? Does the Bible anywhere forbid them, or say that they are not authorized? John the Baptist, who held the priesthood of Aaron, had authority to baptize. Apostles, elders, and evangelists baptized. Did the authority extend to any lower officers or members? The Bible does not inform us; therefore the Bible is not a sufficient guide.

5.—Again, what officers in the church have a right to lay on hands for the gift of the Holy Spirit? Can any but apostles administer the spirit by this sacred ordinance? Ananias was sent to Paul to baptize him, and lay his hands upon him, that he "might be filled with the Holy Ghost." Was Ananias an apostle? or did he hold some lower office? Philip could "baptize" the Samaritans, while Peter and John laid hands upon both men and women for the gift of the Holy Ghost. The great question is, can any but apostles lay on hands in the ordinance of confirmation? The Bible does not answer this question, therefore the Bible is not a sufficient guide.

6.—It is admitted that the Lord's Supper is a divine ordinance; but who is authorized to break the bread and bless it, and also the wine, and administer it to the Saints? Can teachers or deacons do this with authority? Can private members or women administer in this solemn ordinance? There is nothing in the New Testament that either authorizes or forbids them to do it. Can any one, without being instructed by new revelation, administer the Lord's Supper in his name, with his authority, and by his sanction? The Bible does not answer this question, therefore the Bible is not a sufficient guide.

7.—In what particular points does a teacher's duty differ from a deacon's? Wherein do the duties of elders, evangelists, and pastors differ? What authority has one which the others do not possess? All these are questions which the Bible does not plainly answer, yet to be a sufficient guide, it should answer all such questions definitely.

8.—Is infant baptism right or wrong? Does the Bible anywhere teach infant baptism by command or example? If infant baptism be right, the Bible has not informed us of it, therefore it must be an insufficient guide. If infant baptism be wrong, at what age should children be baptized? Upon this question the Bible also is silent.

9.—Should the members or officers of the church lay their hands upon little children, and pray for them, and bless them after the example that Jesus has given or not? This is a question that cannot be settled by the Bible.

10.—Should all the saints wash one another's feet, or is this an ordinance limited to the apostles and officers of the church? The Bible again is silent, and does not plainly answer the question.

11.—Again, must the seventh day or the first day of the week be kept holy unto the Lord? The New Testament does not clearly answer this question. There is rather more evidence in that book for keeping holy the Sabbath day or Saturday, than there is for keeping the first day or Sunday. The New Testament is very indefinite on this subject, and therefore it is an insufficient guide.

12.—Furthermore, where in the Bible does it say that the king and people in England ought to revolt from the Romish Church, and form a church of their own by act of parliament? If the Bible were a sufficient guide, why was an act of parliament necessary as another guide to form the English Church? If the Bible were a sufficient guide, why was another book made, called the "Book of Common Prayer," and the people compelled to give heed to it under pain of banishment, and even death itself? If the articles of religion, contained in the New Testament were a sufficient guide, why were "Thirty Nine Articles" more, enforced upon the people by acts of parliament, and the people butchered and murdered because they could not conscientiously comply with them? It is certain that this newly-formed-parliament-made church considered the Bible to be very deficient as a guide, or they never would have resorted to such blood-thirsty murderous measures to establish other books in addition to the Bible.

13.—If Protestants suppose the Bible to be a sufficient guide, as they are constantly telling their followers, will they be so kind as to point out what part of that sacred
THE BIBLE ALONE AN INSUFFICIENT GUIDE.

14. Again, what part of the Bible has established the salaries of the different officers of the church? If it be necessary that preachers should have wages, how much shall it be? How much more shall an apostle get than a prophet? If a bishop get from ten to twenty thousand pounds for one year’s preaching, how much should an inspired apostle or prophet get? Or how much should some of the lower officers have? The New Testament does not tell us the amount of wages religious hirelings should have, therefore, if it be important to know, the Bible is an insufficient guide. It says, however, that apostles should “take neither purse nor scrip,” but it leaves us entirely in the dark as to how much bishops, arch-bishops, and other officers should have. Would it not be a wise plan for an act of parliament to increase their wages a little, lest they suffer? We see plainly that the Bible is not a sufficient guide in many, very many points, as the doings of the whole Protestant world most plainly declare.

15. Let us now see whether the Roman Catholics consider the Bible a sufficient guide. They plainly tell us in their writings, that they do not. So far, then, they are consistent. But what do they suppose makes up the deficiency? They answer, “TRADITION,” or the “unwritten word” of God, as it was spoken by the apostles, and handed down uncorrupted to the present day. The Right Reverend Doctor Milner, a very able and learned Catholic bishop, says, “The Catholic rule of faith, as I stated before, is not merely, the written word of God, but the whole word of God, both written and unwritten; in other words, Scripture and Tradition, and these propounded and explained by the Catholic Church.” The Catholic do not believe in any later revelations than what were given in the first age of Christianity: this may be seen in their writings. Dr. Milner, in speaking of the Papist churches, says, “It is a fundamental maxim with them all, never to admit of any tenet, but such as is believed by all the bishops, and was believed by their predecessors up to the apostles themselves.” According to this, the Romanists never admit any new tenet. With their views, no new revelations can be given—all things believed or received by them must be traced back through all “their predecessors up to the apostles themselves,” they are not permitted to believe any thing which their fathers were ignorant of. When any thing is presented to them, the question is not, whether it is a new revelation from God, but they immediately inquire, has it been believed by the church since the first age? If it has not, it is rejected. The Catholic church does not claim the assistance of the Spirit to reveal any thing new, “but merely,” as Bishop Milner expresses himself, she claims “the aid of God’s Holy Spirit, to enable her truly to decide what her faith is, and has ever been in such articles as have been made known to her by Scripture and Tradition.”

16. After revelation ceased to be given, and, consequently, the church of Christ ceased its existence on the earth, many of the first apostates pretended that scripture and tradition were a sufficient guide, and that nothing new was needed. Ireneus, who lived in the second century, seems to have forgotten that God placed in the church inspired men to constantly instruct her by new revelation, and, like all subsequent apostates lays great stress upon tradition. He says, “SUPPOSING THE APOSTLES HAD NOT LEFT US THD SCRIPTURES, OUGHT WE NOT STILL TO HAVE FOLLOWED THE ORDINANCE OF TRADITION, which they consigned to those to whom they committed the churches? It is this ordinance of tradition,” continues he, “which many nations of barbarians, believing in Christ, follow, without the use of letters or ink.” Tertullian, who lived at the close of the same century, finding the scriptures an insufficient guide, appeals to tradition instead of New Revelation. He says, “We begin, therefore, with laying it down as a maxim, that these men,” speaking of the opponents of his church “ought not to be allowed.

† Ibid, Letter xii. p. 165.
§ Advers. Haeres. Letter iv. c. 64.
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to argue at all from scripture. In fact," continues he, "these disputes about the sense of scripture, have generally no other effect than to disorder either the stomach or the brain. It is therefore the wrong method to appeal to the scriptures, as these afford either no decision, or, at most, only a doubtful one. And even, if this were not the case, still, in appealing to scripture, the natural order of things requires that we should first inquire to whom the scriptures belong. From whom, and by whom, and on what occasion, and to whom that tradition was delivered by which we became Christians." *

This author in another work, † as Doctor Milner states, "proves, at great length, the absolute necessity of admitting tradition no less than scripture as the rule of faith, inasmuch as many important points, which he mentions, cannot be proved without it."

17.—Doctor Milner, to show that the tradition of the apostles, together with the scriptures, was the only rule of faith in the early ages of his church, cites us to the writings of St. Clement of Alexandria, St. Cyprian, Origen, &c., of the third century,—St. Basil, and St. Epiphanius of the fourth century,—and St. John Chrysostom at the beginning, and St. Vincent of Lerins, at the end of the fifth century. All these writers, instead of contending for the great and infallible guide, namely, NEW REVELATION, which instructed the church during the first century, have contended merely for ancient scripture and tradition as their only rule of faith. Thus we can see, how early apostacy succeeded Christianity—we can see, how early the rule of faith was changed.

18.—If all the decrees and decisions of the Pope and general councils among the Catholics be examined, it will be seen that such decrees and decisions profess to be founded, not upon new revelation, but upon ancient scripture or tradition. She professes that her general councils are guided by the Holy Ghost in ascertaining what the apostolical traditions are, but that the Holy Ghost does not give anything new. That these are really the views of the Catholics, may be perceived on almost every page of some of their standard works. The Right Rev. Bishop Milner, in his "End of Religious Controversy," has very definitely, and at some length, set forth this view. J. Murdoch, a Roman Catholic Bishop, has highly recommended a work by Joseph Munnford, entitled "Question of Questions," or "Who ought to be our Judge in all Controversies?" In this work the author states most clearly that the Roman Catholic Church, "PRETENDS TO NO NEW REVELATIONS, BUT ONLY TO DECLARE CLEARLY WHAT SHE FINDS TO HAVE BEFORE BEEN REVEALED." ‡ These general councils are considered infallible, not because they are inspired with the word of God direct to themselves, for this power they deny, but because they suppose the Holy Ghost assists them to find out ancient tradition. We again quote from the last-mentioned work.

19.—"Now to see what the councils on their part are to do; I must tell you, that their chief business is to examine the points in controversy; hearing all that occurs for the one side and the other, and permitting several replies, if any remain, in due time to be made. After this diligence is used, they consider what seems most conformable to the word of God, and every one's vote is passed upon this particular. But here I must tell you, that by the word of God, all councils, and orthodox believers have ever understood, not only God's written word, contained in scripture, but also his unwritten word made known to the Church ONLY by tradition, which tradition also is, and was ever accounted by the church the very best and surest interpreter of the scripture. The votes therefore of the fathers assembled in council are demanded, not only of what they think to be conformable to God's word written in scripture, but also how conformable such a point is, or is not, to that tradition which they have all received from the fathers of their church, as delivered to them from their fathers for God's word, by tradition committed to their forefathers as such, from the apostles themselves." §

20.—Let no one suppose that the Catholics believe in new revelation; for in the above quotation it is expressly asserted that, "the unwritten word is made known to the Church ONLY by tradition," and that this tradition must come through their

† De. Corona Milit.
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fathers "from the Apostles themselves." The business of the Catholic councils, then, is, not to get any word from God direct to themselves, but to determine what God said to the Apostles. That this is all that they pretend to do, is also evident, from the words of Vincentius Lirinensis, as quoted by Mumford; he says, "This only and nothing but this, the Catholic Church does do by the decrees of her council; that, what before they had received only by tradition from their ancestors, that now they leave consigned in authentic writing to all posterity." Councils, then, are convened to determine traditions—they are convened to write traditions in the form of decrees. Now all this is good as far as it goes, but it stops infinitely short of the true Rule of Faith, established in the apostolic church, namely, DIRECT AND IMMEDIATE REVELATION through her officers, whether assembled in council or dispersed in, individually among the nations.

21.—That the apostate papal church does not obtain new revelation as the apostolic church always did, is still further evident from her defining the canonical books, called scripture. This was first done at the Third Council of Carthage in the year 397. Previous to that time there had been a great variety of opinions as to what books were inspired of God. Mumford speaks thus on this subject:—"If you fly to the tradition of the church only of the first four hundred years, remember that the Council of Carthage just after the end of those years, alleged the ancient tradition of their fathers, which they judged sufficient for defining our canon. They, who were so near those first four hundred years, knew far better the more universal tradition of that age, than we can twelve hundred years after it. True it is, (nothing being defined till then) private doctors were free to follow what they judged to be true: and as you find them varying from our canon, some in some books, some in others; so you will find them varying from one another, and varying also from you." (mentioning the Protestant canon.) "For in those first four hundred years, Melitus and Nazianzen excluded the book of Esther, which you add. Origen doubts of the epistle to the Hebrews, of the second of St. Peter, of the first and second of St. John; St. Cyprian and Nazianzen, leave the apocalypse or revelations out of their canon. Eusebii doubts of it." Elsewhere, he says, "all those holy fathers agreed ever in this, that such books were evidently God's word, which had evidently a sufficient tradition for them: Now in the days of those fathers who thus varied from one another, it was not by any infallible means made know to all, that those books about which their variance was, were recommended for God's infallible word, by a tradition clearly sufficient to ground belief; for the church had not as yet examined and defined, whether tradition did cleanly enough show such and such books to he God's infallible word. But in the days of St. Austin, the Third Council of Carthage, Anno 397, examined how sufficient or insufficient the tradition of the church was, which recommended those books for scripture, about which there was so much doubt and contrariety of opinions. They found all the books contained in our canon, of which you account so many apocryphal, to have been recommended by tradition, sufficient to ground faith upon. For on this ground (Can. 47) they proceeded in defining all the books in our canon to be canonical. Because, say they, we have received from our fathers those books were be read in the Church. Pope Innocent the First, who lived Anno 402, being requested by Exuperius, bishop of Toulouse, to declare unto him which books were canonical, he answers, (Ep. 3,) that having examined what sufficient tradition did demonstrate, he sets down,—What books are received in the canon of the Holy Scriptures, in the end of his epistle, c. 7. To wit, just those which we now have in our canon: and THOUGH HE REJECTS MANY OTHER BOOKS, yet he rejects not one of these."*

22.—Here is the most incontrovertible evidence that this apostate church, who defined the canon of scripture at the close of the fourth century, did not believe in any inspired books being given after the first century. For if she had believed that any man or officer in her communion had been inspired to write the word of God, during the second, third, or fourth century, she would most assuredly have incorporated such inspired writings in the sacred canon; but the very fact that no books were admitted by the Council of Carthage into the canon, which were written after the first century.

* "Question of Questions." Sec. xiv. par. 2.
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shows most conclusively that they did not consider any later books to be inspired. Here, then, is demonstrative evidence, that the apostate Romish church, during the second, third, and fourth century, were destitute of that great and infallible rule, namely, NEW REVELATION which characterized the church in the first century, and in all previous ages whenever and wherever God had a people living in righteousness before him.

23.—So destitute were the officers of this apostate church of the spirit of revelation that they could not tell, only through tradition, which books were sacred, and which were not; and hence there arose a great contention among them on this subject, and a great variety of opinions. At length the same contending parties met together in the capacity of a general council, and decide which books shall be received into the canon. Recollect, dear reader, that this decision does not pretend to be founded upon new revelation but upon tradition, and tradition too that was so very imperfect that it led one to reject one book, and another, another; producing a great contrariety of opinions before the council met. Who can, for one moment, suppose that a council, composed of a set of contending apostates so destitute of the spirit of truth and faith, that they could not inquire of God and get a revelation upon any subject, however important—who, I say, can suppose that they could sit in judgment upon God’s holy word, and infallibly decide by the aid, not of new revelation, but tradition alone, which books were the word of God and which were not? Had they believed in new revelation, and inquired of God which was his word and which was not, there would have been some confidence to be placed in their decisions; but as it is, there is scarcely any confidence whatever to be placed in them in regard to this matter. Where inspired officers, possessing power to obtain new revelation, have ceased, there infallibility has ceased, and there uncertainty and doubt must remain. Tell about the councils of the church of Rome being infallible, who ever heard of any council being infallible where there were no prophets and revelators that could decide with a thus saith the Lord, and thus end all controversy? The church of God never pretended to infallibility upon any other grounds; yet this apostate “Mother of Harlots” can, with one breath, call herself infallible, and with the next breath deny new revelation.

24.—That the Romanists have continued in their apostacy until the present day is demonstrated from the fact that they have not added one single book to their canon since they first formed it. Now, if there had been any prophet or apostle among them, during the last seventeen centuries, they certainly would have canonized his epistles, revelations, and prophecies, as being equally sacred with those of the first century. As they have not done this, it shows most clearly, that even they, themselves, do not consider that they have had apostles, prophets, and revelators among them, during that long period of time. They have had, during the time, many general councils which have confirmed the old canon of scripture, but in no single instance have they confirmed any other books as the word of God, so that their canon stands now as when the council of Carthage left it, without an addition of one revelation. This confirms, beyond all controversy, the testimony of their most standard works, from which we have before quoted, wherein it is repeatedly asserted, that the “Written and unwritten word of God,” revealed previous to and in the first century is the only rule of faith, and that the church “Pretends to no new revelations, but only to declare clearly what she finds to have been before revealed;” and also, that the decrees of her councils are in relation to what God said in the first century, and that they by no means admit that He has said anything of a latter date; and conformably with these views, they have not admitted anything into the sacred canon as Scripture, or the Word of God, that has been written during the long period of seventeen hundred and fifty years.

25.—Upwards of 250 Popes pretend to have successively filled the chair of St. Peter. All these Popes, we are told, have possessed the same authority and power as St. Peter, whom they designate as the first Pope; if this really be the case, then each of these Popes must have been inspired of God, and the writings of each must be equally as sacred as the writings of Pope St. Peter. Why then has the church showed such great partiality? Why has she placed Pope St. Peter’s writings in the sacred canon, and left all the writings of the other Popes out?
26.—Bishop Milner after having quoted many passages of scripture, and used many arguments to prove the superiority of Peter's calling to that of the other apostles, says, "That bishops in general succeed to the rank and functions of the apostles; so, by the same rule, the successor of St. Peter, in the See of Rome, succeeds to his primacy and jurisdiction."* If this be true, "that bishops in general succeed to the rank and functions of the apostles," then each bishop, as well as the Pope, must be a REVEALOR; for apostles were Revelators, and one of the "functions" of their office was to receive revelations; therefore, all the Roman Catholic bishops, if they succeed to the same rank, and exercise the same "functions" as apostles,—must be revelators. According to this, since the first century, the Catholics must have had many tens of thousands of revelators, and yet, strange to say, none of their revelations are permitted to enter the sacred canon among other scriptures given in the first century. Here, indeed, is a strange inconsistency! Even the Catholic church herself, evidently places no confidence in the popes and bishops, the pretended successors of St. Peter and the rest of the apostles; if she did, she would have canonized their revelations along with the rest of the revelations of the New Testament. What must we conclude then, as to her bishops holding "the rank and functions of apostles?" We can but conclude that it is all an imposition—a wicked soul-destroying imposition, practised upon the nations by a corrupt apostate church whose officers have no more "the rank and functions of apostles" than the apostate chief priests among the Jews have. Indeed, so long as they pretend to no new revelations," they cannot exercise the "functions of apostles."

27.—It is in vain for the Romish church to pretend that the Word of God, spoken to the apostles, is a sufficient guide for all future ages. It is contrary to the dealings of God in all previous dispensations. He never left his faithful people in one age dependant alone on the word spoken in a previous age. The Catholics in appealing to tradition and ancient scripture as their only rule of faith, have endeavoured to justify themselves, by falsely telling the people that mankind were dependant on tradition as a rule of faith from Adam to Moses—a period of about twenty-four hundred years. One of their writers speaks thus: "The whole church through the whole world was governed by tradition only, for the first two thousand years."† This is evidently false; for the whole church governed herself from Adam to Moses, by both tradition and new revelation. Each age, during that period, furnished the church with revelators who delivered the word of the Lord to her, and she was governed by that word, and also by the traditions of former ages as far as they were applicable.

28.—The church was not only governed from Adam to Moses by new revelation, but from Moses to the close of the first century of the Christian era. The Word of God given in past ages, whether written or unwritten, was never considered by the true church a sufficient rule of faith in any dispensation since the creation of man. Such an idea was never originated in the church of God. It was the apostate Catholics that first originated the idea, and by them the fatal delusion has been handed down from generation to generation; and all the children that she has brought forth, or that have left her communion, have, more or less, imbibed the same great features of the apostacy. Well might the revelator John, speaking by the spirit of prophecy, call her "THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH!" It is her true name, for all the "HARLOTS" which she has brought forth have walked in the footsteps of their "Mother" in declaring against new revelation, and in pretending that ancient revelation was a sufficient rule of faith. It is to be expected that as is the Mother, so will be her Harlot Daughters. The daughters in some respects are more corrupt than the mother; for they have limited their rule of faith much more than the mother. Pope Innocent the First, (as we have already quoted), in the year 402, sat in judgment upon the books of scripture, and rejected many of them, from a compilation in the canon. Some eleven or twelve centuries after this, one of the Harlot Daughters believed that her Mother had retained too much scripture in her canon; therefore, she concluded to make a new canon of her own, which she actually did do, leaving out some half a score of books which were in her Mother's canon. This newly-formed canon of scripture is palmed upon

the British nation and the United States as a sufficient rule of faith. It must be re-collected that neither Mother nor Daughter was guided by new revelation in forming these two different canons of scripture. As the Mother decided on the Word of God by tradition, so did the Daughter; and as tradition taught the Mother to reject many books and receive others, so tradition taught the Daughter to reject all that her Mother rejected, and some half-a-score besides. After awhile this Harlot Daughter brings forth a numerous progeny of children, each of whom alters her creed, so as to disagree with both Mother and Grandmother’s creeds; yet the church of England with all her Daughters agree in the rejection of the old canon of scripture, and in the reception of the newly-formed one.

29.—In the meantime, another Harlot Daughter of the Catholics—the Lutherans, formed another canon, and rejected many books that the English Daughter did not. She cast out the epistle of St. Paul to the Hebrews, the epistle of St. James, the second epistle of St. Peter, the second and third of St. John, the epistle of St. Jude, and the Apocalypse or Revelation. Here are seven books received into the English Bible, not received into the Lutherans’ Bible. Thus we perceive three different canons of scripture, proposed for the faith of mankind. If the Bible alone is a sufficient guide, which of these three Bibles shall we take? Shall we take the Catholic,—the Lutheran,—or the English Bible? The Catholic Bible contains many things that the English and Lutheran do not, and the English contains many things that the Lutheran does not. Which shall we believe? If it be answered that we are to take all that God ever has revealed and caused to be written, as our rule of faith; then it will require a revelator to bring to light some twenty sacred books that are known once to have existed, but are not now to be found in either of the three Bibles mentioned above. Therefore if we are to take all of God’s written word as our rule of faith, it will require another sacred canon to be made out, including all the lost books. This cannot be done by a Roman Catholic nor Protestant council, for tradition will not supply lost books. It is certain that if all the written word of God is necessary to a perfect rule of faith, that neither Catholics nor Protestants can have a perfect rule, for they have only a part of the written word of God. If it be said that a part is sufficient as a rule of faith, then a question at once arises: how large a part will suffice? One sect will answer, that part contained in the Lutheran Bible is sufficient; other sects will say no; the Lutheran Bible does not contain sufficient, but the English Bible contains enough: no, answers another class, the English Bible does not contain enough, but the Catholic Bible contains just enough: and where shall we stop? Who has light enough to determine whether the Catholic Bible, which contains far more than the other two, has one-tenth part of what is necessary for a perfect rule of faith? If part of God’s word forms a perfect rule of faith, I will venture to say, that there is not a man living who is able to say what part of his word should be rejected, and what part retained, in order to form this perfect rule.

30.—In those sacred books written by prophets, seers, and apostles which have not descended to our day, but which we know once existed, as their names are referred to in scripture—there may be many great and important doctrines and ordinances revealed that are not contained in our scriptures. Indeed, no one, without further revelation, knows whether even one-hundredth part of the doctrines and ordinances of salvation are contained in the few books of scripture which have descended to our times, how then, can it be decided that they are a sufficient guide? May there not be some great and important things contained “in the book of Nathan the prophet, and in the prophecy of Ahijah, and in the visions of Iddo the seer, and in the book of God the seer?”

31.—May there not be important doctrines contained in some of Paul’s epistles which we have not got? In the last epistle which Paul wrote from Rome to the Colossians, he commands them, “likewise to read the epistle from Laodicea.”† In that which is commonly called his first epistle to the Corinthians, he says, (chap. v. 9.) “I wrote unto you in an epistle.” Where are these two epistles which Paul himself refers to? They are gone. There may be in these lost epistles doctrines of infinite importance which we know nothing about. That the Corinthians had been

* 2 Chron. ix. 29.—1 Chron. xxix. 29. † Colos. iv. 16.
instructed in a doctrine which the whole of Christendom are now ignorant of, is evident from a particular question which he asks them, which reads as follows: "Elise what shall they do which are baptized for the dead, if the dead rise not at all? Why are they then baptized for the dead?" This doctrine of baptism for the dead must have been well understood by them, or Paul never would have asked this question without further explanations upon the subject. Now when, and in what manner was this doctrine communicated to them? It may have been fully developed to them in the epistle which he says that he had previously written to them. This doctrine may have been as important as baptism to the living; Does the written or unwritten word of God with which Christendom are acquainted, inform them any thing about how this ceremony is to be performed? Does it inform them who is to officiate? Who is to be the candidate in behalf of the dead? What classes of the dead are to be benefitted by it? Does scripture or tradition inform us in what particular baptism for the dead will affect them in the resurrection? Does it inform us whether baptism for the dead can be administered in all places, or only in a baptismal font, in a temple consecrated for that purpose? All these important questions remain unanswered by scripture and tradition. Even the Catholics themselves, who boast of scripture and tradition as their infallible rule of faith, cannot, and do not, pretend to decide these questions of doctrine.

32.—The Rev. Dr. Milner, in speaking of the Catholic church, says, "She does not dictate an exposition of the whole Bible, because she has no tradition concerning a very great proportion of it, as for example, concerning the prophecy of Enoch, quoted by Jude 14; and the BAPTISM FOR THE DEAD of which St. Paul makes mention."† If "a very great proportion" of the Bible cannot be explained for the want of sufficient tradition, then that "very great proportion" of the Bible cannot be of any use; and that very small proportion of the Bible, which tradition does explain, must be a very imperfect rule of faith. For aught the Catholics know there may be hundreds of millions of the dead that will not attain to a first resurrection, because tradition does not explain to them the necessity of being baptized for them. Tradition, and the small proportion of scripture that it explains, are therefore not a sufficient guide. If the Catholics had all the lost books of scripture, and a perfect tradition of all the unwritten word of God that has been spoken since the world began, then they would have a little more pretext for holding forth scripture and tradition as an infallible guide, but until then, they have no authority to preach up a part of the books of scripture, united with so little tradition, as an infallible rule of faith.

33.—We are told by the Catholics "that many, and very many of the canonical books of scripture have quite perished, and not so much as appeared in the days of the very ancient fathers; so that nothing but the names of those books are come unto us."‡ It is also acknowledged by the Catholics that a very great proportion of the few books which are left cannot be explained: it is further acknowledged that the tradition of the unwritten word is so limited, that it does not give them an understanding of many points of doctrine: it is still further acknowledged that their church "pretends to no new revelations," but only to interpret, as far as the few feeble glimmerings of tradition, connected with the very little scripture which they profess to understand, will enable them to do,—and yet they tell us, after all these acknowledgments that their very little scripture, and their very little tradition, is an INFAILLIBLE RULE OF FAITH. Oh, blush for the inconsistencies of "the Mother of Harlots!" Her claims to infallibility are blasphemy! Oh, how could the kings of the earth and all nations have been so horribly imposed upon! But they will yet take vengeance upon her, "and shall make her desolate and naked, and shall eat her flesh, and burn her with fire," for thus hath the Almighty spoken.

34.—We shall now proceed to point out a thing of infinite importance, which is necessary to the very existence of the church of God on the earth, and yet it never could be learned by either the Bible or tradition. It is this: in order that the true church may continue its existence on the earth, it is necessary that there should

* 1 Corin. xv. 29.
‡ "Question of Questions," sect. 1. 7.
be kept up a regular and constant succession of the orders of the priesthood: this is admitted by the Catholics; and they refer to upwards of two hundred and fifty popes who have succeeded St. Peter, and to many tens of thousands of bishops who have succeeded the rest of the apostles. Now if this succession can really be proved, then the Catholics must be the only true and living church on the whole earth, and all the Protestant churches are excommunicated apostates; on the other hand, if such succession does not exist, then both the Catholics and Protestants are apostates from the apostolic church of Christ, built up in the first century. We take the ground that there has been no regular succession of the orders of the priesthood through the Catholic church.

35.—The first proof which we adduce against any such regular succession is the Catholic rule of faith, namely, ancient scripture and tradition. What word of God, spoken by the apostles, either written or unwritten, has pointed out either of the popes who has pretended to succeed St. Peter, during the last sixteen centuries? We defy the whole Catholic church to bring forward one word of ancient scripture, or ancient tradition, to prove that the popes of the third century, namely, Zephyrinus, Calixtus I., Urban I., Pontianus, Antherus, Fabian, &c., &c., were the very persons who should succeed St. Peter; if then, neither scripture nor tradition designated the persons who should hold that responsible office, how were the Catholics of the third century to know that either of the above-named persons were the right ones? Perhaps, the Catholics may answer that, though there was no scripture or tradition that pointed them out, yet the church, being infallible, were able to know the right men. We reply, that the Catholic church cannot be infallible, because she “pretends to no new revelations,” and as we have already seen, she ONLY pretends to be guided in all her decisions and decrees by ancient scripture and tradition, and she has no scripture nor apostolic tradition to tell her who, among all the millions of the third century, are called to St. Peter’s chair; therefore St. Peter’s chair must remain vacant until this important question is settled. And as the Catholics, according to their own admissions, have had no new revelations for the long period of sixteen centuries, therefore St. Peter’s chair must have remained vacant during that long period of time. The same reasoning will apply equally to every one of the orders of priesthood, from St. Peter’s chair down to the office of teacher or deacon. Scripture and tradition call no man by name who have lived during the last sixteen centuries; therefore the succession could not possibly continue, as there could be no possible way of finding out who were called and who were not, unless they obtained new revelation, and this would contradict what we have abundantly proved to be their rule of faith; therefore it is proved by the most incontrovertible evidence that the succession of the priesthood could not legally and lawfully be transferred where there is no new revelation.

36.—The second proof, against the catholic succession, is that through ancient scripture and tradition alone, it would be impossible for the pretended successors of St. Peter and the rest of the apostles to exercise the functions of their office. One of the chief duties of the apostles was to receive commandments and new revelations for the instruction of themselves and all the church of God placed under their charge; and one of the chief duties of a prophet in the Christian church was to foretell future events through new revelation, and forewarn individuals as well as the church of any approaching danger. That these prophets prophesied by new revelation is clear from the following plain passages of scripture, written to the Corinthians. “How is it then, brethren, when ye come together every one of you hath a psalm, hath a doctrine, hath a tongue, hath a REVELATION, hath an interpretation?” (chap. xiv. 26.) Again, St. Paul says to them, “Let the PROPHETS speak two or three, and let the other judge.” If anything be REVEALED to another that sitteth by, let the first hold his peace. For ye may all PROPHESY one by one, that all may learn, and all may be comforted. And the spirit of the prophets are subject to the prophets. For God is not the author of confusion, but of peace, as in all churches of the Saints.” (Verses 29—33.) Agabus the prophet prophesied of a famine, and the church, being forewarned, made very preparation to meet it, by sending contributions to the poor saints in other places, and thus, doubtless, much suffering and misery were prevented. We can here plainly perceive the principal duties of the two first offices in the Christian church. Now if the Catholics have a succession of these
offices, they must exercise the functions of them, otherwise the offices would be of no benefit. But they cannot exercise the functions and perform the chief duties of these offices, unless they obtain an abundance of new revelation and prophecies, and this they could not do without violating their only rule of faith, which binds them to ancient scripture and ancient tradition as their only guide. Moreover the Catholics themselves virtually acknowledge that none of their pretended successors of the apostolical and prophetical offices, have received any revelations and prophecies from the fact that they have not admitted any of them into the sacred canon of scripture. Thus we see that ancient scripture and tradition, interpreted by the Catholic church, which they acknowledge to be their only rule of faith, can never qualify their pretended successors to act in the apostolical and prophetical offices. And hence, those offices have not been, and could not be perpetuated in the Catholic church. And, therefore, the Catholic Church cannot possibly be the church of Christ.

37.—But if the Catholic church cannot be the church of Christ for the want of a legal succession of the apostolical and prophetical orders of the priesthood; her daughters the Protestants cannot be the church of Christ for the same reason, unless God has restored the priesthood to them by a new revelation and an authoritative ordination. But the Protestant daughters, as well as the Catholic mother, make no pretension to new revelation as is demonstrated from the fact of their admitting no more into the sacred canon of scripture. Therefore, neither the Protestant nor Catholic churches, can possibly be the church of Christ.

38.—The same reasons that demonstrate the Catholic and Protestant churches not to be the church of Christ, will also demonstrate that the Greek church is not the church of Christ; therefore the church of Christ has not existed on the eastern hemisphere during the last seventeen centuries. We shall now proceed to answer some objections.

39.—First, it is objected, that the promise of the Saviour, recorded in Matthew xxviii. 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, could not be fulfilled unless the church should continue its existence on the earth. These passages read thus:—“Then the eleven disciples went away into Galilee, into a mountain where Jesus had appointed them. And when they saw him, they worshipped him; but some doubted.” And Jesus came and spake unto them, saying, All power is given unto me in heaven and in earth. Go ye therefore, and teach all nations, baptizing them in the name of the Father, and of the Son, and of the Holy Ghost; teaching them to observe all things whatsoever I have commanded you: and, lo, I am with you alway, even unto the end of the world.” It is argued by the Catholics, “that the Apostles themselves were only to live the ordinary term of man’s life; therefore, the commission of preaching and ministering, together, with the promise of the divine assistance, regards the successors of the apostles, no less than the apostles themselves. This proves that there must have been an uninterrupted series of such successors of the apostles, in every age since their time; that is to say, successors to their doctrine, to their jurisdiction, to their orders, and to their mission. Hence it follows, that no religious society whatever, which cannot trace its succession in these four points, up to the apostles, has any claim to the characteristic title, APOSTOLICAL.” This argument I have given in the words of one of their learned bishops, the Right Reverend Doctor Milner.* Now if it were admitted, that this commission and promise of our Saviour were intended for the successors of the apostles, (which we by no means admit,) it would still be out of the power of the Catholic priests to claim the commission and promise until they could prove from scripture and tradition that each one of them were the actual persons who were to be the true successors: and this, we have already shown they cannot do. Therefore, they have no more claim to the commission and promise than the Pagan priests have. But we do not admit that the promise—“LO, I AM WITH YOU ALWAYS, EVEN UNTO THE END OF THE WORLD,” had any reference to any persons whatever only the ELEVEN disciples mentioned in the sixteenth verse, who had, by a previous engagement, retired to a mountain in Galilee: they were the only persons whom he addressed and to whom he made this great promise. But, says Doctor Milner, “They were only to live the

ordinary term of man's life," and consequently, he draws the conclusion that the promise could not be fulfilled to them without successors. According to this curious inference of the learned bishop, the Lord must have forsaken the eleven disciples as soon as they died; for if he admit that Jesus continued with them after the period of the death of their mortal bodies, and that he will continue with them even unto the end of the world, then what need would there be of successors is order that the promise might be fulfilled? Prove that Jesus has not been with the eleven apostles from the time of their death until the present time, and that he will not be with them "even unto the end of the world," and after you have proved this, you will prove that Jesus has falsified his word; for to be with the successors of the apostles is not to be with them. But whether the apostles have successors or not, Jesus will always be with them, and will bring them with him when he shall appear in his glory, and they shall sit upon thrones and judge the house of Israel, during the great millennium, while Jesus will not only be with them, but will reign with them even unto the end of the world. Therefore, there is nothing in this promise of Jesus that gives the most distant intimation that an apostolical succession or church of Christ should continue on the earth.

40.—Secondly, it is objected that if the church of Christ has not continued, then the gates of hell must have prevailed against her; and they refer us to that cheering passage in Matthew, (xvi. 18,) which reads thus:—"And I say also unto thee, that thou art Peter; and upon this rock I will build my church, and the gates of hell shall not prevail against it." They argue, that if the church has ceased to exist, the gates of hell have prevailed over her, and the promise of Jesus must be falsified. But we would inform the Catholics, that the church of Christ has not ceased to exist, neither has Peter ceased his existence, but both the church and Peter are in heaven, far out of the reach of the gates of hell, and far out of the reach of the abominable soul-destroying impositions of Popery. The gates of hell have prevailed and will continue to prevail over the Catholic Mother of Harlots, and over all her Protestant Daughters; but as for the apostolical church of Christ, she rests secure in the mansions of eternal happiness, where she will remain until the apostate Catholic church, with all her popes and bishops, together with all her Harlot Daughters shall be hurled down to hell: then it shall be said, "Rejoice over her thou heaven, and ye holy apostles and prophets; for God hath avenged you on her;" and then shall be "heard a great voice of much people in heaven, saying, Alleluia: salvation, and glory, and honor, and power, unto the Lord our God: for true and righteous are his judgments: for he hath judged the great whore, which did corrupt the earth with her fornication, and hath avenged the blood of his servants at her hand." And again they shall say, "Alleluia," and her smoke shall rise up for ever and ever. And thus when the Catholics and Protestants hear all the heavens, and all the holy apostles and prophets, rejoicing over the downfall of Babylon, they will learn that the church of Christ still exists in heaven, and that the gates of hell have not prevailed against her; then they will learn where the apostolical and prophetical power rests; then they will perceive the difference between the glory of the church of Christ and the misery and wretchedness of their own fiery torments.

41.—Many Protestants say they take the Bible as their only rule of faith; if the Bible is to be taken as our only guide, it is of infinite importance that the divine authenticity of the Bible be infallibly established. How do the Protestants prove the truth of the Bible? What evidence have they that the book of Matthew was inspired of God, or any other of the books of the New Testament? The only evidence they have is tradition. They have received into their canon such books only as tradition accredits to be genuine; while those books which have not a sufficiency of tradition to establish their divine inspiration, are rejected from the canon. Here then we clearly perceive that the first foundation stone of the Protestant rule of faith is tradition. Tradition alone tells them that the books of the New Testament are true, and as soon as they have learned this on the testimony of tradition, they take them as a sufficient guide; hence their only rule of faith is founded on tradition: but we have already shown that tradition is a very imperfect guide. Tradition taught the Lutherans to reject seven books of the New Testament, which tradition taught the English to receive; and tradition taught the English to reject some half score of books from
THE BIBLE ALONE AN INSUFFICIENT GUIDE.

their Bible, which tradition taught the Catholics in the third council of Carthage to receive; and tradition taught the council of Carthage to reject many books which tradition taught several of their learned bishops and others, in the second, third, and fourth century to receive. If tradition then be so very uncertain, may it not have deceived the Catholics and Protestants as to the genuineness of many of the books which they retain in their canons. And may not this very imperfect tradition have taught them to reject many books which are equally sacred with those which they have retained. Tradition cannot give an absolute certainty as to the truth of any of those books. Great numbers of books, during the early ages, were circulated and accredited, which are now said to be apocryphal. But how, we enquire, are uninspired men, by the use of tradition alone, to select a genuine book from the midst of a numerous collection of spurious gospels and epistles, and prophecies, which were published under the names of the apostles, and under the names of other holy men contemporaneous with them? It would be like the chance of drawing a prize in a lottery where there were a hundred blanks to one prize. Absolute certainty is necessary as to what is true, and what is false, as to what is the word of God and what is not; for without it we may build our faith upon forged scripture, and reject true scripture, and be led into all kinds of error. As tradition cannot give us absolute certainty, how shall this very desirable and infinitely important knowledge be obtained? We answer, that by new revelation the genuineness of all books can be tested; and without it, uncertainty and doubt must always hang over many of them.

42.—Even though tradition could demonstrate with the greatest certainty that any or all of the books that are even received by the Catholics were, in their original, written by the persons who are represented to be their authors, yet how can it be determined that even the originals were written by divine inspiration? Several learned Protestants, such as Hooker, Chillingworth, &c., allow that scripture cannot bear testimony to the truth of its own inspiration. How are the Protestants then to know without new revelation, that any one book of the Bible was divinely inspired? How do they know that it was merely written according to the best judgment of the author? The Bible cannot inform them until the inspiration of the Bible be established. If it be admitted that the apostles and evangelists did write the books of the New Testament, that does not prove of itself that they were divinely inspired at the time they wrote. They were men subject to like passions with other men, and liable to error only when under the direct inspiration of the Spirit. How can it be known without new revelation, that these writers did not sometimes write their own words and opinions instead of the word of the Lord as given by the Holy Ghost? Some things which Paul wrote, he acknowledges that he had no commandment of the Lord for thus writing, but gave his own judgment and his own suppositions. (See 1 Corinthians. vii. 6, 25, 26.) As Paul and other writers of the New Testament have not told us which part they wrote by inspiration, and which part they wrote according to their own opinions and judgment, how can we make the selection of the inspired parts from the uninspired parts of each book? We answer, that tradition will never decide this important question; and therefore neither Catholics nor Protestants can know of a certainty which parts of each of the original books are actually the ideas and words given by inspiration. Neither can they know but that some whole books which they receive as scripture were written by human wisdom alone. Though scripture were allowed to bear testimony of its own inspiration, even then, there are many books in the sacred canon which do not bear any such testimony, and therefore the only proof which Protestants can have of their inspiration is founded solely on tradition.

43.—If it could still further be demonstrated by tradition, that every part of each book of the Old and New Testaments, was, in its original, actually written by inspiration, still it cannot be determined that there is one single true copy of those originals now in existence. The whole Catholic and Protestant world cannot produce the original writings of one single book of either the Old or New Testament. The originals are no where to be found among Christians, Pagans, Jews, or Mohometans. The original writings of Moses and the ancient prophets, it is believed by the learned, were all destroyed by the Assyrians nearly six hundred years before Christ.* We

* Brett's Dissertation in Bishop Watson's Collect., vol. iii. p. 5.
are informed in the Apocrypha, that the prophet Esdras or Ezra was inspired to rewrite all those ancient books over again; and in this manner the Jews, at the close of their Babylonish captivity, once more obtained them. These books again perished in the great persecution of Antiochus.* How the Jews were supplied with copies after that no one knows. Now the Protestants do not know that Esdras was a true prophet! Indeed, they doubt of his being a true prophet by placing his books in the Apocrypha, therefore they could not rely with confidence on any book which he should pretend to be by inspiration.

44.—The copies which we now have of the books of Moses and other ancient prophets may be very much corrupted; we are certain that they have been added unto in a degree by some person or persons who lived many centuries after Moses; this is evident from the books themselves: for example, the thirty-first verse of the thirty-sixth chapter of Genesis was certainly added by some one who lived after the children of Israel had kings. It reads thus: "And these are the kings that reigned in the land of Edom, before there reigned any king over the children of Israel." Here is positive proof that the transmitter of the book of Genesis lived after the children of Israel had kings, and added these his own words to this first book of Moses. Some other person after the days of Moses added the whole of the last chapter of the book of Deuteronomy. Several other passages in the books of Moses have been added or changed since his death. Learned commentators† have agreed that similar changes or additions have been made to several other books of the Old Testament by unknown persons. Who can tell at the present day who were the persons who wrote the books of Joshua, Ruth, Judges, Esther, the book of Kings, and the books of Chronicles? Were they written by inspired men? if so, what were their names, and what proofs has Christendom that they were inspired?

45.—These uncertain and altered copies of some of the books of the Old Testament were translated from the Hebrew into Greek some two or three centuries before Christ; this was called the Septuagint. But even the original copies of this translation are no where to be found. Such copies as the English translation was taken from, were found in many places to be very much corrupted, disagreeing among themselves, insomuch that the English translators were obliged sometimes to translate from the Hebrew which is acknowledged also to be very much corrupted. The Hebrew copies are supposed by the learned to have been altered by the wicked Jews themselves, after they rejected Christ, in order to do away the force of many predictions relating to Him. St. Chrysostom (Homil. 9) writes thus: "Many of the prophetic monuments have perished; for the Jews being careless, and not only careless, but also impious, they have carelessly lost some of these monuments; others they have partly burned, partly torn in pieces." St. Justin, in writing against Tryphon, shoes most clearly that the Jews did destroy many books of the Old Testament "that the New might not seem to agree with it as it should." What confidence, then, can Catholics or Protestants have in these half-destroyed, corrupted, mutilated Hebrew manuscripts? The oldest copies of the Old Testament, whether Hebrew or Greek, which the English translators could procure, disagree with each other in many—very many places; so much so, that it was impossible for them to decide which was correct. Indeed so much corruption in the old manuscript copies was calculated to throw a mist of darkness and uncertainty over the whole of them. One of the ancient writers, Jerome, in his commentaries upon the prophets, complains of the corruption of his manuscript Greek copies. Bellarmine testifies that the Greek copies of the Old Testament are so corrupted, that they seem to make a new translation, quite different from the translations of other copies. All, therefore, is uncertainty as to the Hebrew and Greek manuscripts of the Old Testament; they can be proved to be changed, added unto, and corrupted in almost every text.

46.—It is abundantly proved by various learned writers, that the Greek copies of the New Testament are awfully corrupted in almost every text. Mr. Cressy writes in these words, "In my hearing, Bishop Usher professed, that whereas he had of many years before a desire to publish the New Testament in Greek, with various lections and annotations: and for that purpose had used great diligence, and spent

 much money to furnish himself with manuscripts; yet, in conclusion, he was forced to desist utterly, lest, if he should ingeniously have noted all the several differences of reading which himself had collected, the incredible multitude of them almost in every verse, should rather have made men atheistical, than satisfy them in the true reading of any particular passage. Let those who take the Bible for their only guide think of this. If the few manuscripts procured by Bishop Usher, contains in almost every verse "an incredible multitude of different readings," what grounds have Protestants for confidence in one of these readings more than in another? Out of a thousand different manuscripts, differing in almost every text, who can select the true one? Indeed, there would be almost an infinite improbability as to any one copy being true. Now, it was from such a mass of contradictory Greek manuscripts that the English New Testament was translated.

47.—But to say nothing of the incredible multitude of different readings in the Greek manuscripts themselves, the translators from these old manuscripts are liable to commit many errors, as is evident from the vast number of very different translations which have been made. There is no two translations that agree. This then is another prolific source of error which is calculated to throw still greater uncertainty over the present copies of the scriptures.

48.—What shall we say then, concerning the Bible's being a sufficient guide? Can we rely upon it in its present known corrupted state, as being a faithful record of God's word? We all know that but a few of the inspired writings have descended to our times, which few quote the names of some twenty other books which are lost, and it is quite certain that there were many other inspired books that even the names have not reached us.† What few have come down to our day, have been mutilated, changed, and corrupted, in such a shameful manner that no two manuscripts agree. Verses and even whole chapters have been added by unknown persons; and even we do not know the authors of some whole books; and we are not certain that all those which we do know, were wrote by inspiration. Add all this imperfection to the uncertainty of the translation, and who, in his right mind, could, for one moment, suppose the Bible in its present form to be a perfect guide? Who knows that even one verse of the whole Bible has escaped pollution, so as to convey the same sense now that it did in the original? Who knows how many important doctrines and ordinances necessary to salvation may be buried in oblivion in some of the lost books? Who knows that even the ordinances and doctrine that seem to be set forth in the present English Bible, are anything like the original? The Catholics and Protestants do not know, because tradition is too imperfect to give this knowledge. There can be no certainty as to the contents of the inspired writings until God shall inspire some one to re-write all those books over again, as he did Esdras in ancient times. There is no possible means of arriving at certainty in any other way. No reflecting man can deny the necessity of such a new revelation.

49.—We now appeal to the honesty, good sense, and learning of all good moral men, to testify their convictions in regard to the insufficiency of their rules of faith. Is there a man among you who has candidly examined the present confused, divided, distracted state of all Christendom, who is not thoroughly convinced that something is radically wrong? Many of you, no doubt, have, in your serious reflecting moments, looked upon the bewildered, blind, cold, formal, powerless systems of religion with which you were surrounded with feelings of sorrow and disgust. You have wished to know the truth, but alas, wherever you have turned your investigations, darkness and uncertainty have stared you in the face. The voices of several hundred jarring, contending, soul-sickening sects, were constantly sounding in your ears; each one professing to be built upon the Bible, yet each one differing from all the rest. Under this confused state of things, you have peradventure, involuntarily exclaimed; can the Bible be the word of God! Would God reveal a system of religion expressed in such indefinite terms that a thousand different religions should grow out of it? Has God revealed the great system of salvation in such vague uncertain language on purpose to delight himself with the quarrels and contentions of his creatures in relation


† Esdras speaks of a great number of books which we have not got.
to it? Would God think so much of fallen men, that he would give his only begotten Son to die for them, and then reveal his doctrine to them in language altogether ambiguous and uncertain? Such questions, doubtless, have passed through the mind of many a religiously-inclined person. Millions have been sensible of the midnight darkness, but have not known the true cause; they have acknowledged that they could not understand a very great proportion of the Bible, yet they have believed it to be the word of God; they have wondered that the Bible should be their only rule of faith, and yet so few be able to understand it alike. Many seeing the contradictions, the vagueness, and the uncertainty of all modern religions, professing to have eme

nated from the same God, have been so disgusted that they have renounced the Bible as a fable invented by priestcraft; others, fearing to do this, have pored over whole libraries of uninspired commentaries, seeking after the true meaning of that which they believe God has revealed; and at last, finding the learned commentators as widely disagreed as the sects themselves, they have concluded that the Bible is a great mystery and that God did not intend to have it understood when he revealed it. Others, still, having a little more perseverance, and believing that God would not send a revelation which he did not wish the people to understand, have with great diligence collected vast numbers of the most ancient Greek and Hebrew manuscripts of the sacred books, but here they find themselves utterly confounded: these ancient manuscripts, which they had hoped would reveal the truth, are perverted and corrupted in almost every text, so that they find "an incredible number of different readings" on every page and almost every sentence. From this heterogeneous mass of contradictory manuscripts they give an English translation, and call it the Bible; thus leaving millions to guess out the true meaning and quarrel, and contend with each other because they do not guess alike.

40.—The true cause of all the divisions which distract modern christendom is the want of inspired apostles and prophets: they, through wickedness and apostacy, lost the key of revelation some seventeen centuries ago, since which time they have been altogether unable to open the door of knowledge. Satan has taken the advantage of their dark and benighted condition, and robbed the world of a great number of sacred books, corrupting those few that remained to such a degree, that he has got the whole of christendom quarrelling about their true meaning. This pleases him: he cares not how much they contend and fight about religion as long as he knows that their religion is false: neither does he care how much they are united about religion, as long as he knows that it is not of the right kind. He can tolerate, and, indeed, help his reverse ministers to promulgate all kinds of religion, except that which has true revelators and prophets in it: no other kind of religion displeases him. But for a prophet or revelator to establish a religion on the earth, is more than he can quietly put up with; it strikes a death blow to all that he has been doing since the great apostacy. He is exceedingly frightened, lest some of the old lost books of the ancient prophets and apostles should be again revealed. He is also raving mad, lest the books of the Old and New Testaments should be revealed again anew in their purity as at first—lest every point of Christ's doctrine should be again revealed in such plain, definite, and positive language, that no two persons could possibly disagree upon it. This would be exceedingly dangerous to his kingdom; no wonder then, that he should be full of wrath! But the sincere, honest, humble seeker after truth, must have the privilege of finding it, and that too, in the greatest of plainness, before the overthrow of all nations, that they, by embracing it, may escape the judgments of great Babylon. Yes! the day is come and the time is at hand when all nations are to hear the word of the Lord by the mouth of his chosen apostles and prophets to whom he hath restored the key of revelation for the last time, and for the dispensation of the fulness of times, that all things may be prepared and sealed unto the end of all things, against the day of rest for the meek of the earth.
DIVINE AUTHENTICITY
OF THE
BOOK OF MORMON.

BY ORSON PRATT,
ONE OF THE TWELVE APOSTLES OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS.

EVIDENCES OF THE BOOK OF MORMON AND BIBLE COMPARED.

1.—The Book of Mormon claims to be the sacred history of ancient America, written by a succession of ancient prophets, who inhabited that vast continent. The plates of gold, containing this history, were discovered by a young man, named Joseph Smith, through the ministry of a holy angel, on the evening and morning of the 21st and 22nd of September, A.D. 1823. Four years after their discovery, or on the morning of the 22nd of September, A.D. 1827, the angel of the Lord permitted Mr. Smith to take these sacred records from the place of their deposit. The hill in which they were found buried, is situated in the town of Manchester, Ontario county, State of New York. With the plates were also found a Urim and Thummim. Each plate was not far from seven by eight inches in width and length, being not quite as thick as common tin. Each was filled on both sides with engraved Egyptian characters; and the whole were bound together in a volume, as the leaves of a book, and fastened at one edge with three rings running through each. This volume was something near six inches in thickness, a part of which was sealed. The characters or letters upon the unsealed part were small and beautifully engraved. Mr. Smith, through the aid of the Urim and Thummim, and by the gift and power of God, translated this record into the English language. This translation contains about the same amount of reading as the Old Testament. A large edition of this wonderful book was first published early in 1830.*

2.—It may be asked, what further evidence have we that Mr. Smith saw the angel? Does the truth or falsity of the Book of Mormon depend upon his testimony alone? May not Mr. Smith be an impostor? These are questions, not only reasonable, but of the greatest importance. It certainly does not seem reasonable to many that in sending a message which is to affect the temporal and eternal welfare of all the present generation, God would give but one witness only. When God sent a prophetic message concerning the flood, he must have revealed the truth of it, not only to Noah, but to his three sons; for they all seem to have laboured together in building the ark; this they would not have done unless they had been fully assured that the message was from God. If, then, it be assumed that Noah’s three sons were witnesses, as well as himself, and that their united testimony was given by which the whole world was condemned and overthrown, may we not expect that a message which is to prove, if rejected, the overthrow of the present generation, will come to us, confirmed by, at least, as many witnesses as there were of the flood? The Saviour himself testifies that, “As it was in the days of Noah, so shall it also be in the days of the coming of the Son of Man.” If God sent four witnesses in the days of Noah, the preparatory message for the day of burning, or for the coming of the Son of Man, may also have the same number. Although the Saviour has said, that “in the mouth of two or three witnesses every word shall be established,” yet that does not prohibit him from sending more if it be necessary.

3.—That the world might have no excuse for rejecting the Book of Mormon, the Lord did, before he sent it to them, raise up three other witnesses besides Mr. Smith.

* For further information respecting the ministry of the angel, and the finding and translating the records, the reader is referred to my pamphlet, entitled “Remarkable Visions.”
namely, Oliver Cowdery, David Whitmer, and Martin Harris. These three men in company with Mr. Smith testify that, in answer to their prayers in the year 1829, they saw an angel of God, descend from heaven, clothed with glory, and that he took the plates from which the Book of Mormon was translated, and exhibited them before their eyes, so that they saw them distinctly, and also the engravings upon them; and they further testify, that while the angel was thus showing them the plates, they heard the voice of the Lord out of the heavens, declaring that they had been translated correctly; and they further declare that the voice of the Lord commanded them to send forth their testimony, of what they had seen and heard, unto all nations, kindreds, tongues, and people. In obedience to this heavenly command they have sent forth their written testimony, connected with the Book of Mormon, for the benefit of all the world.

4.—No reasonable person will say that these four persons were themselves deceived; the nature of their testimony is such that they must either be bold, daring impostors, or else the Book of Mormon is true. They testify that they saw the angel descend—they heard his voice—they saw the plates in his hand—they saw the engravings upon them as the angel turned them over, leaf after leaf—at the same time they heard the voice of the Lord out of the heavens. What greater evidence could they have? they could have had nothing that would have given them greater assurance. If they were deceived, then there is no certainty in anything. If these four men could be deceived in seeing an angel descend from heaven, on the same grounds the Apostles may have been deceived in seeing the Saviour ascend up to heaven. These men must have had as much assurance of what they saw and heard and handled, as they had of the existence of any external thing. And having the most perfect knowledge of the truth of the Book of Mormon, they were fully prepared to bear a bold, unequivocal, fearless testimony to all nations.

5.—Is there not a possibility that these four witnesses are all wicked impostors, who have colleague together to deceive mankind? We answer that there would be a possibility if there were no other evidence to confirm their testimony. But when we take into consideration the boldness of their testimony, and the circumstances connected with it, there is no probability that they were wicked men. Is it probable that four men who were, for the most of their days, strangers to each other, residing in three or four different counties, should all combine together to testify that they had seen an angel and heard his voice, and also the voice of God, bearing testimony to the truth of the Book of Mormon, when no such thing had happened? There of these witnesses, namely, Joseph Smith, Oliver Cowdery, and David Whitmer were young men, from twenty to twenty-five years of age; they were men who had been accustomed from their childhood to the peaceful avocations of a farmer’s life. Unacquainted with the deceptions which are more or less practiced in large towns and cities, they possessed the open honesty and simplicity so generally characteristic of country people. Is it, in the least degree, probable that men so young and inexperienced, accustomed to a country life, and unacquainted with the world at large, would be so utterly abandoned by every thing that was good, so perfectly reckless as to their own future welfare, so heaven-daring and blasphemous, as to testify to all nations that which, if false, would for ever seal their damnation? We have read of individual impostors, like Mahomet, who have testified to the ministering of angels, and have deceived many; but where have we ever heard of four impostors, all agreed in combining together, to originate an imposition, and afterwards to send forth their united testimony to deceive all the nations of the earth? In the history of the various false christs and false prophets who have appeared among men, we find, as a general thing, that each one originated his own system of imposition, and then offered it to the world on his own testimony alone; but not so with the Book of Mormon, it was first confirmed by angels and the voice of the Lord to four witnesses, before it was suffered to be printed and offered to the world with authority. We are well aware that there have been hundreds of impositions offered to the world; and it is often the case that hundreds of impostors advocate a particular system, pretending that they know it to be true; but then, if such system be traced back to its origin, it will be found that it not only originated with one man, but was first offered to the world on his testimony alone. We do not say but that the Lord may sometimes send only
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Witnesses to bear testimony of the truth; as examples: Lot was the only one sent to warn his kinsmen in Sodom; Jonah alone was sent to Nineveh; and John the Baptist seems to have been the only one sent to warn the Jews and prepare the way for our Saviour's first coming. It is evident then, that the truth or falsity of a message does not depend upon the number of its witnesses. It may be true, though there be only one witness, and there is a still greater probability of its truth where there are several witnesses. The greater the number of witnesses, the less the liability of deception, especially when we consider that most impostures have been originated and offered to the world on the testimony of only one man. We are not aware that there ever were three, or four, or five impostors who originated an imposture, and succeeded in palming it upon the world as a message from God. Such a thing might barely be possible, but such a thing would be highly improbable.

6.—If we compare the abstract testimony of these four witnesses with the abstract testimonies of the servants of God in former ages; that is, if the testimonies alone, independent of miracles and all other evidences be compared, we shall have, in many respects, greater reasons for believing these four of modern times, than we have for believing those of ancient times. For example: who were witnesses of Christ's transfiguration on the mount at the time Moses and Elias appeared? We are informed that Peter, James, and John were witnesses. But how do we know? Neither of them have handed down their written testimony to that effect. Peter alone testifies of the voice that he and others heard from heaven when they were with Christ. "In the holy mount." (2 Peter i. 18) But neither Peter, James, nor John, have told us anything about the transfiguration, or about the appearance of Moses and Elias. Matthew, Mark, and Luke give us a second-handed testimony to that effect. But these three, not being present at the transfiguration, could not testify as eye witnesses. Compare, then, the testimony of these three, who did not see the glorious manifestations in the mount, with the testimony of the four witnesses to the Book of Mormon, who both saw and heard, and you will be compelled to admit that the latter testimony is far greater than the former.

7.—As another example, let us compare the abstract testimony of these four witnesses with the abstract testimonies of those who professed to have seen Jesus after his resurrection. How many eye witnesses were there that beheld Jesus after his resurrection? We have the written testimony of only four, namely, Matthew, John, Paul, and Peter. There is no doubt but what all the eleven saw him, though eight out of the eleven have given us no written testimony to that effect. Mark, Luke, James and Jude, the other four writers of the New Testament, have not told us, in their writings, whether they saw him after his resurrection or not. Several women saw him, but their written testimony has never reached our day. Paul says, that he was seen, not only by all the apostles, but by "above five hundred brethren at once." (1 Cor. xv. 6.) But none of those five hundred eye witnesses have left any written testimonies of what they saw. Hence, Matthew, John, Paul, and Peter are the only persons among the great number that saw him after his resurrection, who have handed down to our day their written testimony as eye witnesses. Therefore, when this generation can establish the writings of these four apostles to be genuine, uncorrupted, and translated correctly, they will have the testimony of as many witnesses to establish the resurrection of Christ, as there was, in the first place, to establish the Divine Authenticity of the Book of Mormon; but until then, the witnesses of the Book of Mormon will be, not only equal in number, but superior in certainty to those which this generation have of Christ's resurrection. Why is it, then, that men will believe four witnesses who lived eighteen centuries ago, and reject the same number of witnesses that have lived in their own day, who testify of things with equally as much certainty, having both seen and heard? It is because it has become popular, through tradition, to believe what their fathers believed, without at all inquiring into the strength of the evidence on which their faith is founded.

8.—Many say that they will not believe in the Divine Authenticity of the Book of Mormon because there is so much evil spoken against the four witnesses. Let such persons remember the sayings of our Saviour. "Blessed are they which are persecuted for righteousness sake; for their's is the kingdom of heaven. Blessed are ye when men shall revile you, and persecute you, and shall say all manner of evil against you, because of me."
you falsely for my sake. Rejoice and be exceeding glad; for great is your reward in
heaven; for so persecuted they the prophets which were before you.” And again,
Jesus said, “Woe unto you when all men speak well of you; for so did their fathers
to the false prophets.” Again, he said, “Ye shall be hated of all men for my name’s
sake.” “If they have called the master of the house Beelzebub, how much more shall
they call them of his household?” Paul testifies that the saints “were counted the
off-scouring of all things.” Did the hatred, the persecutions, the revilings, and the
“all manner of evils” which were said against the apostles, invalidate or destroy their
testimony? no; their testimony was just as true after they were spoken evil of as be-
fore. Why, then, should any reject the Book of Mormon because the four witnesses
have been persecuted, and all manner of evil said against them? Is it not a pre-
sumptive evidence in favor, instead of being an evidence against the work? On the other
hand, if all men spoke well of these four witnesses, would they not come under the wo-
of the Saviour, and would they not be denounced the same as the false prophets whom
the Jews spoke well of? Some may say that they believe that the evils spoken against
the apostles were false, while the evils spoken against Mr. Smith and the other wit-
esses are true. But what evidence have they to believe that the men who accused
the apostles of “all manner of evil,” were liars; while those who accuse these latter-
day witnesses are men of truth? Are not the latter-day accusers just as likely to be liars
as the former-day ones? And are not the Latter-day Saints just as liable to be falsely
accused as Former-day Saints? Let the accusations be ever so great, or ever so nume-
rous, it does not destroy the truth of a message now, any more than it did anciently.

9.—If we were to admit that the sins and transgressions of Joseph Smith and the
other witnesses, were as great as their enemies falsely assert them to be, (which we do in
no wise admit), that would not invalidate nor destroy their testimony. When Saul,
the king of Israel, through transgression, lost the spirit of prophecy, and became a
murderer in his heart, by seeking the life of David, no one will pretend to say that it
destroyed or even weakened the testimony that he had formerly delivered as a pro-
phet. When David added the crime of murder to adultery, will any one pretend to
say that it invalidated his testimony in relation to the truth of his former prophetic
writings? The Lord appeared unto Solomon twice, (1 Kings, xi. 9); yet even after
all that, he fell into transgression and became a most abominable idolator, serving nu-
umerous gods and goddesses, that were worshipped by the heathen.* Now did this
great crime prove that his testimony, about seeing the Lord twice, was false, and not
to be depended upon? Did his wicked idolatry prove that his proverbs and other
writings were not inspired of God? Did Peter’s lying, and cursing, and swearing,
and denying the Christ, invalidate or destroy his testimony, concerning the glorious
voice he heard in the mount? If then such abominable and awfully wicked crimes,
committed by ancient prophets and apostles, did not invalidate nor destroy their testi-
monies of what they, during their righteousness, had seen and heard, why should it
be thought that the testimony of the four witnesses of the Book of Mormon could be,
in the least, weakened or rendered doubtful by their transgressions and sins? If
they were, through fear, to lie, and curse, and swear as Peter did, and to deny the
Book of Mormon, as Peter did the Christ, that would not prove their former testi-
mony was false. If they were to turn away and serve other gods, and commit adul-
tery, and murder, as Solomon, David, and Saul did, that would not prove that they
had not seen an Angel, and heard the voice of the Lord, Confirming to them the truth
of the Book of Mormon. If such crimes would invalidate their testimony in relation
to the Book of Mormon, like crimes would equally invalidate the testimonies of ancient
prophets and apostles in relation to their respective messages.

10.—No man who has any degree of the Spirit of God in his heart, can read the
history of Joseph Smith, as written by himself, without being fully convinced that he
was no impostor. His extreme youth at the time he received his first vision,
must have precluded every idea of deception: and also, the vision was of such a nature
that he could not himself have been deceived. He testifies, that when he was only in
his fifteenth year, that his mind was filled with the deepest anxiety for the salvation
of his soul: his attention being called to this subject in consequence of a great religi-

* 1 Kings, xi. 1—10.

Our excitement which prevailed in his neighbourhood, and in the surrounding country: this excitement existed to a great extent among many religious sects, but more especially among the Presbyterians, Baptists, and Methodists. Many contentions existed as to which of the numerous sects were right. Four of his father's family were professedly to the Presbyterian faith. He himself, not knowing which was right, kept aloof from all. I will here insert an extract from his history, in his own words: it reads as follows:—

11.—"So great was the confusion and strife among the different denominations that it was impossible for a person, young as I was, and so unacquainted with men and things, to come to any certain conclusion who was right, and who was wrong. My mind at different times was greatly excited, the cry and tumult was so great and incessant. The Presbyterians were most decided against the Baptists and Methodists, and used all their powers of either reason or sophistry to prove their errors, or at least to make the people think they were in error. On the other hand, the Baptists and Methodists in their turn, were equally zealous to establish their own tenets and disprove all others.

"In the midst of this war and tumult of opinions, I often said to myself, what is to be done? Who of all these parties are right? or, are they all wrong together? If any one of them be right which is it, and how shall I know it?

"While I was labouring under the extreme difficulties, caused by the contests of these parties of rationalists, I was one day reading the epistle of James, first chapter and fifth verse, which reads, "If any of you lack wisdom, let him ask of God, that giveth unto all men liberally and upbraideth not, and it shall be given him." Never did any passage of scripture come with more power to the heart of man than this did at this time to mine. It seemed to enter with great force into every feeling of my heart. I reflected on it again and again, knowing that if any person needed wisdom from God I did; for how to act I did not know, and unless I could get more wisdom than I then had, would never know; for the teachers of religion of the different sects understood the same passage so differently as to destroy all confidence in settling the question by an appeal to the Bible. At length I came to the conclusion that I must either remain in darkness and confusion, or else I must do as James directs, that is, ask of God. I at length came to the determination to ask of God, and retired to the woods to make the attempt. It was on the morning of a beautiful clear day, early in the spring of eighteen hundred and twenty. It was the first time in my life that I had made such an attempt, for amidst all my anxieties I had never yet made the attempt to pray vocally.

"After I had retired into the place where I had previously designed to go, having looked around me and finding myself alone, I kneeled down and began to offer up the desires of my heart to God. I had scarcely done so, when immediately I was seized upon by some power which entirely overcame me, and had such astonishing influence over me as to bind my tongue, so that I could not speak. Thick darkness gathered around me, and it seemed to me for a time as if I were doomed to sudden destruction. But exerting all my powers to call upon God to deliver me out of the power of this enemy which had seized upon me, and at the very moment when I was ready to sink into despair and abandon myself to destruction, not to an imaginary ruin, but to the power of some actual being from the unseen world, who had such a marvellous power as I had never before felt in any being. Just at this moment of great alarm, I saw a pillar of light exactly over my head, above the brightness of the sun, which descended gradually until it fell upon me. It no sooner appeared than I found myself delivered from the enemy which held me bound. When the light rested upon me, I saw two personages, whose brightness and glory defied all description, standing above me in the air. One of them spake unto me, calling me by name, and said (pointing to the other)—'This is my beloved son, hear him.'

"My object in going to enquire of the Lord, was to know which of all the sects was right, that I might know which to join. No sooner, therefore, did I get possession of myself, so as to be able to speak, than I asked the personages who stood above me in the light, which of all the sects was right (for at this time it had never entered into
my heart that all were wrong), and which I should join. I was answered that I must join none of them, for they were all wrong, and the personages who addressed me said, ‘that all their creeds were an abomination in his sight; that those professors were all corrupted; they draw near to me with their lips, but their hearts are far from me; they teach for doctrine the commandments of men, having a form of godliness, but they deny the power thereof.’ He again forbade me to join with any of them: and many other things did he say unto me which I cannot write at this time. When I came to myself again, I found myself laying on my back, looking up into heaven. Some few days after I had this vision, I happened to be in company with one of the Methodist preachers who was very active in the before-mentioned religious excitement, and conversing with him on the subject of religion, I took occasion to give him an account of the vision which I had had. I was greatly surprised at his behaviour, he treated my communication not only lightly, but with great contempt, saying it was all of the devil; that there were no such things as visions or revelations in these days; that all such things had ceased with the apostles, and that there never would be any more of them. I soon found however that my telling the story had excited a great deal of prejudice against me among professors of religion, and was the cause of great persecution which continued to increase; and though I was an obscure boy, only between fourteen and fifteen years of age, and my circumstances in life such as to make a boy of no consequence in the world, yet men of high standing would take notice sufficient to excite the public mind against me, and create a hot persecution, and this was common among all the sects: all united to persecute me. It has often caused me serious reflection both then and since, how very strange it was that an obscure boy of a little over fourteen years of age, and one too, who was doomed to the necessity of obtaining a scanty maintenance by his daily labour, should be thought a character of sufficient importance to attract the attention of the great ones of the most popular sects of the day, so as to create in them a spirit of the hottest persecution and reviling. But strange or not, so it was, and was often cause of great sorrow to myself. However it was nevertheless a fact that I had had a vision. I have thought since, that I felt much like Paul when he made his defence before king Agrippa, and related the account of the vision he had when he saw a light and heard a voice, but still there were but few who believed him; some said he was dishonest, others said he was mad, and he was ridiculed and reviled; but all this did not destroy the reality of his vision. He had seen a vision, he knew he had, and all the persecution under heaven could not make it otherwise; and though they should persecute him unto death, yet he knew and would know unto his latest breath, that he had both seen a light and heard a voice speaking to him, and all the world could not make him believe otherwise.—So it was with me, I had actually seen a light, and in the midst of that light I saw two personages, and they did in reality speak unto me, or one of them did; and though I was hated and persecuted for saying that I had seen a vision, yet it was true; and while they were persecuting me, reviling me, and speaking all manner of evil against me falsely for so saying, I was led to say in my heart, why persecute for telling the truth? I have actually seen a vision, and ‘who am I that I can withstand God?’ or why does the world think to make me deny what I have actually seen? for I had seen a vision; I knew it, and I knew that God knew it, and I could not deny it, neither dare I do it; at least I knew that by so doing I would offend God and come under condemnation. I had now got my mind satisfied so far as the sectarian world was concerned, that it was not my duty to join with any of them, but continue as I was until further directed.”

12.—Now we candidly ask our readers if they can believe, that a boy under fifteen years of age, would relate the foregoing vision to a Methodist minister and to his old acquaintances on purpose to bring down upon himself derision and scorn. Would he continue year after year, to affirm that he had seen a great and glorious vision, unless he had truly seen one? Would he be so fond of being hated, persecuted, and ridiculed, that he would continue to testify to a heaven-daring falsehood, on purpose to get the contempt and ill will of almost every one that knew him? Where is there a circumstance recorded in the annals of history of a youth of fourteen turning an impostor, declaring

that he had seen the Lord and heard his voice, and continuing to affirm the same all
the days of his life, in the midst of the most distressing scenes of persecution, and
finally, sealing his testimony with his blood? Such an instance cannot be found. If
this obscure country youth were an impostor, is it not very strange that none of the
wise men of the age are able to detect the least error in his doctrine? A wicked
corrupt impostor of fourteen years of age, must be the wonder of the world, if he could
begin to originate, at that early period of his life, a religious deception that could not
in its progress, be detected, but that would continue, year after year, to deceive its tens
of thousands. If he was sincere, then the Book of Mormon is a divine revelation,
and this church must be “the only true and living church of Christ upon the face of
the whole earth,” and there is no salvation in any other. This is an immense conclu-
sion, but we can come to no other, the moment we admit his sincerity. Therefore
the world are driven to the necessity of denouncing this obscure illiterate country youth,
as the most vile, base, arch-deceiver that ever disgraced the earth, or of admitting
that he was one of the greatest prophets, with the exception of the Saviour, that ever
lived among men.

13. — But in order to prove that the four witnesses of the Book of Mormon are all
impostors, it will be necessary to prove that they did not see and hear an angel—that
they did not see the plates in the angel’s hand—that they did not hear the voice of
the Lord, declaring that they were translated correctly. All reasonable men will ad-
mit that it is impossible for any negative testimony to be found to prove directly that
God did not send his angel to reveal and confirm the truth of the Book of Mormon;
and as there is no direct evidence to negative their testimony, and prove them impos-
tors, therefore if it be possible to prove them such, it can only be done by some indi-
rect evidence, arising from the circumstances of the case, or from the nature of the
message itself, as being contradictory to some known truth.

14. — Let us enquire, first, if there be anything connected with the circumstances
that renders their testimony doubtful or improbable. Is it improbable that an angel
should be sent again to our earth? We see no improbability that such an event
should happen. It certainly is not an unscriptural doctrine for angels to appear.
Angels appeared to Abraham and took dinner with him; an angel appeared to Jacob
and wrestled with him all night; angels appeared to Lot, and lodged with him; an-
gels appeared to Moses—to Joshua—to Manoah—to Gideon—to David—to Daniel—
to Zechariah—to Joseph, the husband of Mary—to the shepherds by night—to the
apostles—to Philip—to Paul—to Cornelius, and finally, Paul says, that they are “all
ministering spirits sent forth to minister for them who shall be heirs of salvation.”
The apostles exhorted the saints not to be forgetful to entertain strangers, for some,
in so doing, “had entertained angels unawares.” There is nothing in the scriptu-
tures which indicates that angels will cease to appear among men; therefore, there is
nothing in the circumstances of the appearance of the angel to those four witnesses
that is unscriptural. And there certainly is nothing unreasonable in an angel’s being
sent in our day. If it was reasonable for God to send an angel to announce the
birth of John the Baptist, to prepare the way for the first advent, why should it be
thought unreasonable for Him to send angels to announce the great preparatory mes-
sage for the second advent? Hence, the testimony of these four witnesses, concern-
ing the appearing of an angel, is neither unscriptural nor unreasonable. Therefore,
the event itself, and the circumstances connected with it, are such as do not, in the
least, weaken the testimony, or render it doubtful, or improbable.

15. — Let us enquire next, if there be anything, connected with the nature of the
message, that is contradictory to any known truth? This can be easily ascer-
tained by a careful examination of the historical, prophetic, and doctrinal parts of
the Book of Mormon, and by a faithful comparison of the same with the historical,
prophetic, and doctrinal truths which are already known. If, after this examina-
tion and comparison, we find irreconcilable and palpable contradictions, we should
then know the four witnesses to be false in their testimony, and unworthy of credit.
But if, on the other hand, we find no disagreement, nor contradictions to any known
truths—if we find every part of the book harmonising with every other part—if we
find nothing absurd, unscriptural, nor unreasonable,—then we have no authority
whatever for condemning the witnesses as impostors.
16. — If the historical parts of the Book of Mormon be compared with what little is known from other sources, concerning the history of ancient America, there will be found much evidence to substantiate its truth; but there cannot be found one truth among all the gleanings of antiquity that clashes with the historical truths of the Book of Mormon.

17. — If the prophetic part of this wonderful book be compared with the prophetic declarations of the Bible, there will be found much evidence in the latter to establish the truth of the former. But though there are many predictions in the Book of Mormon, relating to the great events of the last days, which the Bible gives us no information about, yet there is nothing in the predictions of the Bible that contradicts in the least, the predictions in the Book of Mormon.

18. — If the doctrinal part of the Book of Mormon be compared with the doctrines of the Bible, there will be found the same perfect harmony which we find on the comparison of the prophetic parts of the two books. Although there are many points of the doctrine of Christ that are far more plain and definite in the Book of Mormon than in the Bible, and many things revealed in relation to doctrine that never could be fully learned from the Bible, yet there are not any items of doctrine in the two sacred books that contradict each other, or clash in the least.

19. — If the various books which enter into the collection, called the Book of Mormon, be carefully compared with each other, there will be found nothing contradictory in history, in prophecy, or in doctrine.

20. — If the miracles of the Book of Mormon be compared with the miracles of the Bible, there cannot be found in the former any thing that would be more difficult to believe, than what we find in the latter.

21. — If we compare the historical, prophetic, and doctrinal parts of the Book of Mormon, with the great truths of science and nature, we find no contradictions — no absurdities — nothing unreasonable. The most perfect harmony, therefore exists between the great truths revealed in the Book of Mormon, and all other known truths, whether religious, historical, or scientific.

22. — Here, then, we have this great message of the last days, confirmed at the very outset, by the ministry of an angel to four witnesses. These witnesses have neither of them denied the bold and fearless, though humble testimony which they have sent forth to all nations. No man living can prove that an angel did not appear to them. There is nothing in the nature of the event itself, nor in any of the circumstances connected with it, that would render it absurd, unscriptural, unreasonable, or improbable. There is nothing in the historical, prophetic, or doctrinal parts of the message that contradicts each other, or any known truth throughout the wide field of scientific or religious knowledge. Therefore, no man living has the least authority for condemning these witnesses as impostors. Indeed, there cannot be brought the least shadow of evidence, either direct or indirect, to prove that their testimony, concerning the angel, is false. Therefore, as their testimony cannot be proved false, the Book of Mormon stands upon a foundation as firm as the rock of ages, and as secure as the throne of the Almighty. Though wicked men may invent all manner of falsehoods against the saints, and against the chosen witnesses of the Lord — though they may slander, revile, and persecute them, and drive them from city to city, destroying property, and murdering men, women, and children — though they may without the least provocation, murder this great prophet of the last dispensation, and drive tens of thousands of the church into the wilderness, far from the abodes of what they call civilized life; — yet they will learn that all such arguments are vain and futile, when met by stubborn facts — they will learn that such arguments are powerless when they hear the voice of witnesses, saying, we have seen — we have heard — we have handled, and we know of a surety. All men among all nations, kindreds, tongues, and people, are required under the penalty of eternal damnation to believe, receive, and obey the Book of Mormon, unless they can prove the witnesses thereof to be impostors. And this they cannot do.

23. — It is oftentimes asked, by our opposers, if the Bible says anything about the Book of Mormon? If not, say they, we will not believe in it. Now there is nothing more inconsistent than to say we will not believe a book to be a divine revelation, unless some other inspired book has spoken of it. How did Jeremiah prove to the Jews
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that his book was true? Did any other inspired book speak of the writings which Jer-
emiah should receive? No: Jeremiah's book was not mentioned by any of the former
prophets. Does any former book speak of the five books of Moses? Does any former
or Jude, or the book of John's prophecy? Those, therefore, who would reject
the Book of Mormon, because they supposed that other previously inspired books had
not mentioned it, would, on the same grounds, be obliged to reject every book, both
of the Old and New Testaments; for not one of them can be proved a divine revela-
tion by the testimony of any previously written book. If, then, it can be proved by
the Bible that such a book as the Book of Mormon was to be revealed in the last
days, this would be an additional testimony to its truth, which none of the other in-
spired books have. Before we close this series, we shall show that the Bible has pre-
dicted that such a book, as the one now revealed, should be sent forth to fulfill the great
events of the last days. If the ancient prophets have made such predictions, they
must have considered that the message in the Book of Mormon was to be of far greater
consequence as to the events and purposes which it should accomplish, than all other
books that had preceded it. If they had not considered it in that light, they would
not have mentioned it, and passed by in silence many other sacred books that were to
be written.

24.—Have any persons ever seen the plates of the Book of Mormon, besides the four
witnesses? Yes: there are eight other witnesses, who send forth their printed testi-
mony, in connexion with the Book of Mormon, unto all nations, kindreds, tongues,
and people. They testify that they saw and handled the plates, and examined the
engravings upon them, and that they had "the appearance of ancient work and of
curious workmanship." They close their testimony with the following words:
"And we give our names unto the world, to witness unto the world that which we
have seen; and we lie not, God bearing witness of it." Here, then, are twelve wit-
nesses of the existence of the plates. Neither of these witnesses have ever denied
their testimony to this day. Some of these witnesses have died—some have been
martyred for their testimony; and others are still living. Is there a person on the
earth, that can prove that these twelve witnesses did not see the plates? No, there
is not. The existence of the plates, filled with engravings, is proved by twelve eye
witnesses: while the correctness of their translation is proved by four eye witnesses,
not only of the plates, but of the angel. Therefore, the evidences which this genera-
tion have of the Divine Authenticity of the Book of Mormon, and of the existence of
the plates, are far greater than the evidences which they have for the truth of any of
the books of the Bible. Hence, if they would be condemned for rejecting the Bible,
how much more will they be condemned for rejecting the Book of Mormon which
was confirmed, in its very origin, by so many witnesses?

25.—After these plates had been exhibited to a sufficient number of witnesses, they
were, by the commandment of God, hid up in charge of the heavenly messenger who
first revealed them, and who had, from time to time, while they were being translated,
directed Mr. Smith how to preserve them from the hands of his persecutors; for per-
secution was so heavy upon him that he had to flee from place to place to preserve
his life. A portion of these plates were sealed together, and Mr. Smith was forbid-
den to break the seal, or to translate them. The Book of Mormon informs us that
the sealed portion of the plates contains a very great and sacred revelation, unfolding
things from the beginning of the world unto the end thereof, and that it is hereafter
to be revealed by the power of Christ. The plates, therefore, will no doubt be kept
in charge of the heavenly messenger until the time arrives for the seal to be loosed,
and for the remainder to be translated.

26.—Many suppose that if they could see the plates, it would at once convince
them of the divine origin of this great and marvellous work. But, we ask such, how
could they know by barely seeing the plates, whether they were of ancient or modern
construction? How could they tell, by seeing the engravings upon them, that they
were translated correctly? Who, among all the generations of Israel after the days
of Moses, saw the tables of stone on which the law was engraved? We answer, that
the tables of stone were kept in the ark in the "holy of holies," and none but the
high priest had the privilege of going in there, and he only once a-year. It is true
that the high priest could testify that he had seen the tables of stone, and the people
could believe it on his testimony. When Christ arose from the dead, he did not show
himself openly, but he appeared to chosen witnesses, and commanded them to bear
testimony to all nations. The people, instead of seeing the risen Saviour and becom-
ing eye-witnesses to this great and fundamental truth, had to believe it on the testi-
mony of others. So with the plates of the Book of Mormon, instead of these being
sent to every creature in all the world, the testimony of chosen witnesses is sent.
And as every creature in all the world, who would not believe the chosen eye-witnes-
s of a risen Saviour were to be damned, so every living soul who rejects the testimony
of the chosen eye witnesses of the ministry of the angel, confirmatory of the Book of
Mormon—will be damned, for thus hath the Lord spoken.

27.—We ask this generation to bring one living witness that has seen even one of
the original manuscripts of any of the books of the Bible. They cannot do it. There
is not one solitary original manuscript of any book of the Bible now known among
men; neither has there been any such manuscript known for very many centuries.
Therefore, this generation have twelve eye witnesses of the original of the Book of
Mormon, whereas they have not even one eye witness of the original of any book of
the Bible. Therefore, if rejecting the evidences which we have of the truth of the
Bible will bring condemnation, how much greater must be the condemnation of
this generation, if they reject the far greater evidences of the Book of Mormon! Oh the
unbelief and inconsistency of this generation! How can they escape the sword of jus-
tice which hangs over them? They are drunken in iniquity, and the spirit of deep
sleep is upon them, and they know not the day of their visitation! Like beasts they
will be led to the slaughter, and quickly go down into the pit!

28.—As there has been no apostolical succession which has continued on the earth
for the want of new revelation, as was proved in No. 3 of this series, it may be asked,
how was the authority of the priesthood restored to the earth? We answer, that it
was restored by the ministry of angels. On this subject we make an extract from
the history of Joseph Smith, which reads as follows: "We still continued the work
of translation, when in the ensuing month, (May, 1829) we," that is, Joseph Smith
and Oliver Cowdery, "on a certain day, went into the woods to pray and inquire of
the Lord respecting baptism for the remission of sins, as we found mentioned in the
translation of the plates. While we were thus employed, praying, and calling upon
the Lord, a messenger from heaven descended in a cloud of light, and having laid his
hands upon us, he ordained us, saying unto us, 'Upon you, my fellow servants, in the
name of Messiah, I confer the priesthood of Aaron, which holds the keys of the mini-
stering of angels, and of the gospel of repentance, and of baptism by immersion for
the remission of sins, and this shall never be taken again from the earth, until the
sons of Levi do offer again an offering unto the Lord in righteousness.' He said this
Aaronic priesthood had not the power of laying on of hands for the gift of the Holy
Ghost, but that this should be conferred on us hereafter; and he commanded us to
and be baptized, and gave us directions, that I should baptize Oliver Cowdery,
and that afterwards he should baptize me.

"Accordingly we went and were baptized: I baptized him first, and afterwards be-
baptized me: after which I laid my hands upon his head and ordained him to the
Aaronic priesthood, and afterwards he laid his hands upon me, and ordained me to
the same priesthood, for so were we commanded.

"The messenger who visited us on this occasion, and conferred this priesthood
upon us, said that his name was John, the same that is called John the Baptist in the
New Testament, and that he acted under the direction of Pese., James, and John,
who held the keys of the priesthood of Melchisedec, which priesthood, he said, should
in due time be conferred on us, and that I should be called the first elder and he the
second. It was on the fifteenth day of May, eighteen hundred and twenty-nine, that
we were baptized, and ordained under the hand of the messenger.

"Immediately upon our coming up out of the water after we had been baptized,
we experienced great and glorious blessings from our heavenly Father. No sooner
had I baptized Oliver Cowdery than the Holy Ghost fell upon him, and he stood up
and prophesied many things which should shortly come to pass. And, again, so
EVIDENCES OF THE BOOK OF MORMON AND BIBLE COMPARED.

soon as I had been baptized by him, I also had the spirit of prophecy; when, standing up, I prophesied concerning the rise of the Church, and many other things connected with the Church, and this generation of the children of men. We were filled with the Holy Ghost, and rejoiced in the God of our salvation. Our minds being now enlightened, we began to have the scriptures laid open to our understandings, and the true meaning of their more mysterious passages revealed unto us, in a manner which we never could attain to previously, nor ever before have thought of.*

20.—We consider the restoration of the Aaronic priesthood to be among some of the most important events of the last dispensation. The existence of this priesthood in the last days is clearly predicted in ancient scripture. But as this priesthood has not authority to administer the laying on of hands for the gift of the Holy Ghost, it may be further asked, how was the authority still further restored, namely, the Apostleship, which holds the authority of the Melchisedec priesthood? We answer, that Peter, James, and John appeared as ministering angels, and conferred the Apostleship upon Joseph Smith and others; after which they were authorized to confirm the Church by the laying on of hands. Thus it will be seen, that the authority of the apostles of this Church of Christ was not derived through a succession of popes and bishops in the apostate Church of Rome, but it was restored direct from heaven by those who held the keys thereof.

30.—It will be perceived from the above extract, that after John the Baptist had laid his hands upon Joseph Smith and Oliver Cowdery, and ordained them, that he commanded them to baptize each other, and then ordain each other. It may be asked, why it became necessary for them to ordain each other, when they had already received an ordination under the hands of the angel? We answer, that in the Church of God ordination always follows baptism instead of preceding it. And as they had not been baptized when the angel ordained them, it was necessary that they should be ordained after baptism, in order that they might exhibit a perfect pattern for all future ordinations. If they had not been commanded to do this, the servants of God at a subsequent period might have ventured to ordain others before baptism; and as an excuse for so doing, they would have argued that Joseph Smith and Oliver Cowdery were ordained before baptism. Hence we can see the wisdom of God in giving, at the first start, a perfect pattern, by commanding them to receive a re-ordination after baptism; thus showing that the priesthood, after the Church was once organized, was never to be conferred upon any unbaptized person.

31.—John the Baptist it seems was the last person who held the keys of the Aaronic priesthood, and, therefore, he would be a suitable person to restore that priesthood once more to the earth. In order that John might be qualified to fulfill all the duties of his mission as the Lord's messenger, God raised him with many others from the dead after the resurrection of Christ.† It is also well known that those who die holding the priesthood will retain the priesthood in the future life, and will be priests after the resurrection.‡ John, therefore, having received an immortal body of flesh and bones, and holding the Aaronic priesthood with the keys and power thereof, has come forth from heaven as the Lord's messenger, to restore the priesthood to the sons of men—to prepare the way before the Lord when he shall suddenly come to his temple.

32.—That John the Baptist's mission did not close with his martyrdom is evident from the testimony of both Isaiah and Malachi. Both of these prophets have spoken of John, and of the mission which he should perform, and the great events connected with it. Isaiah says, "Comfort ye, comfort ye my people, saith your God. Speak ye comfortably to Jerusalem, and cry unto her, that her warfare is accomplished, that her iniquity is pardoned: for she hath received of the Lord's hand double for all her sins. The voice of him that crieth in the wilderness, Prepare ye the way of the Lord, make straight in the desert a highway for our God. Every valley shall be exalted, and every mountain and hill shall be made low: and the crooked shall be made straight, and the rough places plain, and the glory of the Lord shall be revealed, and

† See Matthew xxvii. 52, 53. Also Doctrine and Covenants, sec. xiv. par. 10.
‡ Revelations v. 9, 10; also xx. 6.
all flesh shall see it together: for the mouth of the Lord hath spoken it.” * This prophecy is applied by the evangelists to John. † He was sent forth as a prophet to prepare the way before the Highest at his first coming, and his voice was heard in the wilderness to that effect; but that was only one part of his great mission, for nearly the whole of the above prophecy remains yet to be fulfilled. John’s message to Jerusalem was not a proclamation such as above quoted: he did not declare to her that “her warfare is accomplished, that her iniquity is pardoned;” he did not testify to Jerusalem that she had already “received of the Lord’s hand double for all her sins.” No, the time had not come for such comforting language to be sounded in the ears of the Jews; a long dispersion and captivity awaited them—distress and trouble for many generations because of their sins. Moreover the mission of John was to prepare the way of the Lord, not merely for his first coming, but for that coming when “Every valley shall be exalted, and every mountain and hill shall be made low;” when “the crooked shall be made straight, and the rough places plain.” The preparation for the Lord’s first coming did not accomplish this: the preparation for his second coming will accomplish it. That the above prophecy had reference to the great and terrible day of the Lord, when he should appear in his glory, is clearly expressed in the above quotation: “And the glory of the Lord shall be revealed, and all flesh shall see it together.” At his first coming all flesh did not see his glory: at his second coming every eye will see him in his glory. John the Baptist, then, being “the voice of one crying in the wilderness,” will act a conspicuous part in the great preparatory dispensation for the second coming of the Lord—that glorious dispensation when a message of comfort shall be sent to the dispersed afflicted Jews: when it shall be said to Jerusalem, that her iniquity is pardoned, &c. The greatness and glory of his mission extended to a period when the mountains, hills, valleys, and rough places were to feel the power of God—when a highway was to be prepared in the desert for our God—when all flesh together was to behold his glory. For this purpose he was sent from heaven in these latter times, clothed with glory and power, holding the keys of a preparatory priesthood for the revelation of Jesus Christ, accompanied by all the powers of heaven.

33.—The Lord by the prophet Malachi says, “Behold, I will send my messenger, and he shall prepare the way before me: and the Lord whom ye seek shall suddenly come to his temple, even the messenger of the covenant whom ye delight in: behold, he shall come, saith the Lord of Hosts. But who may abide the day of his coming? and who shall stand when he appeareth? for he is like a refiner’s fire, and like fuller’s soap; and he shall sit as a refiner and purifier of silver: and he shall purify the sons of Levi, and purge them as gold and silver, that they may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness. Then shall the offering of Judah and Jerusalem be pleasant unto the Lord, as in the days of old, and as in former years.” § The Saviour applies this prediction concerning the messenger to John the Baptist. § Although John the Baptist is the messenger, yet the great preparatory work which he was to perform was only accomplished in part during his first mission. The preparatory work ascribed to the messenger was to precede the great and glorious second coming. After the messenger should prepare the way, then the Lord should suddenly come to his temple. That this had reference to his glorious appearing in flaming fire is evident from the questions asked, “But who may abide the day of his coming? And who shall stand when he appeareth?” When Christ first came, he did not suddenly come to his temple—he did not come in such power and glory that the wicked could not abide his coming—he did not consume the wicked so that they could not stand before his appearing. Therefore, John the Baptist did not, in preparing the way for his first coming, complete his mission. He must, in order to fulfil the prophecy, make preparations for his second coming also; and in order to do this, the priesthood which he held must be restored to the earth. This is evident from the fact that the sons of Levi are to be purged “as gold and silver, that they may offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness. Then shall the offering of Judah and Jerusalem be pleasant unto the Lord, as in the days of old, and as in former years.” When John filled his first mission the sons of Levi were not purged: they did not

* Isaiah xi. 1—5. † Luke iii. 4, 5, 6. John i. 23. ‡ Malachi iii. 1, 2, 3, 4. § Luke vii. 27.
offer unto the Lord an offering in righteousness: the offerings of that priesthood were not pleasant unto the Lord. But when he suddenly comes to his temple, as mentioned by Ezekiel, (xlili, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7,) then all these things will be fulfilled; but before that day the priesthood of Levi or of Aaron must be restored to the earth. John the Baptist, who holds that priesthood, is the legal and proper messenger to restore it, and thus he will fulfill and accomplish the great preparatory work assigned him in relation to the second coming of the Lord.

34.—This messenger, John the Baptist, has already been sent: he descended in a cloud of light and glory; he conferred the priesthood by his own hands upon the heads of Joseph Smith and Oliver Cowdery; and thus, after so many generations have passed away in darkness, the sons of men are once more blessed with the privilege of being baptized by men holding authority. God requires all nations, kindreds, tongues, and people to repent and be baptized by the authority which he has restored to the earth through the ministry of holy angels, and if they will not do this, "they shall be damned," saith the Lord, "and shall not come into my Father's kingdom where my Father and I am."

35.—The Lord having raised up these chosen witnesses, having conferred upon them the priesthood, and having poured out the Holy Ghost upon them, sent them forth to bear testimony. Many believed their testimony, repented, and were immersed in water for the remission of their sins, and were filled with great joy. And on the sixth of April, A.D. 1830, the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints was organized, according to the commandments of God, at the house of Mr. Whitmer, in Fayette, Seneca County, State of New York, North America. Thus was the Church of Christ once more restored to the earth, holding the keys of authority and power to bind, to loose, and to seal on the earth and in heaven, according to the commandments of God and the revelations of Jesus Christ. Yes, thus saith the Lord, this Church is "the only true and living Church upon the face of the whole earth, with which I, the Lord, am well pleased, speaking unto the Church collectively and not individually; for I, the Lord, cannot look upon sin with the least degree of allowance." * All other churches are unauthorized of God. Their "Articles of Religion"—their creeds—their Prayer Books—their ordinations—their sacraments—their baptisms—their various forms of worship—their preaching—and their religious assemblies—are all, an abomination in the sight of heaven. There is no remission of sins, nor gifts of the Holy Ghost, nor legality of priesthood, nor authorized ministrations, nor glory, nor salvation among them. There is no vision, nor revelation, nor angel, nor heavenly powers, nor prophet, nor revelator, nor inspiration, nor voice of God, nor any other communication from the heavenly worlds unto them. The powers of heaven and the knowledge of the true God are not known among them. This is the condition of every church throughout all Christendom: they form no part of the church of Christ, nor of the kingdom of God. O what great reason have this generation to be thankful that God has had pity upon them in their dark, benighted, and apostate condition—that he has sent his angels with a message of glad tidings—that he has set up his kingdom again on the earth, that salvation may once more be obtained by the fallen sons and daughters of men.

36.—Having demonstrated the divine authenticity of the Book of Mormon, by the testimony of four witnesses in its origin among this generation, let us next enquire whether in the progress of the work, God has raised up any other witnesses of this great and glorious book. On the eleventh day of April, in the same year that the church was organized, Oliver Cowdery preached the first public discourse at the house of Mr. Whitmer. The same day, thirteen were baptized. In order that the reader may have some little understanding of the power of the spirit that was poured out, and the testimonies given in confirmation of this work, we make the following extract from the history of Joseph Smith.

37.—"During this month of April, I (Joseph Smith) went on a visit to the residence of Mr. Joseph Knight, of Colesville, Broom county, N. Y., with whom and his family I had been previously acquainted, and of whose name I have above mentioned as having been so kind and thoughtful towards us while translating the Book

* Doctrine and Covenants, Section i. par. 5.
of Mormon. Mr. Knight and his family were Universalists, but were willing to rea-
son with me upon my religious views, and were, as usual, friendly and hospitable. We
held several meetings in the neighbourhood; we had many friends and some enemies.
Our meetings were well attended, and many began to pray fervently to Almighty
God, that he would give them wisdom to understand the truth. Amongst those who
attended our meetings regularly was Newel Knight, son to Joseph Knight. He and I
had many serious conversations on the important subject of man’s eternal salvation;
we had got into the habit of praying much at our meetings, and Newel had said that
he would try and take up his cross, and pray vocally during meeting; but when we
again met together, he rather excused himself. I tried to prevail upon him, making
use of the figure, supposing that he should get into a mudhole, would he not try to
help himself out? and that we were willing now to help him out of the mudhole.
He replied, that provided he had got into a mudhole through carelessness, he would
rather wait and get out himself than have others to help him, and so he would wait
until he should get into the woods by himself and there he would pray. Accordingly
he deferred praying until next morning, when he retired into the woods, where, accord-
ing to his own account afterwards, he made several attempts to pray but could
scarcely do so, feeling that he had not done his duty, but that he should have prayed
in the presence of others. He began to feel uneasy, and continued to feel worse both
in mind and body, until upon reaching his own house, his appearance was such as to
alarm his wife very much. He requested her to go and bring me to him. I went
and found him suffering very much in his mind, and his body acted upon in a very
strange manner. His visage and limbs distorted and twisted in every shape and ap-
pearance possible to imagine, and finally, he was caught up off the floor of the ap-
artment and tossed about most fearfully. His situation was soon made known to his
neighbours and relatives, and in a short time as many as eight or nine grown persons
had got together to witness the scene. After he had thus suffered for a time, I suc-
cceeded in getting hold of him by the hand, when almost immediately he spoke to me,
and with very great earnestness requested of me that I should cast the devil out of him,
saying that he knew that he was in him, and that he also knew that I could cast him
out. I replied, “if you know that I can, it shall be done,” and then, almost uncon-
sciously I rebuked the devil, and commanded him in the name of Jesus Christ to depart
from him, when immediately Newel spoke out and said that he saw the devil leave him
and vanish from his sight. This was the first miracle which was done in this church
or by any member of it, and it was done not by man nor by the power of man, but it
was done by God, and by the power of godliness: therefore let the honour and the
praise, the dominion and the glory, be ascribed to the Father, Son, and Holy Spirit,
for ever and ever. Amen.

"The scene was now entirely changed, for as soon as the devil had departed from
our friend, his countenance became natural, his distortions of body ceased, and almost
immediately the spirit of the Lord descended upon him, and the visions of eternity
were opened to his view. He afterwards related his experience as follows:—’I saw
began to feel a most pleasing sensation resting upon me, and immediately the visions
of heaven were opened to my view. I felt myself attracted upward, and remained for
some time enwrapped in contemplation, insomuch that I knew not what was going on in
the room. By and by I felt some weight pressing upon my shoulder and the side of
my head, which served to recall me to a sense of my situation, and I found that the
spirit of the Lord had actually caught me up off the floor, and that my shoulder and
head were pressing against the beams.’

"All this was witnessed by many, to their great astonishment and satisfaction when
they saw the devil thus cast out, and the power of God and his Holy Spirit thus made
manifest. So soon as consciousness returned, his bodily weakness was such that we
were obliged to lay him upon his bed and wait upon him for some time. As may be
expected, such a scene as this contributed much to make believers of those who wit-
tnessed it; and finally, the greater part of them became members of the church.

"Soon after this occurrence, I returned to Fayette, Seneca county.

"During the last week in May, the above mentioned Newel Knight came to visit
us at Fayette, and was baptized by David Whitmer.

"On the first day of June, 1830, we held our first conference as an organized church.
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Our numbers were about thirty, besides whom many assembled with us, who were either believers or anxious to learn.

"Having opened by singing and prayer, we partook together of the emblems of the body and blood of our Lord Jesus Christ; we then proceeded to confirm several who had lately been baptized, after which we called out and ordained several to the various offices of the priesthood. Much exhortation and instruction was given, and the Holy Ghost was poured out upon us in a miraculous manner—many of our number prophesied, whilst others had the heavens opened to their view, and were so overcome that we had to lay them on beds or other convenient places; among the rest was brother Newel Knight, who had to be placed on a bed, being unable to help himself. By his own account of the transaction, he could not understand why we should lay him on the bed, as he felt no sensibility of weakness. He felt his heart filled with love, with glory and pleasure unspeakable, and could discern all that was going on in the room; when, all of a sudden, a vision of futurity burst upon him. He saw there represented the great work, which through my instrumentality was yet to be accomplished. He saw heaven opened, and beheld the Lord Jesus Christ seated on the right hand of the Majesty on high; and had it made plain to his understanding that the time would come when he would be admitted into his presence to enjoy his society for ever and ever. When their bodily strength was restored to these brethren, they shouted 'Hosannahs to God and the Lamb,' and rehearsed the glorious things which they had seen and felt, whilst they were yet in the spirit."

38.—It will be seen by the foregoing extract, that after the organization of the Church, the Lord raised up other witnesses to his work. The great miracle that was wrought upon Newel Knight, and that too, before he became a member of the Church, and in the presence of some eight or nine of his neighbours, must have given him the most perfect knowledge of the truth of the Book of Mormon; and it must also have been a convincing testimony to all who saw him; they must have seen the difference between the operation of the two powers; for both powers handled him in a most miraculous manner. Under the operation of the first, he was in the most excruciating pain; but the devil being cast out in the name of Jesus Christ, he was immediately filled with the Holy Spirit and with joy unspeakable, and was taken up by the Spirit from off the floor, and was suspended in the presence of sometime with his head pressing against the upper floor. This great manifestation of the power of God in contrast with the power of the evil one, must have given a knowledge to those who were present, that Joseph Smith was a great prophet and seer, and that the Book of Mormon was a divine revelation. For the satisfaction of the reader, I will here state that I am intimately acquainted with Newel Knight, and have heard him testify many a time to this great miracle. I also, in the year 1830, visited Mr. Knight's residence in Colesville, and heard not only him, but others who saw this miracle, bear their testimony. Mr. Knight ever proved a faithful member of this Church until, after wading through many scenes of bloody persecution, he was worn out, and quietly fell asleep in Jesus. It will also be seen from the foregoing extract, that at the first conference held by this Church, on the 1st of June, 1830, that many others saw the heavens opened and beheld the glory of God. Among the number was Newel Knight. "HE SAW HEAVEN OPENED, AND BEHELD THE LORD JESUS CHRIST, SEATED AT THE RIGHT HAND OF THE MAJESTY ON HIGH." This was not a dream, but a vision, like the vision of Stephen, who on the day of his martyrdom had a similar view.

39.—Hence, after the rise of the Church, the witnesses of the truth of the Book of Mormon began to multiply. Now, these persons who saw the heavens open, could not themselves have been deceived. They must be either wicked impostors, or the Book of Mormon must be a divine record; for God would not open the heavens to confirm a soul-destroying imposition. Can any man prove that Newel Knight did not have a great miracle wrought upon him? Can any one show that he was not caught up by the Spirit and suspended in the air? Can any one bring any testimony that the eight or nine witnesses, who were at that time out of the Church, did not see this miracle as testified? Can it be proved that those who testify that they saw
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heaven opened are false witnesses, and that they did not see any such thing? All this must be proved or else no man living can be justified in saying that the Book of Mormon is an imposition.

40. — In the fall of 1830, four of the elders were sent on a mission to the extreme western frontiers of the United States, a distance of some twelve or fourteen hundred miles. Having proceeded about four hundred miles, they tarried a few weeks and preached in the northern part of the State of Ohio; many believed and were baptized, among whom was Sidney Rigdon, a celebrated preacher of the Campbellite order. The Spirit of the Lord was again poured out in a most wonderful manner, and the visions of heaven were opened unto many. In December following, Mr. Rigdon performed a journey to the State of New York, for the purpose of seeing Joseph Smith, the prophet. He prolonged his stay with him until the latter part of January, when he returned, accompanied by Mr. Smith and his family. The prophet Joseph, by the command of God, and through the gift and power of the Holy Ghost, translated the Old and New Testaments. Sidney Rigdon assisted him as a scribe to write from his mouth, as it was given by the revelations of the Holy Spirit. And on the sixteenth day of February, in the year of our Lord eighteen hundred and thirty-two, while engaged in the work of translation, a most remarkable vision was shown to Joseph Smith and Sidney Rigdon. They both at the same time saw the heavens opened, and beheld the Lord Jesus Christ on the right hand of God, they were filled with the Holy Ghost, and the glory of the Lord shone round about them: they heard the voice of God the Father bearing record to them of his only begotten Son: they saw the holy angels, and the wonders of eternity were opened before them: they saw and heard many things unspeakeable and unlawful to be uttered. But many great and marvellous things they were commanded to write, while they were yet in the Spirit. We here insert the following item:

41. — We beheld the glory of the Son on the right hand of the Father, and received his fulness; and saw the holy angels, and they who are sanctified before his throne, worshipping God and the Lamb, who worship him for ever and ever. And now, after the many testimonies which have been given of Him, this is the testimony, last of all which we give of Him, that He lives; for we saw Him even on the right hand of God, and we heard the voice, bearing record that He is the only begotten of the Father — that by Him, and through Him, and of Him the worlds are and were created, and the inhabitants thereof are begotten sons and daughters unto God.  

42. — To speak of the hundreds and thousands of witnesses whom God has raised up during the last twenty years, would require a large volume, and far exceed the limits which we intended for this series. Let it suffice to observe that there are now on the earth many thousands of witnesses to whom God has revealed the truth of the Book of Mormon, by heavenly visions, by angels, by the revelations of the Holy Ghost, by his own voice, and by the miraculous gifts and powers of his kingdom. This great cloud of witnesses know with the greatest certainty that the Book of Mormon is true: they know it with as much certainty as the ancient prophets and apostles knew their respective messages to be true. The nature of their testimony is such that it precludes all possibility of their being deceived themselves. Before mankind can be justified in calling these thousands of witnesses impostors, they must prove that none of them have seen and heard as they boldly testify. This generation have more than one thousand times the amount of evidence to demonstrate and for ever establish the Divine Authenticity of the Book of Mormon than they have in favor of the Bible: and this vast amount of evidence, not only establishes the Book of Mormon, but the Bible also, as it existed in its original. Hence the Latter-day Saints have more than one thousand times the amount of evidence to establish both the Book of Mormon and Bible than what this generation have to establish the truth of either, exclusive of our testimony.

* Doctrine and Covenants. Sec. xci., par. 3.

15, Wilton Street, Liverpool, December 15th, 1850.

R. JAMES, PRINTER, 39 SOUTH CASTLE STREET, LIVERPOOL.
DIVINE AUTHENTICITY
OF THE
BOOK OF MORMON.

BY ORSON PRATT,
ONE OF THE TWELVE APOSTLES OF THE CHURCH OF JESUS CHRIST OF LATTER-DAY SAINTS.

THE BOOK OF MORMON CONFIRMED BY MIRACLES.

1.—In the last number of this series, we showed that in the origin of this work, the Lord confirmed the truth of the Book of Mormon unto many witnesses in such a way, and by such means, that it was impossible for them to have been deceived; that the testimony of these witnesses stands good until it can be refuted: that no man can be justified in rejecting this testimony until he can show that it is false; that it can only be proved false in two ways, first, by showing directly that these witnesses did not see and hear as they testify; second, by showing that there is something connected with the nature of the message of which they testify, that is unreasonable, unscriptural, improbable, or contrary to some known truth. Now no one has ever attempted to bring any direct negative testimony; this, indeed, would be impossible, unless the witnesses themselves should deny their former testimony, and this they have not done. And those who have attempted to condemn their testimony from the nature of the message itself, have only exhibited their own weakness and folly. Upwards of twenty years have passed away, and no man has, as yet, been found able to prove the Book of Mormon or the testimony of its witnesses false.

2.—We will now speak of the testimony of miracles. God has wrought many great and glorious miracles by the hands of his servants in confirmation of the Book of Mormon. We humbly speak of these things, not in a boasting spirit, for we can do nothing of ourselves; but it is the Lord, who has in his infinite mercy, performed many great and mighty works among this generation, through those who have believed on his name. There are two kinds of miracles; first, those wrought by the power of God; and, second, those wrought by the power of the devil. When Moses was sent with a message to the Egyptians, the Lord wrought miracles by his hand. The magicians also, at the same time, wrought miracles. When Moses cast his rod upon the ground, it became a serpent; the magicians cast their rods upon the ground and they also became serpents. Moses turned the waters into blood; the magicians did the same. Moses brought frogs in great multitudes; the magicians performed the same. The miracles performed by Moses were done by the power of God; those performed by the magicians were done by the power of the devil. Some miracles performed by Moses, the magicians were not permitted to perform; but as far as the Lord suffered them to do miracles, they did precisely the same things that Moses did. The witch of Endor performed a great miracle, in bringing up Samuel from the dead, by the request of Saul, king of Israel. If this woman was possessed of an evil spirit, then we are forced to admit that the devil has great power; for she was enabled through the supernatural power by which she was influenced to detect Saul, notwithstanding he came to her in disguise. That she actually did bring up Samuel is evident from the conversation which passed between Saul and Samuel: moreover, Samuel prophesied to Saul, concerning what should befall him and all Israel; and the
next day the prediction was literally fulfilled. It seems that the prophet Samuel was rather displeased at being disturbed from his quiet resting place; for after the king of Israel had bowed before him, "Samuel said to Saul, why hast thou disquieted me, to bring me up?"

3. — As a further evidence that the devil can work miracles, Jesus says, "There shall arise false Christs, and false prophets, and shall show great signs and wonders; insomuch that, if it were possible, they shall deceive the very elect." As another example of the miraculous power of the devil, we are informed that the man who possessed a legion, had been often bound with fetters and chains, and the chains had been plucked asunder by him, and the fetters broken in pieces." The miracle of breaking chains and fetters is equal to the miracle wrought by Samson in breaking new withes and new ropes. Jesus says, "Many will say to me in that day, Lord, have we not prophesied in thy name? and in thy name have cast out devils? and in thy name done many wonderful works? And then will I profess unto them, I never knew you: depart from me, ye that work iniquity." From the preceding verses, taken in connection with this, we learn, that false prophets and such as should say, Lord, Lord, and do not his will, but work iniquity, were to perform wonderful works, and make great pretensions, not only before men, but before the Lord. The devil, therefore, assists those who work wickedness to perform great signs, and wonderful works. If the present translation of the Bible be true, he has power to show visions, for it is said, that he showed our Saviour "all the kingdoms of the world, and it is also said, that "Satan himself is transformed into an angel of light."

4. — John predicts that a certain power should arise, that should do "great wonders, so that he maketh fire come down from heaven on the earth, in the sight of men, and deceiveth them that dwell on the earth, by the means of those miracles which he had power to do in the sight of the beast." Immediately before the second coming of Christ, there is to be a general gathering of the nations against the Jews at Jerusalem: these nations will gather into the valley of Armageddon near Jerusalem, after which, the Lord will destroy them. This great movement of all nations against the Jews, will be set in operation by the means of wicked miracles. John speaks of it thus: "And I saw three unclean spirits, like frogs, come out of the mouth of the dragon, and out of the mouth of the beast, and out of the mouth of the false prophet. For they are the spirits of devils, working miracles, which go forth unto the kings of the earth, and of the whole world, to gather them to the battle of that great day of God Almighty. Behold I come as a thief. Blessed is he that watcheth and keepeth his garments, lest he walk naked, and they see his shame. And he gathered them together unto a place called in the Hebrew tongue Armageddon." The reason the Lord will suffer the devil to work miracles to deceive "the kings of the earth and of the whole world," is because they will previously have rejected "the everlasting gospel," therefore the devil will deceive them, and lead them on to destruction, as he did the Egyptians. This same power is prophesied of by Paul, as follows: "And then shall that wicked be revealed, whom the Lord shall consume with the spirit of his mouth, and shall destroy with the brightness of his coming; even him whose coming is after the working of Satan, with all power, and signs, and lying wonders, and with all deceivableness of unrighteousness in them that perish; because they received not the love of the truth, that they might be saved. And for this cause God shall send them strong delusion, that they should believe a lie, that they all might be damned who believed not the truth, but had pleasure in unrighteousness."

5. — If the foregoing quotations be correct, we see that the devil has power to create serpents and frogs, and turn rivers of water into blood—that he has power to reveal strangers who may come in disguise, and raise up a dead prophet to converse with men here on the earth—that he has power to break chains and fetters—to transform himself into an angel of light—to show all the kingdoms of the world unto Christ—to perform great signs and wonders, and call fire down from heaven—and finally, his power is to be so wondrously manifested, that even "the kings of the earth and

---
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the whole world" will suffer themselves to be deceived by his miracles, and be blindly led to the valley of Slaughter, where they will be consumed by the brightness of Christ's coming. All of these things the devil has done, and will do, if the English translation of the Bible be correct.

6.—It may be asked, how are we to distinguish between the miracles wrought by the power of God, and those wrought by the power of Satan? We answer in the language of Paul, "he that is spiritual judgeth all things." But as the greater part of the world are not spiritual, we will point out other rules by which to distinguish the two powers. Wherever miracles are wrought by the power of God, there will be found a true and righteous doctrine, unmixed with error: wherever miracles are wrought by the power of the devil, there will be found more or less false doctrine. Wherever miracles are wrought by the servants of God, they will do them in the name of Jesus Christ, after having obeyed the ordinances of the gospel: when the servants of the devil do miracles, if they pretend to do them in the name of Christ, it will be found by examination that they have not obeyed the ordinances of Christ, and therefore he suffers the devil to deceive them; but it is oftener the case that they do not perform them in the name of Christ, neither in the way that he has appointed, as in the cases of mesmerism, somnambulism, &c. Those who do miracles by the power of God, generally have a message to publish to the people by authority from God. The most of those who do miracles by the power of the devil, pretend to no message whatever; or if they pretend to have a message to deliver to the people, it will be found, on inspection, to be mixed with error.

7.—Although the devil can work great and wonderful miracles, yet there is always something in connexion with them, that will enable mankind, if they are sufficiently humble, to discern that they are not of God. If it were impossible to distinguish between the two powers, then miraculous evidence would be no evidence at all in favor of divine revelation: but miracles were considered by our Saviour as evidence of his own mission—hence, he says, "I have greater witness than that of John; for the works which the Father hath given me to finish, the same works that I do bear witness of me, that the Father hath sent me. And the Father himself which hath sent me, hath borne witness of me."* Again, Jesus said, "If I do not the works of my Father, believe me not; but if I do, though ye believe not me, believe the works, that ye may know and believe that the Father is in me, and I in him." Elsewhere he said to Philip, "Believe me for the very works' sake." And in another place he said, "If I had not done among them the works which none other man did, they had not had sin."†

8.—From all these sayings, and many others of a similar nature, we learn that miracles are considered an evidence in favor of the revealed truths of heaven, and therefore, there must be a wide difference between the manifestations of the two powers: this difference is so great, that no person can be justified in judging wrongfully in the matter: he that imputes a miracle of evil to God, or a miracle wrought by the power of the Holy Ghost to the devil, commits a sin that will not be easily forgiven. Isaiah says, "Woe unto them that call evil good, and good evil; that put darkness for light, and light for darkness."‡ When the Pharisees accused Jesus of working miracles by Beelzebub, he immediately tells them that the blasphemies against the Holy Ghost should not be forgiven.§ If miracles were not intended to convince men of the truth, Jesus never would have upbraided "the cities wherein most of his mighty works were done, because they repented not." But he pronounced a heavy woe upon Chorazin, Bethsaida, and Capernaum, because they had rejected the mighty works which he had done in them, and said, that it should be more tolerable for Tyre, Sidon, and the land of Sodom, "in the day of judgment than for them."|| All these examples afford ample evidence that the two supernatural powers can be distinguished from each other with the most unerring certainty.

9.—Miracles, when taken alone, are no evidence whatever of the divine mission of any one; but when taken in connection with a pure, holy, and infallible doctrine, they are evidences of the strongest kind, and, if rejected, will bring the generation among
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whom they are wrought under the greatest condemnation. Many prophets have been sent with a divine revelation to man, who have never wrought any miracles confirmatory of their mission, and yet the people were condemned for rejecting their testimony. The prophet Noah came prophesying of one of the most universal judgments which ever befell the human race, and the whole world were condemned for rejecting his prophecy; and yet, we have no account of his performing any miracles. Lot was sent to warn his kinsmen in Sodom of the terrible judgment about to be poured upon the city; the people were condemned for not listening to him, and yet we do not read that he performed any miracles. Isaiah and Jeremiah came with a divine mission to Israel, but we have no account of their performing any miracles until quite a number of years had elapsed from the beginning of their mission; yet, Israel were condemned for not receiving their revelations. Ezekiel did not, at first, confirm his mission, by miracles. Zechariah, Malachi, and many others, did not, so far as we are acquainted, establish the divine authenticity of their books by miracles. Jonah, when sent to the great city of Nineveh, wrought no miracles, so far as history specifies, that were visible to the people of that city; yet, they received his message as divine, and repented because of his preaching. One of the greatest prophets that was ever born of a woman did no miracles to prove his divine mission. The Scripture says, expressly that "JOHN DID NO MIRACLE;"* and yet, the Scribes and Pharisees rejected the counsel of God against their own souls in rejecting John’s mission.

10.—If God has, from time to time, sent prophets among men without confirming their mission by miracles, and has condemned the people or generation to whom they were sent, because they would not receive their testimony; how much more will be condemn a people or generation to whom he sends a message, confirmed by miracles? If Sodom and Gomorrah were condemned for rejecting a divine message without miracles, how much greater will be the condemnation of that people who reject the still greater testimony of miracles?

11.—From the foregoing we learn, that a prophet may be a true prophet, and yet perform no miracles: and therefore, those who have the wicked presumption to say that they will not believe in any new revelation, unless God shall confirm it by miracles, are taking a very sinful, dangerous ground; for if such wicked characters had lived at the times when God sent messages, unconfirmed by miracles, they certainly would have rejected them. We are persuaded that no person who has read the scriptures, and who has the fear of God before his eyes, would ever dare to say he would reject everything under the name of new revelation, unless God would establish it by miracles.

12.—The Lord always accompanies his word, when revealed, with sufficient testimony to prove its divine authenticity, though he does not always give the same amount of evidence. He judges man according to the testimonies which he gives; where much is given, much will be required; where but little is given, but little is required; where nothing is given, nothing is required.

13.—As we have already stated, the Lord, in his great mercy, has condescended to give miraculous evidence to establish the Divine Authenticity of that great and glorious revelation—the Book of Mormon. Therefore the Book of Mormon is established by far greater testimonies than many books of the Bible, which were not confirmed to the generation in which they were revealed by miraculous evidence. It is useless for our enemies to say that there have been no miracles wrought confirmatory of the Book of Mormon, when there are tens of thousands of people who can and do bear testimony as eye witnesses to the contrary. We have already related, in the last number of this series, the first miracle that was wrought in this Church. Out of the many thousands that have since been performed, we humbly mention the following, as published in the Millennial Star.

14.—A GREAT MIRACLE: NARRATIVE OF REUBEN BRINKWORTH.

* On the 2d July, 1839, I entered on board the Terror, Commodore Sir J. Franklin, being then about to set out on a voyage of discovery for a north-west passage to India.

* John x. 41.
Upon returning to England, we landed at Bermuda on the 16th of July, 1843, and in the afternoon of the same day a terrible thunder-storm occurred, in which I was suddenly deprived of my hearing and speech. At the same time five of my comrades, viz., John Ennis, William Collins, John Rogers, Richard King, and William Simms were summoned into eternity. I remained insensible fifteen days—perfectly unconscious of all that was passing around me; but upon the return of reason, came the dreadful conviction that I was deprived of two of my faculties. I well remember the period, and shall for ever continue to do so—language cannot describe the awful sensations that pervaded my mind when I became fully sensible of the reality of my condition. I will here remark, that the subject of religion had never troubled my mind; nor did the calamity I was called to suffer awaken any feeling akin to it; nevertheless I felt a certain feeling of gratitude that I had not met with the same fate as my more unfortunate companions; yet I must, to my shame, confess that it was not directed to the Great Disposer of all events, who could have taken my life as those of my companions, had he willed it. But it was not his design. I was spared, and am now a living witness of his loving-kindness to the most abandoned sinners, if they will turn and seek his face. At that time I was about nineteen years old. After remaining at Bermuda for about three weeks, we again set sail for England, and reached Chatham on the 14th December. I remained there only fourteen days, after which I went to London, and, by the kind assistance of some gentlemen, entered the deaf and dumb school in Old Kent Road, where I remained for ten weeks, but not liking the confinement, and being from home, I became dissatisfied and unhappy, and resolved to leave it, and accordingly did so. I then went to George Lock's, Oxford Arms, Silver Street, Reading, with whom I lived eighteen months, supporting myself. If the whole of that period upon the wages I earned on board the Terror. Afterwards went to Rugby, not to remain there, but on the way to my mother at Stroud, Gloucestershire.

"I will here relate a circumstance of cruelty of which I was made the sufferer: being thirsty, I stepped into a public house to get something to drink; there were gentlemen in the parlour, who, seeing that I was dumb, motioned me to them, and put many questions in writing, which I answered in the same manner. While I was thus being questioned, one of the men went out and brought in a policeman, who hailed me away to the lock-up, in which place I was kept all that night, the next day, and following night, and on the morning of the second day, I was taken before a magistraté, who ordered me to be taken to a doctor, where I underwent an operation, namely, having my tongue cut in two places: he became satisfied that I was both deaf and dumb, and then I was discharged. From the treatment I had received I was determined to go to another of the magistrates of that town, to whom I related by writing what had transpired. He said very little to me, more than that he would write to London respecting it, and I have since learned from a gentleman, that the magistrate who examined me has been removed from his office. I then continued my journey to Stroud, which I reached without any other inconvenience, and remained there two days. I then went to Newport, Monmouthshire, and occupied my time in teaching the deaf and dumb alphabet for about three years, at the end of which I became acquainted with the Latter-day Saints. At that time I was lodging at a public house, kept by James Durbin, sign of the ‘Golden Lion,’ Pentonville. One of the customers of this house became acquainted with me and prevailed upon me to go to live with him and his brother, who was a member of the Latter-day Saints' Church. There I first became acquainted with the doctrines taught by this people, by reading and by means of the finger alphabet. I continued to investigate them for about three months, when I felt convinced of the truth of those doctrines which have since become so beneficial to my temporal and eternal welfare. On the 22nd September I had been, by means of the deaf and dumb alphabet, conversing freely with some of the Saints, and had fully determined to be baptized that evening; therefore I expressed my desire to receive the ordinance of baptism, and was taken to the canal early on the morning of the 23rd, and baptized in the name of the Father, Son, and Holy Ghost; and upon my head emerging from the water, I heard the voices of persons upon the towing path, and this was the first sound I had heard since my deprivation upon the island of Bermuda, in 1943. With my hearing came also my speech, and the first
words that I uttered were—‘Thank the Lord, I can speak and hear again as well as any of you.’ I scarcely need state my own surprise at the moment, but such it was, and it appears marvellous in my own eyes, not that God is possessed of such power, but that he should manifest it in my behalf. I have much cause to praise him and glorify his holy name, for in obedience to his divine commands, I not only received the remission of my sins, which I esteem above all earthly blessings, but also the removal of my deafness and dumbness; and now I can hear as distinctly and speak as fluently as I ever did, although I had been deprived of both these faculties for upwards of five years, not being able to hear the loudest noise, nor to use my tongue in speech.

‘There is a mistake in the Merlin of the date of my landing at Bermuda: it should have been 1843 instead of 1840. The same error appeared also in the Millennial Star, No. xxii. Vol 10, and which was caused by extracting the account from that paper.

‘The following individuals are witnesses to my baptism:—

   “Henry Naish,
   “John Roberts, Members of the Church.
   “John Walden,

   “Jane Dunbin,
   “Thomas Jones, Non-Members.”
   “Jacob Naish,

THE BLIND HEALED.

“Berrien, Montgomeryshire, North Wales, May 23, 1849.

“I feel it my bounden duty to make the following narrative known to the authorities of the Church of Jesus Christ, to show that the manifestations of the power of God attend this Church in the last days, as it did the Church of the early Apostles, viz:—

My daughter Sophia Matilda, aged eight years, was, in the month of May, 1848, afflicted in her eyes, she soon lost the sight of her left eye, and on applying to medical aid, instead of the sight being restored she immediately lost the other, the surgeon stating that the pupils were closed, and feared she could never be restored to her sight. I was advised to try an eminent surgeon in Shrewsbury, in the county of Salop, where in June, 1848, I sent her and her mother, as she was now quite blind, and the poor little creature’s sufferings were indescribable, though the Lord enabled her to be patient in her afflictions; she remained in Shrewsbury a fortnight but found no benefit, and as the last resource to human aid, I was advised to send her to an eminent oculist in Liverpool (Dr. Neile) under whose treatment she was relieved, and a gradual improvement took place, to our great joy, until the Autumn of the same year. I corresponded with Dr. Neile, who desired me to continue the treatment he had prescribed, but it was all to no purpose, for she relapsed into the same state as before and was in total darkness the whole of the winter suffering acutely, and by February of the present year, 1849, she had wasted to a mere skeleton, when my brother-in-law paid me a visit previous to his embarkation to California, and told me that if I would have faith in the Lord Jesus Christ, and call for the elders of the Church, he believed she would be healed. I also soon was enabled to believe, and obeyed the command of St. James. The Church put up their prayers for us, and I found, thanks to the Giver of all good, some improvement ere the ordinance was performed. On the following Sabbath, elders Dudley and Richards, from Pool Quay, came to my house, performed the ordinance upon my child, the pain soon left her, and she was soon, by the power of God, and the prayers of the faithful, restored to sight and health, and thanks be to Almighty God, she is still in the enjoyment of these great blessings; trusting you will rejoice in the Lord with me for his great mercies manifested to me,

   “I remain, &c. &c.

   “Henry Pugh.”
THE BOOK OF MORMON CONFIRMED BY MIRACLES.

"London, June 9th, 1849.

"Beloved President Pratt,—Not only has the power of healing been manifest upon one, but I can say, although we have not been organized into a branch one year, many have been healed. I will take the liberty of naming a few cases out of the many:—Sister Emma Spiring met with an accident while frying some meat: the pan was overturned, and the boiling fat went into her eye and on her face, and from the Friday to the Sunday she could not see with the eye. I, in the name of the Lord, anointed her with oil, and laid my hands on her, and the moment I took my hands off her head, she, in the presence of a large assembly, said she could see, and all pain was gone.

"Another case was of a man by the name of Greham, who had lost the sight of one eye. I anointed him, and he received his sight, and has since come into the church, and is a good member of the same.

"W. Booth."

68, Devonshire Lane, Sheffield, July 10, 1849.

"April 20th.—President Dunn and I were requested to attend to the ordinances of anointing with oil and laying on of hands by brother Jackson, who had sore eyes; he had lost the sight of one eye completely, and the other was dangerously affected, but after we had attended to the ordinance, his sight was restored immediately, and the same hour he walked through the town looking about him. He was afflicted with the same disease before he became a Latter-day Saint, and was down sixteen weeks, but the last attack he was restored the third day.

"J. V. Long, Presiding Elder."

HEALING OF ONE BORN BLIND.

Bristol, November 25, 1849.

"Dear President Pratt,—As you were so kind as to publish the letter I sent, dated July 9, 1849, containing an account of the miraculous power of God, displayed in the healing of Elizabeth Ann Bounsell, which made quite a stir amongst the pious christians of this city. I now venture to write to you again, and say that the above circumstance caused many to call at the house to see if it were true. And upon seeing, many rejoiced, others mocked, saying, "She would have got well if the Elders had not laid their hands upon her." Amongst the latter, was one would-be great man, by the name of Charles Smith (who has written a Aimy tract against the Saints,) who said it was not enough to satisfy him. So the mother took another of her daughters, and put her upon his knee, and said, "Sir, is that child blind?" And after he had examined her eyes, he said, "She is." "Well," said the mother, "She was born blind: and she is now four years old; and I am going to take her to the elders of our church, for them to anoint her eyes with oil, and lay their hands upon her; and you can call again, when you have time, and see her with her eyes opened; for I know the Lord will heal her, and she will see." "Well," said he, "if she ever sees, it will be a great proof." Accordingly, the mother brought the child to the elders, and Elder John Hackwell anointed her eyes, and laid hands upon her, only once; and the Lord heard his prayer, so that the child can now see with both of her eyes, as well as any other person. For which we all feel thankful to our heavenly Father, and are willing to bear testimony of it to all the world.

"Yours in the kingdom of God,

"George Halliday."

"P.S. We, the father and mother of the child, do here sign our names to the above, as being true.

"William Bounsell,"

"Elizabeth Bounsell."

No. 12, Bread-street, Bristol.

BONES SET THROUGH FAITH.

Bromford, May 1st, 1849.

"Dear Brother Gibson,—At your request, I now sit down to give you a short account of the goodness and power of God, made manifest in my behalf. About two years ago, while working at my trade of coach-builder, while assisting in removing a
railway carriage, I dislocated my thigh, and was conveyed home, and my parents not being in the Church, and no elders in the town, (viz. Sterling) medical skill was called in, but from the swelling it could not be set. I was again examined by a Dr. Jeffrey and one Taylor of Glasgow, who said that a kind of jeal had gathered in the hip joint, and before it could be set, this must be removed by cupping; so I was cupped with twenty-four lances, but it did no good, and I lingered in great pain for three weeks, when it was proposed that I should again be cupped; but I was determined that it should not be; and hearing from you, that Elder Samuel W. Richards, from America, was coming to Sterling. I told my friends, that when he came, they would see the power of God, and I should be healed. Accordingly, when he came, he anointed me in the name of the Lord, and the bone went into its place, and I got up in the morning, and went to my work, to the astonishment of doctors and friends. I am now a travelling elder, and have a great deal of walking, but experience no inconvenience from it. I can get a dozen of witnesses to attest to the truth of this cure, both in and out of the Church.

"I remain your brother,

"JAMES S. LOW."

"Leamington, August 4, 1849.

"Dear Brother,—While visiting the different branches in this conference, I find that the power of our God has been displayed in a wonderful manner, and that the Saints have great cause to rejoice. Scores can bear testimony to the truth of the gospel, for signs and wonders follow them that believe. The following cases of healing I feel impressed to send to you, and if you should deem them worthy of a place in the Star you can insert them.

"Sister Sarah Gorde, resident of Maxstoke, near Coleshill, on the 25th September, 1839, had a very severe confinement, which left her in a low and afflicted state, and for the space of seven years and a-half was almost in continual pain. Her blood seemed to run cold within her veins, for she was scarcely ever warm. She had two doctors in regular attendance, and sometimes three, and also applied to others; but in spite of all their exertions she found no relief. She wasted in flesh until she was reduced almost to a skeleton; her joints were dislocated from the time of her confinement; to go from home was impossible, for she could not ride without great pain, and it was with the utmost difficulty that she could get about the house. But finally a small tract fell into her hands belonging to the Church of Christ of Latter-day Saints, and while reading the account of the visitation of the angel to our beloved prophet, Joseph Smith, her heart was filled with joy; the spirit of the living God fastened the testimony upon her mind, and she was satisfied that the day of her redemption was nigh at hand, and believed firmly that she would walk again. At this time she was ignorant of the doctrines that we preached, but she firmly believed that God had raised up Joseph to be a prophet to this generation.

"After a few days investigation she was baptized by Elder W. Bramall in the month of April, 1847, and when she was confirmed he told her that she should be healed according to her faith. This promise filled her heart with joy, and in three weeks from the day and hour that she was baptized she was able to walk without pain; her joints, which had been weak for so many years, became strong, and since then she has enjoyed herself, and been enabled to fulfill the duties that devolve upon a mother with a large family.

"Also her son, John Gorde, had, when nine years old, the misfortune to dislocate his thigh. The medical fraternity were called upon, who endeavored to set it, but in consequence of its being swelled so much they were not able; and thus it remained for the space of eight years, and so powerful was its effect upon the constitution that it stopped the growth of his body; his leg hung loose, so that he could turn it any way he pleased. Finally he heard the gospel of Christ, and in one week after the baptism of his mother he was immersed in the liquid grave, and wonderful to relate he lost his lameness, his body began to grow, and from that time he has enjoyed good health, and from appearance no one would suppose he had ever been feeble at all.

"I remain yours in the gospel of Christ,

"ALFRED GORDON."
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"Nantygwynith, Georgetown, Merthyr Tydfil, September 14, 1850.

"Dear President Pratt,—I enclose a testimony of a miraculous case of healing, which has taken place a few days ago in Abercynon; I saw the brother in his affliction, and the accompanying testimony he bore at my house, more than two miles distant from his. I send it to you with permission to do with it as you think proper.

"Wm. Phillips.

"The Testimony of David Richards.

"Merthyr Tydfil, September 10, 1850.

On Friday, August the 23rd, 1850, at about eleven o'clock, while I was working among the coal, a stone fell upon me about 2 cwt. I was carried home, and the doctor who was present said he could do nothing for me, and told those around me to wrap me up in a sheet that I might die. There was a lump on my back as big as a child's head. The doctor afterwards told one of my relations, about six o'clock in the evening, that I could not recover. Elder Phillips called to see me, and attended to the ordinance of the Church for the sick, and while commanding the bones in the name of Jesus, they came together, making a noise like the crushing of an old basket; my strength returned, and now I am able to go some miles to bear my testimony to this great miracle. The doctor called to see me and was astonished, and said in the hearing of witnesses that my backbone was broken; but that it was whole, and that I was now recovering as well as any man he ever saw. Many of our greatest enemies confessed that I was healed by the power of God, and while coming here to-day, many who heard of my accident were struck with the greatest amazement. But I thank my heavenly Father for his kindness towards me, hoping I shall live to serve him more faithfully henceforth than ever.

"D. Richards.

"Morgan Mills, John Thomas, Witnesses.

"Thomas Rees, Henry Evans.

LEPROSY HEALED.

"No. 9, Guardian Street, Springfield Lane, Salford, May 19, 1849.

"Last winter, a young woman addressed me in the Carpenter's Hall, the daughter of a flaxian cutler, named Lea, residing in Cook-street, Salford, and said, her parents were directed that I should go and see her brother who was very bad with a leprosy: I went in company with one or two of my brethren. I think I never saw anything so bad as the boy was (the small pox excepted); the whole of the lower part of his face and under his chin, as well as the backs of his hands and wrists, were one entire mass of scale; indeed, you could not have inserted a needle's point, they were so thick. He was eight and a half years of age, and had been afflicted with it six months old; they had him at the Manchester infirmary and the Salford Dispensary, and were at this time paying the surgeon's bill who attended him as a private patient. The surgeon told his parents he could do nothing for him, as the disease was too virulent for medicine to reach it. His parents told me they did not know what it was to get a regular night's rest with him, and that it frequently took three hours to wash him. The first night we went, they were not disturbed during the night, and in three weeks he was entirely free, and his flesh was renewed like that of a young child.

"John Watts.

"Bolton, Wiltshire, Scotland.

"To all whom it may concern. This is to certify, that I was seized with a disease like the leprosy, in the year 1837, and tried all that I could to get a cure, but I could not, and all the doctors that I applied to could do me no good; and it continued with me over all my body till the month of September, 1843, when I went and was baptized into the Church of Jesus Christ of Latter-day Saints, by William McFarland, elder of the said church, on the 1st of September, 1848, and that same night the leprosy left me.


"Jennet Ridd.
"Derby, September 17, 1849.

"Another remarkable case is that of a sister in this town, named Cumberland, who was severely afflicted inwardly, for eleven years, during which time she received medical attendance from the most eminent men of that profession; such as Doctor Hei
gate of Derby; Doctor Robinson, of Northampton; also under the care of the Infir
mary Surgeon of Loughborough, but all to other purpose; she still got worse. Some
said it was the liver complaint, others said it was a decline. She was also outwardly
afflicted with a disease in her skin, and her body full of sores from head to foot, for
many years. Nothing seemed to do her any good, and only death was considered
could put an end to her sufferings, but to her great joy, the latter part of last year
she heard the Latter-day Saints preaching the gospel, and she believed and obeyed
the same, and was soon made whole, and has, from that time to this, enjoyed a godly portion
of health and strength. She is now bearing testimony of the power of God bestowed
upon her, both in word and person to all around. Numbers, both in and out of the
Church, are witnesses of the same; and even the unbelievers in the gospel cannot help
but acknowledge that it is a great miracle. I might write for hours of such like
cases, but forbear at present. Concluding with the words of Paul, 'Our gospel is
not in word only but in power and much assurance.'

"As a witness of the same, I subscribe myself, yours, truly,

"JOHN WHEELER."

"Shropshire, Shemington, near Market Drayton, Sep. 9, 1849.

"Dear President Pratt,—In June, 1848, I was called upon by sister Walsh, to ad
minister to her daughter, whose head was in one mass of sores, so that she could not
turn it without turning her whole body. I attended to the ordinances, and in a few
days she was restored, and is now a member of the Church of Christ of Latter-day
Saints.

"Yours in the Gospel,

"WILLIAM HEYWOOD."

RUPTURES HEAFLD.

"Clackmanan, May 29, 1849.

"In the beginning of the year 1848, in Clackmanan Branch, a boy of about six
years of age, a son of Brother John and Sister Margaret Hunter, who had been
given up by all the medical men as incurable, and whose disease they could not un
derstand, and who was reduced in consequence thereof almost to skin and bone, and
confined to bed, was administered unto by Elder John Sharp, now gone to America,
and Elder John Russell, who is still here, who anointed him with oil in the name of
the Lord Jesus, and next day he was running about in good health, and has con
tinued well ever since.

"Witnesses to the above

"JOHN HUNTER,
"JOHN RUSSELL,
"MARGARET HUNTER."

"In the month of March, this year, 1849, a young boy, son of Sister Ann Hunter,
in Clackmanan, who was sorely afflicted with rupture, was anointed for the same by
Elder John Russell, and next day he was quite whole, and still continues so, he was
rather more than three years of age, and was born ruptured.

"Witnesses to the above

"JOHN RUSSELL,
"DAVID RUSSELL,
"ANN HUNTER."

"Wolverhampton, January 29, 1850.

"Sister Mary Bolland, aged 25, and residing in Pool Street, Wolverhampton, has
suffered severely from a rupture ever since her confinement in the autumn of 1847,
until her baptism by Elder Richard Rensell, on the 7th of December, 1849. She
had, up to the time of her baptism, been accustomed to wear a truss, or some such
instrument, whereby she was enabled to get about with safety, but this she took off
before she entered the water, and has ever since dispensed with it entirely, having been perfectly healed in the act of baptism; in testimony of this the undersigned witnesses subscribe their names, at the same time expressing their gratitude to Almighty God for this and the many other manifestations of His goodness which we all from time to time experience.

"Yours, &c.,"  
"JAMES BELL."

"Witnesses,  
"MARY BOLLAND.  
"SARAH HUTCHEMCE.  
"OLIVIA SALTERS."

FEVERS REBUKED.

"Wood Mill Street, Dunfermline, Fifeshire, Scotland.

"To all whom it may concern. This is to certify, that I was in Borland on the 8th of January, 1849, and there was a girl by the name of Catherine Kidd, laying very bad with a fever; and was at the point of death, and there was part of her dead clothes made, waiting every moment when the breath would leave her; so I was called to see her, and I went; and they asked me if I would attend to the ordinance of the Church with her, and I said that I would: so in company with Elder McFarland, I anointed her with oil, and laid hands on her, in the name of Jesus Christ; and when I had done, I told them that she would get better, and the people that were in the house, said if she did, that it would be a miracle; so from that hour she did get better, and the dead clothes were laid aside. Now for this, to our Lord and Saviour Jesus Christ, be all the honour and glory, both now and for ever; Amen.

"WILLIAM ATBROLE MACMASTER."

"Witnesses,  
"WILLIAM McFARLAND.  
"MRS. McFARLAND."

"Sheffield, June 28, 1849.

"Under date of May 2, 1847.—Was sent for by Mrs. Rodger, to lay hands on her daughter, who had been given up by the Doctors. The complaint was the typhus fever, she was reduced to a complete skeleton, her bones were ready to come through the skin, and her body had many large sores upon it; I never saw such an object of pity before. Before administering in the ordinance, I preached the gospel to them, for they were out of the church. I called on them all to kneel down, then gave her some oil internally and laid hands on her in the name of the Lord and rebuked the disease; and while I had my hands on her head I saw her well, and walking about as one of the most healthy and blooming girls in that place. She commenced to amend immediately, she slept safely and soundly that night, and in the morning wanted her breakfast. It came to pass as I saw it. Her mother came into the Church, but her father remains an enemy to this work to this day.

"With due respect, I am yours,

"HEZEKIAH MITCHELL."

"68, Devonshire Lane, Sheffield, May 18th, 1849.

"A little girl, the daughter of brother and sister Bolyon, Pinfstone Street, was seized with the scarlet fever; the mother was afraid, and fetched the doctor, who prepared a decoction for the child to take, but when the father came home, he put the medicine away, and procured some olive oil which was consecrated by Elders Dunn, Burgess, and myself, and was then administered by the father, and the disease left her that same hour; their little boy was then seized with the same kind of fever; when the doctor came in to see the little girl he saw her playing about with the children, and said, "why, she is better!" "Yes, sir," said the mother, "and now the little boy's begun." "Have you given the little girl all the medicine?" "No, sir," "O well, continue to give the boy the same medicine, and he will soon be better." They attended to the ordinance of healing, instituted by our Saviour, and the boy was restored the same day; another of the family was then seized, and they administered the same medicine, (olive oil), which produced an instantaneous cure.

Yours in the true covenant,

J. V. LONG, Presiding Elder.
THE BOOK OF MORMON CONFIRMED BY MIRACLES.

"Cheltenham, August 8, 1849.

"Dear Brother Pratt,—I write to inform you of two remarkable cases of healing which took place in the village of Barrow, in the county of Gloucester.

"First.—A young female, by the name of Mary Baliss, was very violently seized with the black fever, so that she was not expected to live. Brother and sister Bayliss sent for a servant of God from a neighbouring village, called by the name of George Curtis, who came, and prayed for, and laid hands upon her in the name of Jesus, and she was healed, and the next day she was up, to the astonishment of the people. This occurred on the 17th June, 1848.

"Second.—A young man, not a member of our church, was taken ill with the black fever so violently, that all human skill was of no avail. The doctor informed his friends that he would die before morning. His mother, who is in our church, sent for brother Curtis, who laid hands upon him in the name of the Lord, and prayed for him. He immediately began to recover, and the next morning he was walking about the house, to the astonishment of his friends and neighbours. In three days he was able to go to work in the fields, rejoicing in the goodness of God. He has since joined the church of the Saints, and bears a faithful testimony to the healing power of the gospel. Believe me to be, dear brother, yours sincerely in the cause of truth,

"JOHN ALDER.

"St. Heliers, August 8.

"Sent for to visit brother Feron's child. Found her raving in a strong fever. Administered to her. The fever left, and her senses returned five minutes after. Next morning she was running about the doors.

"Witnesses,

"JOHN FERON,
"THERESA FERON."

A CASE OF MIRACULOUS HEALING.

"Dundee, Feb. 8, 1850.

"Dear Brother Pratt,—If you deem the following worthy of a place in the columns of the MILLENNIAL STAR, it is at your disposal. I have a girl, aged three years, who had for eighteen months been severely afflicted with convulsive fits, to the loss of all the powers of body, and even the mind seemed in the thralldom of some great power. I had tried the wisdom of the faculty but without effect, until the child was fearful to behold, almost in continual convulsions by night and day. On the 25th of December last, Elder Hugh Findlay called and anointed her with oil in the name of the Lord, and prayed over her, and from that day until now she has never had a fit, but has increased daily in strength of body and mind. These facts are known to many not belonging to our church; and for the truth of which, witness our hands,

"JAMES DAVIDSON.
"MARIA DAVIDSON,
"HUGH FINDLAY."

CHOLERA HEALED.

"Macclesfield, September 29, 1850.

"Dear Brother Pratt.—I am happy to inform you that I enjoy excellent health and good spirits, and rejoice in the work of the Lord whereunto I am called to administer. Many are dying in this town of the cholera. Many of the Saints have been seized with the destroying pestilence, but all have been restored to health and strength by the power of the priesthood. I wish to forward you some remarkable instances of healing. Sister Jane Batty was seized with Asiatic Cholera, in the month of August. When I was called to administer to her, she was taken with cramp, which was followed with great pain. I laid hands on her, and by the authority of the holy priesthood rebuked the disease in the name of Jesus Christ; the cramp and pain immediately left her, and she was restored to health and strength.

"The next was brother George Gally: he had a violent attack of the same disease. Elder James Galley and myself laid hands on him and administered oil, and he was immediately restored. The next is sister Caroline Parker, who was attacked violently
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with the same disease of Asiatic Cholera. Some of the neighbours went for the doctor, who pronounced it a desperate case, and gave some advice, after which her father, Elder Boyle, and Elder James Thirt, laid their hands on her and rebuked the disease, to the great astonishment of the doctor and the neighbours; for when he came the next morning, he was surprised that she was alive. He wished her to send to his surgery for some medicine, but she told him she could walk there, therefore needed none.

"Sister Ann Markland was next attacked by the same disease. I laid hands on her in the name of Jesus Christ, and rebuked the disease, and she was immediately restored. Her mother Margaret was next taken with the disorder. I administered to her in the usual way, and she was immediately restored. The next was sister Ann Stubbs, who was violently taken with the same complaint on the 17th of September. Elder Francis Sherratt and myself administered to her, and she is restored to health and strength. These are but a few cases where the power of God has been manifested in this conference, for there are many others that are equally signalised by the divine power and blessing of God.

"JOSEPH CLEMENTS, President of the Macclesfield Conference,
"JAMES GALLEY, Secretary."

"THOSE SIGNS SHALL FOLLOW THEM THAT BELIEVE."—Mark xvi.

"10, Henry Street, Park, Sheffield, September 9, 1850.

"Dear Brother Pratt,—While reading over my journal, I have felt impressed to make a few extracts and forward the same to you, that if you think them worthy you may insert them in the MILLENNIAL STAR, that the faith of the Saints may be strengthened, and the inquirers after truth satisfied that the power of God is enjoyed by latter as much as it was by the former day Saints.

"August 14, 1849.—I was called out to see sister Fowler, who was severely afflicted with the apparent symptoms of cholera; the attack was so severe that she was incapable of being removed from the couch on which she lay, her speech was gone, a kind of whisper was the only medium through which her ideas could be obtained. At her request Elder Hardy and I attended to the ordinance of laying on of hands, and by the prayer of faith, we rebuked the destroyer in the name of Jesus Christ, and the disease disappeared. She rose up, her speech returned, and we conversed cheerfully together on the Gospel, which is the power of God unto salvation, to the Gentile first, and then to the Jew.

"Sep. 4, 1849.—Brother William Lamb came to my residence, afflicted with rheumatism, from which he had suffered for three years, and having just joined the church, and got to understand the promises, he felt sure the Lord would heal him through my administration, although he was sixty-two years of age; I therefore called upon Elder Hardy to assist in the ordinance of laying on of hands, and immediately after our hand were taken from his head, he rose up, and bore testimony to the manifestation of the power of God on his behalf, declaring that all pain had left him, and frequently made it a part of his testimony, (before he went to Zion) to tell the people how miraculously he had been healed, at a time of life when such a change could not be expected, except through the power of God.

"Oct. 27, 1849.—I was taken ill with hoarseness and inflammation of the lungs; I continued to get worse until Friday, November the 2nd, when the Erysipelas broke out of my face and head; my head and face were swelled to an enormous size, my appetite was gone, and my suffering hourly increased. I took a little herb tea, as directed in Dr. Coffin’s Guide to Health, but I continued to get worse; on the 3rd my suffering became indescribable, during the night in particular; I had the most excruciating pains in the head and bowels; on Sunday morning, the 4th, my throat was almost stopped up; many of my friends then gave me up to die, but my faith was unshaken in the promises of the Almighty; I therefore sent for Elders Dunn, Hardy, and Roper, and while Elder Dunn was anointing my head and face, all inflammation disappeared; I felt the pain leave as fast as his hand passed over my head, for the power of God drove all pain and disease from me, and in two hours the old skin peeled off my face, and I have been well ever since; and I hereby bear my testimony..."
that immediately, on olive oil being applied to my head in the name of Jesus Christ, the pain left, all inflammation ceased, my speech was restored, in fact, my system, lungs in particular, seemed to be renewed, for I have preached five times more since than I did before, and have enjoyed much better health.

"To President Pratt."

"J. V. LONG.

"Kirkhall Lane, September 22nd, 1849.

"Dear Brother Pratt,—I wish to inform you of what I consider an incontrovertible proof of the power of God. On Sunday the 9th inst., sister Hart, of Bickershaw, was sick, and had the usual symptoms of cholera. Brothers Afflick and Hill laid hands on her, and anointed her in the name of the Lord, when she was immediately restored, got out of bed, and joined in the fellowship meeting, and bore a faithful testimony to the power of God. On Tuesday, the 11th inst., brother James Hart came for me to go and administer to his two children who were very sick. I went with him, and found them suffering from sickness, vomiting, cramp, and all the usual symptoms of cholera. The eldest three years old, and the youngest fourteen months; they were screeching in agony, I anointed them and rebuked the disease in the name of the Lord, when the eldest got up, and before we were aware of what she was about, ran to her grandmother, without shoes or stockings, to tell her that she was well. They then confessed that children could not deceive, but that it was the power of God. Of these things numbers can testify, and I trust you will make it public to the world. Praying that the blessing of God may rest upon you, and all the church of Christ."

"I remain, yours in the bonds of the covenant,

"RICHARD BOOTH, President of the Leigh branch.

"P.S. These are only two out of the numerous cases in this branch. R. B."

Derby, September 17th, 1849.

"Beloved Brother Pratt,—On Sunday morning, September 2nd, I was called upon to go and administer to brother Thomas Parks, a young man of this town, who was suffering under a dreadful attack of the cholera. When I first entered the room, which was about ten o'clock, he appeared as though every breath would be his last, having suffered much in cramps, purging, and vomiting, from about four that morning. Shortly after I arrived, elders Duce and Reed came, with priest Fisher. We consecrated some oil, and administered to him in the name of the Lord, and as soon as we had taken our hands off his head, he was enabled to speak, testifying that the pain had all left him, and began to praise God, the giver of all good, that the priesthood was given to his servants by which they could effectually administer to the children of men. In a few moments he was able to get up and put on his clothes; we left him and went to meeting. We went again to see him at night, we found him free from pain but rather weak; we administered to him again, and asked for God's blessings to attend it, and on Wednesday night following, we found him at meeting, strong and well, bearing testimony of the power of God and rejoicing in the same. Henry Duce, Thomas Reed, and George Fisher, with the young man's parents are witnesses of the same, and truly rejoice in the blessings of Israel's God.

"JOHN WHEELER."

15.—The few cases of miracles which are here inserted, are mentioned that the reader may understand that the faith of this Church is not founded upon human testimony alone, but upon the power of God. The Latter-day Saints know that Joseph Smith is a true prophet, and that the Book of Mormon is a divine revelation, because God has confirmed the same unto them by the miraculous manifestations of his power. There are now about six hundred branches of the Church of Christ in the British Island, consisting of upwards of thirty thousand believers, and between three and four thousand elders and priests. Now, there is scarcely a branch of the Saints among this nation but have been blessed, more or less, with the miraculous signs and gifts of the Holy Spirit, by which they have been confirmed, and know, of a surety, that this is the Church of Christ. They know that 'she blind see, the lame walk, the deaf hear, she dumb speak, that lepers are cleansed, that bones are set, that the Cholera is re-
buked, and that the most virulent diseases give way, through faith in the name of Jesus Christ, and the power of his gospel. These are not some isolated cases that occasionally take place, or that are rather doubtful in their nature, or that have transpired a long time ago, or in some distant country; but they are taking place at the present period; every week furnishing scores of instances in all parts of this land: many of the sick out of the Church have, through the laying on of the hands of the servants of God, been healed. It is not something done in a corner, but openly, and tens of thousands are witnesses.

16. — All mankind can prove for themselves that the Book of Mormon is a divine revelation by obeying its principles; for, if they will do so, they have the promise of certain miraculous signs; and when they themselves receive the signs, they will know for themselves, and no longer be dependent on the testimony of others. The testimony of others is intended to produce faith in the hearer, and not a knowledge; but the signs which a believer receives after obedience, gives knowledge: this knowledge qualifies him, in his turn, to bear testimony; and thus the witnesses multiply in all parts of the earth where this message is received. If Catholics, Protestants, Infidels, Mahometans, Jews, or Heathens, will obey the Book of Mormon, miraculous signs shall follow them, and, by this, they shall all know that it is true. If the Book of Mormon be false, God would not confirm it unto any man by granting unto him the signs, therefore all men would know, if they did not receive the signs, after having complied with its requisitions, that it was false.

17. — The Book of Mormon has now been published upwards of twenty years, during which time many scores of thousands have believed and obeyed it. Now, if they had not received the promised signs, would they have continued to believe the work year after year? If they had failed to receive the promise, would they not have pronounced it an imposition long ago? Yes: we will venture to say, that if the believers in the Book of Mormon had not received the promised signs, there would not have been found in five years after it was printed, one solitary soul who would have continued to believe in its Divine Authenticity; but the very fact, that tens of thousands do remain steadfast in their belief, shows most conclusively that they have found by actual experiment, that the promised signs do follow; and therefore, that the Book of Mormon is of divine origin.

18. — There is no way that an impostor could more effectually destroy his own imposition than to promise miraculous signs to those who would believe in it; for when the promise was not verified, it would be known that he was an impostor. We here quote an extract from a revelation given the 22nd and 23rd of September, 1832, through Joseph the prophet unto the Apostles in this Church: “Go ye into all the world, and whatsoever place ye cannot go into ye shall send, that the testimony may go from you into all the world unto every creature. And as I said unto mine Apostles, even so I say unto you, for you are mine apostles, even God’s high priests; ye are they whom my Father hath given me—ye are my friends; therefore, as I said unto mine apostles, I say unto you again, that every soul who believeth on your words, and is baptized by water for the remission of sins, shall receive the Holy Ghost; and these signs shall follow them that believe.”

“In my name they shall do many wonderful works; in my name they shall cast out devils; in my name they shall heal the sick; in my name they shall open the eyes of the blind, and unstop the ears of the deaf; and the tongue of the dumb shall speak; and if any man shall administer poison unto them, it shall not hurt them; and the poison of a serpent shall not have power to harm them.”

“Verily, verily, I say unto you, they who believe not on your words, and are not baptized in water in my name, for the remission of their sins, that they may receive the Holy Ghost, shall be damned, and shall not come into my Father’s kingdom, where my Father and I am. And this revelation unto you, and commandment, is in force from this very hour upon all the world.”

If Joseph Smith had been an impostor, he never would have given utterance to the above promise, unless he were determined to immediately overthrow his own testimony in relation to the Book of Mormon; an impostor could make such a promise,

* Doc. and Cov., section iv., paragraphs 10, 11, 12.
THE BOOK OF MORMON CONFIRMED BY MIRACLES.

but he could never fulfill it. Since this promise was made, tens of thousands have placed themselves in a position to put the promise to a test, they have found it, to their great joy, verified.

20.—Let us next inquire how many evidences we have of the miracles done in the days of the apostles. The New Testament was written by eight men, six of whom, namely, Matthew, Mark, Luke, John, Paul, and Peter, testify as eye-witnesses to the marvellous works wrought in their day. We believe that the miraculous power of God was manifested eighteen hundred years ago, because six eye-witnesses in the church have thus testified in their writings. Have we the same amount of testimony in the church of the Latter-day Saints? If so, then the Book of Mormon has just as good claims on our faith as the New Testament. We have already given the testimony of many witnesses; and there are tens of thousands of others now living, that bear a similar testimony. Therefore, this generation have thousands of eye-witnesses in favor of the miraculous gifts and powers of the gospel, confirmatory of the Book of Mormon, to which they have one confirmatory of the apostolic mission in ancient times. The six writers of the New Testament tell us of many that were healed, but none of the persons healed have handed down their written testimony to that effect. But this generation have the testimony of thousands who have been healed of every variety of sickness and disease. If we had the testimony of the deaf and dumb, and blind and lame, that were healed in ancient times, it would greatly strengthen the testimony of the six writers who have related such marvellous occurrences. What evidence have we that the lame man, who sat at the beautiful gate of the temple, was healed? They have the testimony of one witness, and one only, namely, the writer of the Acts. What evidence have we that the apostles spoke in tongues, on the day of Pentecost? The writer of the Acts has said so, and we believe it on his testimony alone. Luke who is supposed to be the writer of the Acts, has told us that Philip wrought great miracles in Samaria,—that twelve men at Ephesus, after their baptism and confirmation, spoke with tongues and prophesied,—that Peter saw a vision,—that Cornelius saw an angel,—that Ananias and Sapphira fell dead,—that devils were cast out,—that the sick were healed by handkerchiefs and aprons, being taken to them from the body of Paul,—and that the shadow of Peter healed many; but all these things are believed, merely on the testimony of one man,—the writer of the Acts.

20.—Many hundreds of the servants of God among the Latter-day Saints keep journals of their travels, and of the miracles which pass under their observation. Hence the Acts of the Apostles of the nineteenth century are recorded as well as the Acts of those in the first century; and the miracles recorded in the Latter-day Acts are just as worthy of being believed as the miracles recorded in the Former-day Acts. If the testimony of Luke can be depended upon, when he testifies of miracles, why should not the testimony of William Gibson, J. V. Long, Joseph Clements, and hundreds of others, be depended upon, when they also testify of miracles?

21.—Christendom believe in former-day miracles, because it is popular; they disbelieve in latter-day miracles because it is unpopular. Popularity is, among the most of men, the grand test by which all doctrines are tried, received, or rejected. They never once think of examining the evidence on which a doctrine is founded; but the great enquiry is, have our great and learned divines believed in it? If not, it is at once rejected. It is popular to believe in ancient Christian miracles; though only testified of by six writers of the New Testament; but it is unpopular to believe in modern Christian miracles, though testified of by tens of thousands of living eye-witnesses. So it was among the Jews, dead prophets were very much venerated and honoured, and their sepulchres garnished, while living prophets were persecuted and put to death. It is very popular among Christendom to believe in the New Testament; but it is exceedingly unpopular to believe in the Book of Mormon, though it is proved to them, by a thousand times more evidence than the former.